

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**ROBERT E. ALVARADO** Division 1

DON WILSON Division 2

GLORIA DIZMANG Division 3

KATHY MAC LAREN Division 4

VINCENT DINO Division 5

DENNIS D. LaMOREAUX General Manager

ALESHIRE & WYNDER LLP Attorneys





# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

A CENTURY OF SERVICE

July 17, 2019

## AGENDA FOR REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT to be held at the District's office at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale

### MONDAY, July 22, 2019

#### 6:00 p.m.

<u>NOTES</u>: To comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, to participate in any Board meeting please contact Dawn Deans at 661-947-4111 x1003 at least 48 hours prior to a Board meeting to inform us of your needs and to determine if accommodation is feasible.

Additionally, an interpreter will be made available to assist the public in making <u>comments</u> under Agenda Item No. 4 and any action items where public input is offered during the meeting if requested at least 48 hours before the meeting. Please call Dawn Deans at 661-947-4111 x1003 with your request. (PWD Rules and Regulations Section 4.03.1 (c) )

Adicionalmente, un intérprete estará disponible para ayudar al público a hacer <u>comentarios</u> bajo la sección No. 4 en la agenda y cualquier elemento de acción donde se ofrece comentarios al público durante la reunión, siempre y cuando se solicite con 48 horas de anticipación de la junta directiva. Por favor de llamar Dawn Deans al 661-947-4111 x1003 con su solicitud. (PWD reglas y reglamentos sección 4.03.1 (c) )

Agenda item materials, as well as materials related to agenda items submitted after distribution of the agenda packets, are available for public review at the District's office located at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale (Government Code Section 54957.5). Please call Dawn Deans at 661-947-4111 x1003 for public review of materials.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT GUIDELINES:</u> The prescribed time limit per speaker is threeminutes. Please refrain from public displays or outbursts such as unsolicited applause, comments, or cheering. Any disruptive activities that substantially interfere with the ability of the District to carry out its meeting will not be permitted, and offenders will be requested to leave the meeting. (PWD Rules and Regulations, Appendix DD, Sec. IV.A.)

Each item on the agenda shall be deemed to include any appropriate motion, resolution, or ordinance to take action on any item.

- 1) Pledge of Allegiance/Moment of Silence.
- 2) Roll Call.
- 3) Adoption of Agenda.

- 4) Public comments for non-agenda items.
- 5) Presentations:
  - 5.1) Recognition of PWD's 101<sup>st</sup> Anniversary. (Public Affairs Director Shay)
- 6) Action Items Consent Calendar (The public shall have an opportunity to comment on any action item on the Consent Calendar as the Consent Calendar is considered collectively by the Board of Directors prior to action being taken.)
  - 6.1) Approval of minutes of regular meeting held July 8, 2019.
  - 6.2) Payment of bills for July 22, 2019.
- 7) Action Items Action Calendar (The public shall have an opportunity to comment on any action item as each item is considered by the Board of Directors prior to action being taken.)
  - 7.1) Public hearing on adoption of 2019 Public Health Goal Report. (Water Quality/Regulatory Affairs Supervisor Thompson)
  - 7.2) Consideration and possible action on adoption of 2019 Public Health Goal Report. (Water Quality/Regulatory Affairs Supervisor Thompson)
  - 7.3) Presentation, consideration, and possible action on receiving and filing of 2018 Annual Financial Report. (No Budget Impact - Nigro & Nigro/Finance Manager Williams/Financial Health and Stability Committee).
  - 7.4) Consideration and possible action on adoption of Resolution No. 19-11 being a Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Palmdale Water District Designating the Subrecipient's Agent for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program. (No Budget Impact – Human Resources Director Emery)
  - 7.5) Consideration and possible action on authorization of the following conferences, seminars, and training sessions for Board and staff attendance within budget amounts previously approved in the 2019 Budget:
    - a) Women in Water Inland Empire Breakfast to be held July 24, 2019 in Rancho Cucamonga.
    - b) 34<sup>th</sup> Annual WateReuse Symposium to be held September 8 11, 2019 in San Diego.
- 8) Information Items:
  - 8.1) Finance Reports:
    - a) Status report on Cash Flow Statement and Current Cash Balances as of June 2019. (Financial Advisor Egan/Financial Health & Stability Committee)
    - b) Status report on Financial Statements, Revenue, and Expense and Departmental Budget Reports for June 2019. (Finance Manager Williams/Financial Health & Stability Committee)

- c) Status report on committed contracts issued. (Finance Manager Williams/Financial Health & Stability Committee)
- d) Proposition 218 process and timeline. (Finance Manager Williams/Financial Health & Stability Committee)
- e) Other financial items. (Finance Manager Williams/Financial Health & Stability Committee)
- 8.2) Reports of Directors:
  - a) Meetings/General Report.
  - b) Standing Committee/Assignment Reports (Chair):
    - 1) Antelope Valley State Water Contractors Association.
- 8.3) Report of General Manager.
  - a) July 2019 written report of activities through June 2019.
- 8.4) Report of General Counsel.
- 9) Board members' requests for future agenda items.
- 10) Adjournment.

5D. La Mneeux

DENNIS D. LaMOREAUX, General Manager

DDL/dd

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

# BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 10, 2019	July 22, 2019
то:	BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<b>Board Meeting</b>
FROM:	Mrs. Amanda Thompson, Water Quality & Reg Supervisor	ulatory Affairs
VIA:	Mr. Mynor Masaya, Operations Manager Mr. Adam Ly, Assistant General Manager Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO.'S 7.1 AND 7.2 CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACT PUBLIC HEALTH GOAL REPORT. (V AFFAIRS SUPERVISOR THOMPSON)	2 – PUBLIC HEARING AND TION ON ADOPTION OF 2019 WATER QUALITY/REGULATORY

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Board approve the final draft of a report prepared by staff comparing the District's drinking water quality with Public Health Goals (PHGs) adopted by California's EPA's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) and with Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) adopted by the USEPA. PHGs and MCLGs are not enforceable standards, and no action to meet them is mandated.

Our water system complies with all of the health-based drinking water standards and Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) required by the California Division of Drinking Water and the USEPA. No additional actions are required.

#### **Alternative Options:**

The Board can choose to not approve the final draft.

#### **Impact of Taking No Action:**

The District will not be compliant with SB 1307.

#### **Background:**

SB 1307 (Calderone-Sher; effective 1-1-97) added new provisions to the California Health and Safety Code which mandate that a report be prepared by July 1, 1998 and every three years thereafter. The attached report is intended to provide information to the public in addition to the annual Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) provided to each customer.

# BOARD OF DIRECTORS PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT VIA: Mr. Mynor Masaya, Operations Manager Mr. Adam Ly, Assistant General Manager

Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager

July 10, 2019

The law requires that a public hearing be held (which can be part of a regularly scheduled public meeting) for the purpose of accepting and responding to public comment on the report. This public hearing will be scheduled as part of our Regular Board Meeting scheduled for July 22, 2019 and will be noticed as required for public hearing.

#### **Strategic Plan Initiative/Mission Statement:**

This item is under Strategic Initiative No. 6 – Customer Care, Advocacy and Outreach. This item directly relates to the District's Mission Statement.

### **Budget:**

This item does not affect the budget.

### **Supporting Documents:**

- Public Health Goal Report
- PowerPoint presentation regarding the Palmdale Water District's Consumer Confidence Report and Public Health Goals (2019)
- Notice of Public Hearing published in the Antelope Valley Press on June 22, 2019 and July 7, 2019



# Public Health Goal Report 2019

### **Background:**

Provisions of the California Health and Safety Code 116470 specify that Palmdale Water District, and other water utilities with more than 10,000 service connections, prepare a special report every three years by July 1<sup>st</sup> if their water quality measurements have exceeded any Public Health Goals (PHGs). PHGs are non-enforceable goals established by the Cal-EPA's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). The law also requires that where OEHHA has not adopted a PHG for a constituent, the water suppliers are to use the Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) adopted by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Only constituents which have a California primary drinking water standard and for which either a PHG or MCLG has been set are to be addressed (Attachment No.1).

There are a few constituents that are routinely detected in water systems at levels usually well below the drinking water standards for which no PHG nor MCLG has yet been adopted by OEHHA or USEPA, including Total Trihalomethanes. These will be addressed in a future required report after a PHG has been adopted.

California Health and Safety code section 116470 (b) requires water agencies to prepare a report and hold a public meeting for the purpose of accepting and responding to public comments on the report.

If a constituent was detected in the District's water supply between 2016 and 2018 at a level exceeding an applicable PHG or MCLG, this report provides the information required by the law. Included is the numerical public health risk associated with the MCL and the PHG or MCLG, the category or type of risk to health that could be associated with each constituent (Attachment No.2), the best treatment technology available that could be used to reduce the constituent level (Attachment No.4), and an estimate of the cost to install that treatment if it is appropriate and feasible (Attachment No. 3).

### What Are PHGs?

PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) which is part of Cal-EPA and are based solely on public health risk considerations. None of the practical risk-management factors that are considered by the USEPA or the California Division of Drinking Water (DDW) in setting drinking water standards (MCLs) are considered in setting the PHGs. These factors include analytical detection capability, treatment technology available, benefits and costs. The PHGs are not enforceable and are not required to be met by any public water system. MCLGs are the federal equivalent to PHGs.

### Water Quality Data Considered:

All of the water quality data collected by our water system between 2016 and 2018 for purposes of determining compliance with drinking water standards was considered. This data was all summarized in our 2016, 2017, and 2018 Annual Water Quality Reports which were made available to all of our customers by July 1<sup>st.</sup> of each year (Attachment No. 5).

#### **<u>Guidelines Followed</u>**:

The Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) formed a workgroup which prepared guidelines for water utilities to use in preparing these newly required reports. The ACWA guidelines were used in the preparation of our report. No guidance was available from state regulatory agencies.

#### **Best Available Treatment Technology and Cost Estimates:**

Both the USEPA and DDW adopt what are known as Best Available Technologies (BATs) which are the best-known methods of reducing contaminant levels to the MCL. Costs have been estimated for such technologies (Attachment No.3). However, since many PHGs and all MCLGs are set much lower than the MCL, it is not always possible nor feasible to determine what treatment is needed to further reduce a constituent downward to or near the PHG or MCLG, many of which are set at zero. Estimating the costs to reduce a constituent to zero is difficult, if not impossible, because it is not possible to verify by analytical means that the level has been lowered to zero. In some cases, installing treatment to try and further reduce very low levels of one constituent may have adverse effects on other aspects of water quality.

#### **Constituents Detected That Exceed a PHG or a MCLG:**

The following is a discussion of constituents that were detected in one or more of our drinking water sources between 2016 and 2018 at levels above the PHG, or if no PHG, above the MCLG.

#### Aluminum:

The major sources of aluminum in drinking water are erosion of natural deposits and residue from some surface water treatment processes. The USEPA and California State MCL for aluminum is 1,000  $\mu$ g/L and the California PHG is 600  $\mu$ g/L.

Palmdale Water District collected and analyzed 34 samples for aluminum during 2016 - 2018 and only one sample result was detected above the PHG. Values ranged from non-detect (ND) to 690  $\mu$ g/L, with an average value of ND. All sample results were below the MCL.

The category of health risk for aluminum is neurotoxicity and immunotoxicity, which means it harms the nervous and immune systems. Some people who drink water containing aluminum in excess of the MCL over many years may experience short-term gastrointestinal tract affects. The BAT for aluminum reduction (Attachment No.1: 64447.2 Table 64447.2-A) is optimizing treatment and reducing aluminum added. Since we are already optimizing treatment, no estimate of cost is included in this report.

Palmdale Water District is in full compliance with the MCL for aluminum.

#### Arsenic:

The major sources of arsenic in drinking water are erosion of natural deposits, runoff from orchards, glass and electronics production wastes. The USEPA and California State MCL for arsenic is  $10 \mu g/L$  and the California PHG is  $0.004 \mu g/L$  and USEPA MCLG is zero.

Palmdale Water District collected and analyzed 34 samples for arsenic during 2016 - 2018, with values ranges from non-detect (ND) to  $3.9 \,\mu$ g/L, with an average value of ND. All sample results were below the MCL.

The category of health risk for arsenic is carcinogenicity. Carcinogenic risk means capable of producing cancer. Some people who drink water containing arsenic in excess of the MCL over many years may experience skin damage or circulatory system problems and may have an increased risk of getting cancer. The BATs for arsenic reduction (Attachment No.1: 64447.2 Table 64447.2-A) are listed as Activated Alumina, Coagulation/Filtration, Ion Exchange, Lime Softening, Reverse Osmosis, Electrodialysis and Oxidation/Filtration.

Palmdale Water District is in full compliance with the MCL for arsenic.

Estimated cost for arsenic removal using reverse osmosis, the most efficient technology is listed in Attachment No.3.

### Lead and/or Copper:

The major sources of copper in drinking water are internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives. There is no MCL for Lead or Copper. Instead the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile value of all samples from household taps in the distribution system cannot exceed an Action Level of 0.015 mg/L for lead and 1.3 mg/l for copper. The PHG for lead is 0.0002 mg/L and the PHG for copper is 0.3 mg/L.

Based on the triennial sampling of residences within our distribution system in 2018, our 90<sup>th</sup> percentile value for copper was 0.42 mg/L which exceeded the PHG. The 90<sup>th</sup> percentile value for lead was below the DLR and therefore considered to be non-detect, or zero.

The category of health risk for copper is digestive system toxicity (causes nausea, vomiting, diarrhea). Copper is an essential nutrient, but some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over a relatively short amount of time may experience gastrointestinal distress. Numerical health risk data on copper have not yet been provided by OEHHA, the State agency responsible for providing that information.

Our water system is in full compliance with the Federal and State Lead and Copper Rule. To reduce the potential that lead or copper values at consumer taps would exceed the PHG, corrosion control treatment was installed at our treated surface water source.

Based on our extensive sampling, it was determined that according to State Regulatory Requirements, we meet the Action Levels for Lead and Copper. Therefore, we are deemed by DDW to have "optimized corrosion control" for our system.

In general, optimizing corrosion control is considered to be the best available technology to deal with corrosion issues and with any lead or copper findings.

We continue to monitor our water quality parameters that relate to corrosiveness, such as the pH, hardness, alkalinity, total dissolved solids, and will take action if necessary, to maintain our system in an "optimized corrosion control" condition.

Since we are meeting the "optimized corrosion control" requirements, additional corrosion control treatment is not necessary. Therefore, no estimate of cost is included in this report.

While our system did not exceed the Lead PHG or Lead Action Level, it is possible that there may be high lead levels in your home as a result of materials in your home plumbing. Lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and children 6 and under. If you are concerned about high lead levels in your home's water, run your water for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using tap water and have your water tested. Additional information is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791 or at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/lead">http://www.epa.gov/lead</a>.

#### **Gross Alpha Particle Activity:**

The major source of gross alpha particle activity in drinking water is from the erosion of natural deposits. Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit alpha radiation. The MCL for gross alpha particle activity is 15 pCi/L and the MCLG is 0 pCi/L.

Palmdale Water District collected and analyzed 26 samples for gross alpha particle activity during 2010 - 2018, with values that ranged from non-detect (ND) to 5.7 pCi/L, with an average value of ND. Since individual sites are sampled for gross alpha particle activity once every 6 years or once every 9 years, the most recent results for all sources have been included in this report. All sample results were below the MCL.

The category of health risk for gross alpha particle activity is carcinogenicity. Carcinogenic risk means capable of producing cancer. Some people who drink water containing alpha emitters in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. Cancer risk at the MCLG is 0 and at the California MCL it is  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . The BAT for gross alpha particle activity reduction is reverse osmosis (Attachment No.1: 64447.3 Table 64447.3-A).

Palmdale Water District is in full compliance with the MCL for gross alpha particle activity.

#### **Gross Beta Particle Activity:**

The major source of beta particles in drinking water is from decay of natural and man-made deposits. Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit forms of radiation known as photons and beta radiation. The MCL for gross beta particles is 50 pCi/L and the MCLG is 0 pCi/L.

Palmdale Water District collected and analyzed 26 samples for gross beta particles during 2016 - 2018, with values that ranged from non-detect (ND) to 7.8 pCi/L, with an average value of ND. All sample results were below the MCL.

The category of health risk for beta particles is carcinogenicity. Carcinogenic risk means capable of producing cancer. Some people who drink water containing beta and photon emitters in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. Cancer risk at the MCLG is 0 and at California MCL it is  $2 \times 10^{-3}$ . The BATs for gross beta reduction are ion exchange and reverse osmosis (Attachment No.1: 64447.3 Table 64447.3-A).

Palmdale Water District is in full compliance with the MCL for gross beta particle activity.

### <u>Uranium</u>

The major source of uranium in drinking water is from erosion of natural deposits. The MCL for uranium is 20 pCi/L and the PHG for uranium is 0.43 pCi/L.

Palmdale Water District collected and analyzed 1 sample for uranium during 2016 - 2018, with a result of 1.1 pCi/L, which is below the MCL.

The category of health risk for uranium is carcinogenicity. Carcinogenic risk means capable of producing cancer. Some people who drink water containing uranium in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. Cancer risk at the MCLG is 0 and at the California MCL it is  $5 \times 10^{-5}$ . The BATs for uranium reduction are ion exchange, reverse osmosis, lime softening, and coagulation/filtration (Attachment No.1: 64447.3 Table 64447.3-A).

Palmdale Water District is in full compliance with the MCL for uranium.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER ACTION:**

The drinking water quality of the Palmdale Water District meets all State of California, DDW and USEPA drinking water standards set to protect public health. To further reduce the levels of the constituents identified in this report that are already significantly below the health-based Maximum Contaminant Levels established to provide "safe drinking water", additional costly treatment processes would be required. The effectiveness of the treatment processes to provide any significant reductions in constituent levels at these already low values is uncertain. The health protection benefits of these further hypothetical reductions are not at all clear and may not be quantifiable. Therefore, no action is proposed.

### ATTACHMENTS:

- No.1 Table of Regulated Constituents with MCLs, PHGs or MCLGs
- No.2 Health Risk Information for Public Health Goal Exceedance Reports (Table 1 and Table 2)
- No.3 Cost Estimates for Treatment Technologies (Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3)
- No.4 Excerpt from Title 22 California Code of Regulations: Best Available Technologies (BAT)
- No.5 Palmdale Water District's 2016, 2017 and 2018 Water Quality Data
- No.6 Glossary of terms and abbreviations used in the report



#### 2019 PHG Triennial Report: Calendar Years 2016-2017-2018

#### MCLs, DLRs, and PHGs for Regulated Drinking Water Contaminants

#### (Units are in milligrams per liter (mg/L), unless otherwise noted.)

Last Update: December 26, 2018

This table includes:

California's maximum contaminant levels (MCLs)

Detection limits for purposes of reporting (DLRs)

Public health goals (PHGs) from the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)

Also, the PHG for NDMA (which is not yet regulated) is included at the bottom of this table.

Regulated Contaminant	MCL	DLR	PHG	Date of PHG			
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64431—Inorganic Chemicals							
Aluminum	1	0.05	0.6	2001			
Antimony	0.006	0.006	0.001	2016			
Arsenic	0.010	0.002	0.000004	2004			
Asbestos (MFL = million fibers per liter; for fibers >10 microns long)	7 MFL	0.2 MFL	7 MFL	2003			
Barium	1	0.1	2	2003			
Beryllium	0.004	0.001	0.001	2003			
Cadmium	0.005	0.001	0.00004	2006			
Chromium, Total - OEHHA withdrew the 0.0025-mg/L PHG	0.05	0.01	withdrawn Nov. 2001	1999			
Chromium, Hexavalent - 0.01-mg/L MCL & 0.001-mg/L DLR repealed September 2017			0.00002	2011			
Cyanide	0.15	0.1	0.15	1997			
Fluoride	2	0.1	1	1997			
Mercury (inorganic)	0.002	0.001	0.0012	1999 (rev2005)*			
Nickel	0.1	0.01	0.012	2001			
Nitrate (as nitrogen, N)	10 as N	0.4	45 as NO3 (=10 as N)	2018			
Nitrite (as N)	1 as N	0.4	1 as N	2018			
Nitrate + Nitrite (as N)	10 as N		10 as N	2018			
Perchlorate	0.006	0.004	0.001	2015			
Selenium	0.05	0.005	0.03	2010			
Thallium	0.002	0.001	0.0001	1999 (rev2004)			
Copper and Lead, 22 CCR §64672.3							
Values referred to as MCLs for lead and copper are not actually MCLs; instead, they are called "Action Levels" under the lead and copper rule							
Copper	1.3	0.05	0.3	2008			

### 2019 PHG Triennial Report: Calendar Years 2016-2017-2018

Lead	0.015	0.005	0.0002	2009	
Radionuclides with MCLs in 22	CCR §64441	and §6444	3—Radioacti	ivity	
[units are picocuries per liter (pCi/L),	unless otherw	vise stated;	n/a = not app	licable]	
Gross alpha particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	15	3	none	n/a	
Gross beta particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	4 mrem/yr	4	none	n/a	
Radium-226		1	0.05	2006	
Radium-228		1	0.019	2006	
Radium-226 + Radium-228	5				
Strontium-90	8	2	0.35	2006	
Tritium	20,000	1,000	400	2006	
Uranium	20	1	0.43	2001	
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64444—Organic Chemicals					
(a) Volatile Orga	anic Chemic	als (VOCs)			
Benzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	2001	
Carbon tetrachloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.0001	2000	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.0005	0.6	1997 (rev2009)	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	0.005	0.0005	0.006	1997	
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.003	2003	
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004	1999 (rev2005)	
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	0.006	0.0005	0.01	1999	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.006	0.0005	0.013	2018	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.01	0.0005	0.05	2018	
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	0.005	0.0005	0.004	2000	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.0005	0.0005	1999	
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	1999 (rev2006)	
Ethylbenzene	0.3	0.0005	0.3	1997	
Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE)	0.013	0.003	0.013	1999	
Monochlorobenzene	0.07	0.0005	0.07	2014	
Styrene	0.1	0.0005	0.0005	2010	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.001	0.0005	0.0001	2003	
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.00006	2001	
Toluene	0.15	0.0005	0.15	1999	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.005	0.0005	0.005	1999	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	0.2	0.0005	1	2006	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.0003	2006	
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.0017	2009	
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	0.15	0.005	1.3	2014	

### ATTACHMENT NO. 1 2019 PHG Triennial Report: Calendar Years 2016-2017-2018

1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane (Freon 113)	1.2	0.01	4	1997 (rev2011)				
Vinyl chloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.00005	2000				
Xylenes	1.75	0.0005	1.8	1997				
(b) Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs)								
Alachlor	0.002	0.001	0.004	1997				
Atrazine	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	1999				
Bentazon	0.018	0.002	0.2	1999 (rev2009)				
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002	0.0001	0.000007	2010				
Carbofuran	0.018	0.005	0.0007	2016				
Chlordane	0.0001	0.0001	0.00003	1997 (rev2006)				
Dalapon	0.2	0.01	0.79	1997 (rev2009)				
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	0.0002	0.00001	0.0000017	1999				
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D)	0.07	0.01	0.02	2009				
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	0.4	0.005	0.2	2003				
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	0.004	0.003	0.012	1997				
Dinoseb	0.007	0.002	0.014	1997 (rev2010)				
Diquat	0.02	0.004	0.006	2016				
Endothal	0.1	0.045	0.094	2014				
Endrin	0.002	0.0001	0.0003	2016				
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	0.00005	0.00002	0.00001	2003				
Glyphosate	0.7	0.025	0.9	2007				
Heptachlor	0.00001	0.00001	0.000008	1999				
Heptachlor epoxide	0.00001	0.00001	0.000006	1999				
Hexachlorobenzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00003	2003				
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.05	0.001	0.002	2014				
Lindane	0.0002	0.0002	0.000032	1999 (rev2005)				
Methoxychlor	0.03	0.01	0.00009	2010				
Molinate	0.02	0.002	0.001	2008				
Oxamyl	0.05	0.02	0.026	2009				
Pentachlorophenol	0.001	0.0002	0.0003	2009				
Picloram	0.5	0.001	0.166	2016				
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	0.0005	0.0005	0.00009	2007				
	0.004	0.001	0.004	2001				
	0.07	0.001	0.042	2016				
1 0 2 Trickland gran and	0.003	0.001	0.00003	2003				
1,2,3-1 richloropropane	0.000005	0.000000	0.0000007	2009				
	3X 10 <sup>-</sup>	5X 10 <sup>-0</sup>	5X10-11	2010				
2,4,3-11 (Silvex)	0.05	0.001	0.003	2014				
Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CC	R §64533—	Disinfectio	n Byproduct	S				
Total Trihalomethanes	0.080							
Bromodichloromethane		0.0010	0.00006	2018 draft				

#### ATTACHMENT NO. 1 2019 PHG Triennial Report: Calendar Years 2016-2017-2018

Bromoform		0.0010	0.0005	2018 draft	
Chloroform		0.0010	0.0004	2018 draft	
Dibromochloromethane		0.0010	0.0001	2018 draft	
Haloacetic Acids (five) (HAA5)	0.060				
Monochloroacetic Acid		0.0020			
Dichloroacetic Adic		0.0010			
Trichloroacetic Acid		0.0010			
Monobromoacetic Acid		0.0010			
Dibromoacetic Acid		0.0010			
Bromate	0.010	0.0050**	0.0001	2009	
Chlorite	1.0	0.020	0.05	2009	
Chemicals with PHGs established in response to DDW requests. These are not currently regulated drinking water contaminants.					
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA)			0.000003	2006	
*OEHHA's review of this chemical during the year indicated (rev20XX) resulted in no change in the PHG.					
**The DLR for Bromate is 0.0010 mg/L for analysis performed using EPA Method 317.0 Revision 2.0, 321.8, or 326.0.					



Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
Alachlor	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.004	NA <sup>5,6</sup>	0.002	NA
<u>Aluminum</u>	neurotoxicity and immunotoxicity (harms the nervous and immune systems)	0.6	NA	1	NA
Antimony	digestive system toxicity (causes vomiting)	0.02	NA	0.006	NA
<u>Arsenic</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000004 (4×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)	0.01	2.5×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.5 per thousand)
<u>Asbestos</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	7 MFL <sup>7</sup> (fibers >10 microns in length)	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	7 MFL (fibers >10 microns in length)	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)
<u>Atrazine</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00015	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.001	7×10⁻ <sup>6</sup> (seven per million)

<sup>1</sup> Based on the OEHHA PHG technical support document unless otherwise specified. The categories are the hazard traits defined by OEHHA for California's Toxics Information Clearinghouse (online at: <u>http://oehha.ca.gov/multimedia/green/pdf/GC\_Regtext011912.pdf</u>).

 $^{2}$  mg/L = milligrams per liter of water or parts per million (ppm)

<sup>3</sup> Cancer Risk = Upper bound estimate of excess cancer risk from lifetime exposure. Actual cancer risk may be lower or zero.  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  means one excess cancer case per million people exposed.

<sup>4</sup> MCL = maximum contaminant level.

 $^{5}$  NA = not applicable. Cancer risk cannot be calculated.

<sup>6</sup> The PHG for alachlor is based on a threshold model of carcinogenesis and is set at a level that is believed to be without any significant cancer risk to individuals exposed to the chemical over a lifetime.

 $^{7}$  MFL = million fibers per liter of water.

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Barium</u>	cardiovascular toxicity (causes high blood pressure)	2	NA	1	NA
<u>Bentazon</u>	hepatotoxicity and digestive system toxicity (harms the liver, intestine, and causes body weight effects <sup>8</sup> )	0.2	NA	0.018	NA
<u>Benzene</u>	carcinogenicity (causes leukemia)	0.00015	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.001	7×10 <sup>-6</sup> (seven per million)
<u>Benzo[a]pyrene</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000007 (7×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0002	3×10 <sup>-5</sup> (three per hundred thousand)
<u>Beryllium</u>	digestive system toxicity (harms the stomach or intestine)	0.001	NA	0.004	NA
<u>Bromate</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.01	1×10 <sup>-4</sup> (one per ten thousand)
Cadmium	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.00004	NA	0.005	NA
<u>Carbofuran</u>	reproductive toxicity (harms the testis)	0.0007	NA	0.018	NA

<sup>8</sup> Body weight effects are an indicator of general toxicity in animal studies.

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Carbon</u> tetrachloride	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0005	5×10 <sup>-6</sup> (five per million)
<u>Chlordane</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00003	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0001	3×10 <sup>-6</sup> (three per million)
<u>Chlorite</u>	hematotoxicity (causes anemia) neurotoxicity (causes neurobehavioral effects)	0.05	NA	1	NA
<u>Chromium,</u> <u>hexavalent</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00002	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	none	NA
<u>Copper</u>	digestive system toxicity (causes nausea, vomiting, diarrhea)	0.3	NA	1.3 (AL <sup>9</sup> )	NA
<u>Cyanide</u>	neurotoxicity (damages nerves) endocrine toxicity (affects the thyroid)	0.15	NA	0.15	NA
<u>Dalapon</u>	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.79	NA	0.2	NA
<u>Di(2-ethylhexyl)</u> adipate (DEHA)	developmental toxicity (disrupts development)	0.2	NA	0.4	NA
<u>Diethylhexyl-</u> phthalate (DEHP)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.012	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.004	3×10 <sup>-7</sup> (three per ten million)

<sup>9</sup> AL = action level. The action levels for copper and lead refer to a concentration measured at the tap. Much of the copper and lead in drinking water is derived from household plumbing (The Lead and Copper Rule, Title 22, California Code of Regulations [CCR] section 64672.3).

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>1,2-Dibromo-3-</u> <u>chloropropane</u> (DBCP)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0000017 (1.7x10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0002	1×10 <sup>-4</sup> (one per ten thousand)
<u>1,2-Dichloro-</u> <u>benzene</u> ( <u>o-DCB)</u>	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.6	NA	0.6	NA
<u>1,4-Dichloro-</u> <u>benzene</u> ( <u>p-DCB)</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.006	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	8×10 <sup>-7</sup> (eight per ten million)
<u>1,1-Dichloro-</u> <u>ethane</u> (1,1-DCA)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.003	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	2×10 <sup>-6</sup> (two per million)
<u>1,2-Dichloro-</u> <u>ethane</u> (1,2-DCA)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0004	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0005	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)
<u>1,1-Dichloro-</u> ethylene (1,1-DCE)	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.01	NA	0.006	NA
<u>1,2-Dichloro-</u> ethylene, cis	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.013	NA	0.006	NA
<u>1,2-Dichloro-</u> ethylene, trans	immunotoxicity (harms the immune system)	0.05	NA	0.01	NA
Dichloromethane (methylene chloride)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.004	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>2,4-Dichloro-</u> phenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D)	hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity (harms the liver and kidney)	0.02	NA	0.07	NA
<u>1,2-Dichloro-</u> propane (propylene dichloride)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0005	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	1×10 <sup>-5</sup> (one per hundred thousand)
<u>1,3-Dichloro-</u> propene (Telone II®)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0002	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0005	2×10 <sup>-6</sup> (two per million)
<u>Dinoseb</u>	reproductive toxicity (harms the uterus and testis)	0.014	NA	0.007	NA
<u>Diquat</u>	ocular toxicity (harms the eye) developmental toxicity (causes malformation)	0.006	NA	0.02	NA
<u>Endothall</u>	digestive system toxicity (harms the stomach or intestine)	0.094	NA	0.1	NA
<u>Endrin</u>	neurotoxicity (causes convulsions) hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.0003	NA	0.002	NA
Ethylbenzene (phenylethane)	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.3	NA	0.3	NA
<u>Ethylene</u> <u>dibromide (1,2-</u> Dibromoethane)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.00005	5×10 <sup>-6</sup> (five per million)

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Fluoride</u>	musculoskeletal toxicity (causes tooth mottling)	1	NA	2	NA
<u>Glyphosate</u>	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.9	NA	0.7	NA
<u>Heptachlor</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000008 (8×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.00001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)
<u>Heptachlor</u> <u>epoxide</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000006 (6×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.00001	2×10 <sup>-6</sup> (two per million)
<u>Hexachloroben-</u> <u>zene</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00003	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.001	3×10 <sup>-5</sup> (three per hundred thousand)
<u>Hexachloro-</u> cyclopentadiene (HCCPD)	digestive system toxicity (causes stomach lesions)	0.002	NA	0.05	NA
<u>Lead</u>	developmental neurotoxicity (causes neurobehavioral effects in children) cardiovascular toxicity (causes high blood pressure) carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0002	<1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (PHG is not based on this effect)	0.015 (AL <sup>®</sup> )	2×10 <sup>-6</sup> (two per million)
<u>Lindane</u> <u>(γ-BHC)</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000032	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0002	6×10 <sup>-6</sup> (six per million)
<u>Mercury</u> (inorganic)	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.0012	NA	0.002	NA

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Methoxychlor</u>	endocrine toxicity (causes hormone effects)	0.00009	NA	0.03	NA
<u>Methyl tertiary-</u> <u>butyl ether</u> (MTBE)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.013	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.013	1×10 <sup>-6</sup> (one per million)
<u>Molinate</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.02	2×10 <sup>-5</sup> (two per hundred thousand)
<u>Monochloro-</u> <u>benzene</u> (chlorobenzene)	nephrotoxicity (harms the kidney)	0.07	NA	0.07	NA
<u>Nickel</u>	developmental toxicity (causes increased neonatal deaths)	0.012	NA	0.1	NA
<u>Nitrate</u>	hematotoxicity (causes methemoglobinemia)	45 as nitrate	NA	10 as nitrogen (=45 as nitrate)	NA
<u>Nitrite</u>	hematotoxicity (causes methemoglobinemia)	3 as nitrite	NA	1 as nitrogen (=3 as nitrite)	NA
Nitrate and Nitrite	hematotoxicity (causes methemoglobinemia)	10 as nitrogen <sup>10</sup>	NA	10 as nitrogen	NA

<sup>10</sup> The joint nitrate/nitrite PHG of 10 mg/L (10 ppm, expressed as nitrogen) does not replace the individual values, and the maximum contribution from nitrite should not exceed 1 mg/L nitrite-nitrogen.

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>N-nitroso-</u> <u>dimethyl-amine</u> (NDMA)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.000003 (3×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	none	NA
<u>Oxamyl</u>	general toxicity (causes body weight effects)	0.026	NA	0.05	NA
<u>Pentachloro-</u> phenol (PCP)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0003	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.001	3×10 <sup>-6</sup> (three per million)
Perchlorate	endocrine toxicity (affects the thyroid) developmental toxicity (causes neurodevelop- mental deficits)	0.001	NA	0.006	NA
<u>Picloram</u>	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.166	NA	0.5	NA
<u>Polychlorinated</u> <u>biphenyls</u> (PCBs)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00009	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0005	6×10 <sup>-6</sup> (six per million)
<u>Radium-226</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.05 pCi/L	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 pCi/L (combined Ra <sup>226+228</sup> )	1×10 <sup>-4</sup> (one per ten thousand)
<u>Radium-228</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.019 pCi/L	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 pCi/L (combined Ra <sup>226+228</sup> )	3×10 <sup>-4</sup> (three per ten thousand)
<u>Selenium</u>	integumentary toxicity (causes hair loss and nail damage)	0.03	NA	0.05	NA

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Silvex (2,4,5-TP)</u>	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.003	NA	0.05	NA
<u>Simazine</u>	general toxicity (causes body weight effects)	0.004	NA	0.004	NA
<u>Strontium-90</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.35 pCi/L	1×10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	8 pCi/L	2×10 <sup>-5</sup> (two per hundred thousand)
<u>Styrene</u> (vinylbenzene)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0005	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.1	2×10 <sup>-4</sup> (two per ten thousand)
<u>1,1,2,2-</u> Tetrachloro- ethane	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0001	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.001	1×10 <sup>-5</sup> (one per hundred thousand)
<u>2,3,7,8-Tetra-</u> <u>chlorodibenzo-<i>p</i>- dioxin (TCDD, or dioxin)</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	5×10 <sup>-11</sup>	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3×10⁻ <sup>8</sup>	6×10 <sup>-4</sup> (six per ten thousand)
Tetrachloro- ethylene (perchloro- ethylene, or PCE)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00006	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	8×10 <sup>-5</sup> (eight per hundred thousand)
<u>Thallium</u>	integumentary toxicity (causes hair loss)	0.0001	NA	0.002	NA

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Thiobencarb</u>	general toxicity (causes body weight effects) hematotoxicity (affects red blood cells)	0.042	NA	0.07	NA
<u>Toluene</u> (methylbenzene)	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver) endocrine toxicity (harms the thymus)	0.15	NA	0.15	NA
<u>Toxaphene</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00003	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.003	1×10 <sup>-4</sup> (one per ten thousand)
<u>1,2,4-Trichloro-</u> benzene	endocrine toxicity (harms adrenal glands)	0.005	NA	0.005	NA
<u>1,1,1-Trichloro-</u> <u>ethane</u>	neurotoxicity (harms the nervous system), reproductive toxicity (causes fewer offspring) hepatotoxicity (harms the liver) hematotoxicity (causes blood effects)	1	NA	0.2	NA
<u>1,1,2-Trichloro-</u> ethane	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0003	1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	2×10 <sup>-5</sup> (two per hundred thousand)
<u>Trichloro-</u> ethylene (TCE)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0017	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.005	3×10 <sup>-6</sup> (three per million)

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	California PHG (mg/L) <sup>2</sup>	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> at the PHG	California MCL⁴ (mg/L)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
<u>Trichlorofluoro-</u> <u>methane</u> (Freon 11)	accelerated mortality (increase in early death)	1.3	NA	0.15	NA
<u>1,2,3-Trichloro-</u> propane (1,2,3-TCP)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.0000007 (7×10 <sup>-7</sup> )	1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.000005 (5×10 <sup>-6</sup> )	7×10 <sup>-6</sup> (seven per million)
<u>1,1,2-Trichloro-</u> <u>1,2,2-trifluoro-</u> <u>ethane</u> (Freon 113)	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	4	NA	1.2	NA
<u>Tritium</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	400 pCi/L	1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	20,000 pCi/L	5x10 <sup>-5</sup> (five per hundred thousand)
<u>Uranium</u>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.43 pCi/L	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	20 pCi/L	5×10 <sup>-5</sup> (five per hundred thousand)
Vinyl chloride	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.00005	1×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0005	1×10 <sup>-5</sup> (one per hundred thousand)
<u>Xylene</u>	neurotoxicity (affects the senses, mood, and motor control)	1.8 (single isomer or sum of isomers)	NA	1.75 (single isomer or sum of isomers)	NA

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	US EPA MCLG <sup>2</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> @ MCLG	California MCL⁴ (mg/L)	Cancer Risk @ California MCL		
Disinfection byproducts (DBPs)							
Chloramines	acute toxicity (causes irritation) digestive system toxicity (harms the stomach) hematotoxicity (causes anemia)	4 <sup>5,6</sup>	NA <sup>7</sup>	none	NA		
Chlorine	acute toxicity (causes irritation) digestive system toxicity (harms the stomach)	4 <sup>5,6</sup>	NA	none	NA		
Chlorine dioxide	hematotoxicity (causes anemia) neurotoxicity (harms the nervous system)	0.8 <sup>5,6</sup>	NA	none	NA		
Disinfection bypro	oducts: haloacetic acids (	HAA5)					
Monochloroacetic acid (MCA)	general toxicity (causes body and organ weight changes <sup>8</sup> )	0.07	NA	none	NA		
Dichloroacetic acid (DCA)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0	0	none	NA		

<sup>1</sup> Health risk category based on the US EPA MCLG document or California MCL document unless otherwise specified.

<sup>2</sup> MCLG = maximum contaminant level goal established by US EPA.

<sup>3</sup> Cancer Risk = Upper estimate of excess cancer risk from lifetime exposure. Actual cancer risk may be lower or zero.  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  means one excess cancer case per million people exposed.

<sup>4</sup> California MCL = maximum contaminant level established by California.

<sup>5</sup> Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal, or MRDLG.

<sup>6</sup> The federal Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL), or highest level of disinfectant allowed in drinking water, is the same value for this chemical.

 $^{7}$  NA = not available.

<sup>8</sup> Body weight effects are an indicator of general toxicity in animal studies.

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	US EPA MCLG <sup>2</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> @ MCLG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk @ California MCL
Trichloroacetic acid (TCA)	hepatotoxicity (harms the liver)	0.02	NA	none	NA
Monobromoacetic acid (MBA)	NA	none	NA	none	NA
Dibromoacetic acid (DBA)	NA	none	NA	none	NA
Total haloacetic acids (sum of MCA, DCA, TCA, MBA, and DBA)	general toxicity, hepatotoxicity and carcinogenicity (causes body and organ weight changes, harms the liver and causes cancer)	none	NA	0.06	NA
Disinfection bypro	oducts: trihalomethanes (	THMs)			
Bromodichloro- methane (BDCM)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0	0	none	NA
Bromoform	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0	0	none	NA
Chloroform	hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity (harms the liver and kidney)	0.07	NA	none	NA
Dibromo- chloromethane (DBCM)	hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and neurotoxicity (harms the liver, kidney, and nervous system)	0.06	NA	none	NA

Chemical	Health Risk Category <sup>1</sup>	US EPA MCLG <sup>2</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk <sup>3</sup> @ MCLG	California MCL <sup>4</sup> (mg/L)	Cancer Risk @ California MCL
Total trihalomethanes (sum of BDCM, bromoform, chloroform and DBCM)	carcinogenicity (causes cancer), hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and neurotoxicity (harms the liver, kidney, and nervous system)	none	NA	0.08	NA
Radionuclides					
Gross alpha particles <sup>9</sup>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0 ( <sup>210</sup> Po included)	0	15 pCi/L <sup>10</sup> (includes <sup>226</sup> Ra but not radon and uranium)	up to 1x10 <sup>-3</sup> (for <sup>210</sup> Po, the most potent alpha emitter
Beta particles and photon emitters <sup>9</sup>	carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0 ( <sup>210</sup> Pb included)	0	50 pCi/L (judged equiv. to 4 mrem/yr)	up to 2x10 <sup>-3</sup> (for <sup>210</sup> Pb, the most potent beta- emitter)

<sup>9</sup> MCLs for gross alpha and beta particles are screening standards for a group of radionuclides. Corresponding PHGs were not developed for gross alpha and beta particles. See the OEHHA memoranda discussing the cancer risks at these MCLs at http://www.oehha.ca.gov/water/reports/grossab.html.

<sup>10</sup> pCi/L = picocuries per liter of water.



## ATTACHMENT NO. 3 Table 1 Reference: 2012 ACWA PHG Survey

## COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

(INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated Unit Cost 2012 ACWA Survey Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
1	lon Exchange	Coachella Valley WD, for GW, to reduce Arsenic concentrations. 2011 costs.	2.19
2	lon Exchange	City of Riverside Public Utilities, for GW, for Perchlorate treatment.	1.06
3	lon Exchange	Carollo Engineers, anonymous utility, 2012 costs for treating GW source for Nitrates. Design souce water concentration: 88 mg/L NO <sub>3</sub> . Design finished water concentration: 45 mg/L NO <sub>3</sub> . Does not include concentrate disposal or land cost.	0.80
4	Granular Activated Carbon	City of Riverside Public Utilities, GW sources, for TCE, DBCP (VOC, SOC) treatment.	0.52
5	Granular Activated Carbon	Carollo Engineers, anonymous utility, 2012 costs for treating SW source for TTHMs. Design souce water concentration: 0.135 mg/L. Design finished water concentration: 0.07 mg/L. Does not include concentrate disposal or land cost.	0.33
6	Granular Activated Carbon, Liquid Phase	LADWP, Liquid Phase GAC treatment at Tujunga Well field. Costs for treating 2 wells. Treament for 1,1 DCE (VOC). 2011-2012 costs.	1.62
7	Reverse Osmosis	Carollo Engineers, anonymous utility, 2012 costs for treating GW source for Nitrates. Design souce water concentration: 88 mg/L NO <sub>3.</sub> Design finished water concentration: 45 mg/L NO <sub>3</sub> . Does not include <u>concentrate disposal or land cost.</u>	0.86
8	Packed Tower Aeration	City of Monrovia, treatment to reduce TCE, PCE concentrations. 2011-12 costs.	0.47
9	Ozonation+ Chemical addition	SCVWD, STWTP treatment plant includes chemical addition + ozone generation costs to reduce THM/HAAs concentrations. 2009-2012 costs.	0.10

### **COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES**

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated Unit Cost 2012 ACWA Survey Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
10	Ozonation+ Chemical addition	SCVWD, PWTP treatment plant includes chemical addition + ozone generation costs to reduce THM/HAAs concentrations, 2009-2012 costs.	0.21
11	Coagulation/Filtra tion	Soquel WD, treatment to reduce manganese concentrations in GW. 2011 costs.	0.80
12	Coagulation/Filtra tion Optimization	San Diego WA, costs to reduce THM/Bromate, Turbidity concentrations, raw SW a blend of State Water Project water and Colorado River water, treated at Twin Oaks Valley WTP.	0.91
13	Blending (Well)	Rancho California WD, GW blending well, 1150 gpm, to reduce fluoride concentrations.	0.76
14	Blending (Wells)	Rancho California WD, GW blending wells, to reduce arsenic concentrations, 2012 costs.	0.62
15	Blending	Rancho California WD, using MWD water to blend with GW to reduce arsenic concentrations. 2012 costs.	0.74
16	Corrosion Inhibition	Atascadero Mutual WC, corrosion inhibitor addition to control aggressive water. 2011 costs.	0.09

#### (INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

\*Costs were adjusted from date of original estimates to present, where appropriate, using the Engineering News Record (ENR) annual average building costs of 2018 and 2012. The adjustment factor was derived from the ratio of 2018 Index/2012 Index, or 1.188.

For the indexed 2015 costs, please refer to the ACWA PHG Guidance published in March 2016.

## ATTACHMENT NO. 3 Table 2 Reference: Other Agencies

## COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

### (INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated 2012 Unit Cost Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
1	Reduction - Coagulation- Filtration	Reference: February 28, 2013, Final Report Chromium Removal Research, City of Glendale, CA. 100-2000 gpm. Reduce Hexavalent Chromium to 1 ppb.	1.74 - 10.97
2	IX - Weak Base Anion Resin	Reference: February 28, 2013, Final Report Chromium Removal Research, City of Glendale, CA. 100-2000 gpm. Reduce Hexavalent Chromium to 1 ppb.	1.79 - 7.47
3	IX	Golden State Water Co., IX w/disposable resin, 1 MGD, Perchlorate removal, built in 2010.	0.55
4	IX	Golden State Water Co., IX w/disposable resin, 1000 gpm, perchlorate removal (Proposed; O&M estimated).	1.19
5	IX	Golden State Water Co., IX with brine regeneration, 500 gpm for Selenium removal, built in 2007.	7.81
6	GFO/Adsorption	Golden State Water Co., Granular Ferric Oxide Resin, Arsenic removal, 600 gpm, 2 facilities, built in 2006.	2.04 - 2.18
7	RO	Reference: Inland Empire Utilities Agency : Chino Basin Desalter. RO cost to reduce 800 ppm TDS, 150 ppm Nitrate (as NO3); approx. 7 mgd.	2.67
8	IX	Reference: Inland Empire Utilities Agency : Chino Basin Desalter. IX cost to reduce 150 ppm Nitrate (as NO3); approx. 2.6 mgd.	1.49

9	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: Inland Empire Utilities Agency : Chino Basin Desalter. PTA-VOC air stripping, typical treated flow of approx. 1.6 mgd.	0.45
10	IX	Reference: West Valley WD Report, for Water Recycling Funding Program, for 2.88 mgd treatment facility. IX to remove Perchlorate, Perchlorate levels 6-10 ppb. 2008 costs.	0.62 - 0.88
11	Coagulation Filtration	Reference: West Valley WD, includes capital, O&M costs for 2.88 mgd treatment facility- Layne Christensen packaged coagulation Arsenic removal system. 2009-2012 costs.	0.41
12	FBR	Reference: West Valley WD/Envirogen design data for the O&M + actual capitol costs, 2.88 mgd fluidized bed reactor (FBR) treatment system, Perchlorate and Nitrate removal, followed by multimedia filtration & chlorination, 2012. NOTE: The capitol cost for the treatment facility for the first 2,000 gpm is \$23 million annualized over 20 years with ability to expand to 4,000 gpm with minimal costs in the future. \$17 million funded through state and federal grants with the remainder funded by WVWD and the City of Rialto.	1.84 - 1.94

\*Costs were adjusted from date of original estimates to present, where appropriate, using the Engineering News Record (ENR) annual average building costs of 2018 and 2012. The adjustment factor was derived from the ratio of 2018 Index/2012 Index, or 1.188.

For the indexed 2015 costs, please refer to the ACWA PHG Guidance published in March 2016.
# ATTACHMENT NO. 3 Table 3 Reference: Updated 2012 ACWA Cost of Treatment Table

# COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

## (INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated 2012 Unit Cost Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
1	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: Malcolm Pirnie estimate for California Urban Water Agencies, large surface water treatment plants treating water from the State Water Project to meet Stage 2 D/DBP and bromate regulation, 1998	0.63 - 1.19
2	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: Carollo Engineers, estimate for VOC treatment (PCE), 95% removal of PCE, Oct. 1994,1900 gpm design capacity	0.29
3	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: Carollo Engineers, est. for a large No. Calif. surf. water treatment plant (90 mgd capacity) treating water from the State Water Project, to reduce THM precursors, ENR construction cost index = 6262 (San Francisco area) - 1992	1.38
4	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: CH2M Hill study on San Gabriel Basin, for 135 mgd central treatment facility for VOC and SOC removal by GAC, 1990	0.54 - 0.78
5	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: Southern California Water Co actual data for "rented" GAC to remove VOCs (1,1-DCE), 1.5 mgd capacity facility, 1998	2.47
6	Granular Activated Carbon	Reference: Southern California Water Co actual data for permanent GAC to remove VOCs (TCE), 2.16 mgd plant capacity, 1998	1.60
7	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Malcolm Pirnie estimate for California Urban Water Agencies, large surface water treatment plants treating water from the State Water Project to meet Stage 2 D/DBP and bromate regulation, 1998	1.85 - 3.55
8	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Boyle Engineering, RO cost to reduce 1000 ppm TDS in brackish groundwater in So. Calif., 1.0 mgd plant operated at 40% of design flow, high brine line cost, May 1991	4.38
9	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Boyle Engineering, RO cost to reduce 1000 ppm TDS in brackish groundwater in So. Calif., 1.0 mgd plant operated at 100% of design flow, high brine line cost, May 1991	2.70
10	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Boyle Engineering, RO cost to reduce 1000 ppm TDS in brackish groundwater in So. Calif., 10.0 mgd plant operated at 40% of design flow, high brine line cost, May 1991	2.92

# COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

## (INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated 2012 Unit Cost Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
11	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Boyle Engineering, RO cost to reduce 1000 ppm TDS in brackish groundwater in So. Calif., 10.0 mgd plant operated at 100% of design flow, high brine line cost, May 1991	2.26
12	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Arsenic Removal Study, City of Scottsdale, AZ - CH2M Hill, for a 1.0 mgd plant operated at 40% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	7.33
13	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Arsenic Removal Study, City of Scottsdale, AZ - CH2M Hill, for a 1.0 mgd plant operated at 100% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	4.33
14	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Arsenic Removal Study, City of Scottsdale, AZ - CH2M Hill, for a 10.0 mgd plant operated at 40% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	3.24
15	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: Arsenic Removal Study, City of Scottsdale, AZ - CH2M Hill, for a 10.0 mgd plant operated at 100% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	2.01
16	Reverse Osmosis	Reference: CH2M Hill study on San Gabriel Basin, for 135 mgd central treatment facility with RO to remove nitrate, 1990	2.02 - 3.55
17	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: Analysis of Costs for Radon Removal (AWWARF publication), Kennedy/Jenks, for a 1.4 mgd facility operating at 40% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	1.16
18	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: Analysis of Costs for Radon Removal (AWWARF publication), Kennedy/Jenks, for a 14.0 mgd facility operating at 40% of design capacity, Oct. 1991	0.62
19	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: Carollo Engineers, estimate for VOC treatment (PCE) by packed tower aeration, without off- gas treatment, O&M costs based on operation during 329 days/year at 10% downtime, 16 hr/day air stripping operation, 1900 gpm design capacity, Oct. 1994	0.31
20	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: Carollo Engineers, for PCE treatment by Ecolo-Flo Enviro-Tower air stripping, without off-gas treatment, O&M costs based on operation during 329 days/year at 10% downtime, 16 hr/day air stripping operation, 1900 gpm design capacity, Oct. 1994	0.32
21	Packed Tower Aeration	Reference: CH2M Hill study on San Gabriel Basin, for 135 mgd central treatment facility - packed tower aeration for VOC and radon removal, 1990	0.50 - 0.82

# COST ESTIMATES FOR TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

No.	Treatment Technology	Source of Information	Estimated 2012 Unit Cost Indexed to 2018* (\$/1,000 gallons treated)
22	Advanced Oxidation Processes	Reference: Carollo Engineers, estimate for VOC treatment (PCE) by UV Light, Ozone, Hydrogen Peroxide, O&M costs based on operation during 329 days/year at 10% downtime, 24 hr/day AOP operation, 1900 gpm capacity, Oct. 1994	0.61
23	Ozonation	Reference: Malcolm Pirnie estimate for CUWA, large surface water treatment plants using ozone to treat water from the State Water Project to meet Stage 2 D/DBP and bromate regulation, <i>Cryptosporidium</i> inactivation requirements,1998	0.14 - 0.29
24	lon Exchange	Reference: CH2M Hill study on San Gabriel Basin, for 135 mgd central treatment facility - ion exchange to remove nitrate, 1990	0.67 - 0.88

## (INCLUDES ANNUALIZED CAPITAL AND O&M COSTS)

\*Costs were adjusted from date of original estimates to present, where appropriate, using the Engineering News Record (ENR) annual average building costs of 2018 and 2012. The adjustment factor was derived from the ratio of 2018 Index/2012 Index, or 1.188. For the indexed 2015 costs, please refer to the ACWA PHG Guidance published in March 2016.



# **ATTACHMENT NO. 4**

### ATTACHMENT NO. 4

NOTE: This publication is meant to be an aid to the staff of the State Board's Division of Drinking Water and cannot be relied upon by the regulated community as the State of California's representation of the law. The published codes are the only official representation of the law. Refer to the published codes—in this case, 17 CCR and 22 CCR—whenever specific citations are required. Statutes related to the State Board's drinking water-related activities are in the Health & Safety Code, the Water Code, and other codes.

discontinued, if directed by the State Board. Such a water source shall not be returned to service without written approval from the State Board.

### §64445.2. Sampling of Treated Water Sources.

(a) Each water supplier utilizing treatment to comply with any MCL for an organic chemical listed in table 64444-A shall collect monthly samples of the treated water at a site prior to the distribution system. If the treated water exceeds the MCL, the water supplier shall resample the treated water to confirm the result and report the result to the State Board within 48 hours of the confirmation.

(b) The State Board will consider requiring more frequent monitoring based on an evaluation of (1) the treatment process used, (2) the treatment effectiveness and efficiency, and (3) the concentration of the organic chemical in the water source.

## Article 12. Best available technologies (BAT)

## §64447. Best Available Technologies (BAT) – Microbiological Contaminants.

The technologies identified by the State Board as the best available technology, treatment techniques, or other means available for achieving compliance with the total coliform MCL are as follows:

(a) Protection of wells from coliform contamination by appropriate placement and construction;

(b) Maintenance of a disinfectant residual throughout the distribution system;

(c) Proper maintenance of the distribution system; and

(d) Filtration and/or disinfection of approved surface water, in compliance with Section 64650, or disinfection of groundwater.

## §64447.2. Best Available Technologies (BAT) - Inorganic chemicals.

The technologies listed in table 64447.2-A are the best available technology, treatment techniques, or other means available for achieving compliance with the MCLs in table 64431-A for inorganic chemicals.

NOTE: This publication is meant to be an aid to the staff of the State Board's Division of Drinking Water and cannot be relied upon by the regulated community as the State of California's representation of the law. The published codes are the only official representation of the law. Refer to the published codes—in this case, 17 CCR and 22 CCR—whenever specific citations are required. Statutes related to the State Board's drinking water-related activities are in the Health & Safety Code, the Water Code, and other codes.

# **Table 64447.2-A Best Available Technologies (BAT) Inorganic Chemicals**

Best Available

Chemical	Best Available Technologies (BATs)
Aluminum	10
Antimony	2,7
Arsenic	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13
Asbestos	2, 3, 8
Barium	5, 6, 7, 9
Beryllium	1, 2, 5, 6, 7
Cadmium	2, 5, 6, 7
Chromium	$2, 5, 6^{a}, 7$
Cyanide	5, 7, 11
Fluoride	1
Mercury	$2^{\rm b}$ , 4, $6^{\rm b}$ , $7^{\rm b}$
Nickel	5, 6, 7
Nitrate	5, 7, 9
Nitrite	5,7
Perchlorate	5,12
Selenium	1, 2 <sup>c</sup> , 6, 7, 9
Thallium	1, 5

<sup>a</sup>BAT for chromium III (trivalent chromium) only. <sup>b</sup>BAT only if influent mercury concentrations  $<10 \mu g/L$ . <sup>c</sup>BAT for selenium IV only.

Key to BATs in table 64447.2:

- 1 = Activated Alumina
- 2 = Coagulation/Filtration (not BAT for systems < 500 service connections)
- 3 = Direct and Diatomite Filtration
- 4 = Granular Activated Carbon
- 5 =Ion Exchange
- 6 = Lime Softening (not BAT for systems < 500 service connections)
- 7 =Reverse Osmosis
- 8 = Corrosion Control
- 9 = Electrodialysis
- 10 = Optimizing treatment and reducing aluminum added
- 11 = Chlorine oxidation
- 12 = Biological fluidized bed reactor
- 13 = Oxidation/Filtration

NOTE: This publication is meant to be an aid to the staff of the State Board's Division of Drinking Water and cannot be relied upon by the regulated community as the State of California's representation of the law. The published codes are the only official representation of the law. Refer to the published codes—in this case, 17 CCR and 22 CCR—whenever specific citations are required. Statutes related to the State Board's drinking water-related activities are in the Health & Safety Code, the Water Code, and other codes.

# §64447.3. Best Available Technologies (BAT) - Radionuclides.

The technologies listed in tables 64447.3-A, B and C are the best available technology, treatment technologies, or other means available for achieving compliance with the MCLs for radionuclides in tables 64442 and 64443.

# Table 64447.3-A Best Available Technologies (BATs) Radionuclides

Radionuclide	Best Available Technology
Combined radium-226 and radium-228	Ion exchange, reverse osmosis, lime softening
Uranium	Ion exchange, reverse osmosis, lime softening, coagulation/filtration
Gross alpha particle activity	Reverse osmosis
Beta particle and photon radioactivity	Ion exchange, reverse osmosis

# Table 64447.3-B Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Limitations for Small Water Systems Radionuclides

Unit Technologies	Limitations	Operator	Raw Water Quality Range and
	(see	Skill Level	Considerations
	<i>footnotes</i> )	Required	
1. Ion exchange	(a)	Intermediate	All ground waters; competing anion
			concentrations may affect regeneration
			frequency
2. Point of use, ion exchange	(b)	Basic	All ground waters; competing anion
			concentrations may affect regeneration
			frequency
3. Reverse osmosis	(c)	Advanced	Surface waters usually require pre-
			filtration
4. Point of use, reverse osmosis	(b)	Basic	Surface waters usually require pre-
			filtration
5. Lime softening	(d)	Advanced	All waters



# **ATTACHMENT NO. 5**

#### **ATTACHMENT NO. 5**

### THE WATER QUALITY DATA CHART LISTS ALL DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS DETECTED DURING THE 2016 CALENDAR YEAR.

The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate the water poses a health risk. PWD tests for many contaminants in addition to those listed in the chart. Test results for these additional contaminants were all "None Detected (ND)" and are not required to be included in the chart. The state allows us to monitor for some contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. As a result, some of the data, though representative of the water quality, is more than one year old. Unless otherwise noted, the data presented in this chart is from testing performed January 1 to December 31, 2016. Unregulated contaminant monitoring helps USEPA and the State Water Resources Control Board to determine where certain contaminants occur and whether the contaminants need to be regulated.

	MCL or MRDL (units)		1927		Treated Surface Water		*Ground Water		EPA	alessa dates alessas
Parameter Primary Standards		Meets Standard?	DLR	Sample Frequency* Surface Water/ Groundwater	Range	Sampled 3/17/2016 or Average Effluent	Range	i in 2016 Average	(MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant
Turbidity (Water Clarity)	TT = 1 NTU TT = 95% of monthly samples ≤0.3 NTU	Y.	NA	Continuous/Once in 3yrs.	0.05 - 0.13 100%	0.08 100%	0.06 - 0.62 NA	0.12 NA	NA	Soil Runoff
Turbidity is a measure of the clou	idiness of the water. We mea	asure it becaus	se it is a	good indicator of the e	effectiveness of our f	iltration system. Tre	ated Surface W	ater Range an	d Average are	of Daily Maximum
Dist. System Microbiological										
Total Coliform Bacteria (Total Coliform Rule)	For systems that collect less than 40 samples per month: More than 1 positive sample. For systems that collect 40 or more samples per month: No more than 5.0% of monthly samples are positive	Y	NA	Weekly	NA	0%	NA	NA	(0)	Naturally present in the environment
E. coli (Federal Ground Water Bule)	0	Y	NA	Weekly	NA	0	NA	0	(0)	Human and animal fecal waste

Organic Chemicals										
Disinfection By-products										
			Stage 2							
			All Sample Range	Highest LRAA						
TTHMs .	80 µg/L	Y	NA	Monthly/NA	1 - 113	54	NA	NA	NA	By-product of drinking water disinfection
HAA5	60 µg/L	Y	NA	Quarterly/NA	ND - 17	7.8	NA	NA	INA	
Disinfectant Residual										
					System RAA from Di	ist. Syst.				
Chlorine Residual	4.0 (mg/L as Cl2)	Y	NA	Weekly/NA	0.04 - 1.86	0.98	NA	NA	[4]	Drinking water disinfectant added for treatment
Disinfectant By-product Precursor	s Ik	City St.								
Control of DBP Precursor (Total Organic Carbon, TOC) - see explanation on the next page	$TT = ratio of actual TOC removal to required TOC removal shall be \geq 1$	Y	1	Monthly/NA	2.04 - 3.14	2.63	NA	NA	NA	Various natural and manmade sources
Total Organic Carbon	Reported as mg/L		0.3		0.7 - 1.4	1.1				

Inorganic Chemicals										
Arsenic	10 µg/L	Y	2	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	ND	ND - 2.3	ND	0.004	Erosion of natural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics production wastes
Fluoride	2 mg/L	Y	0.1	Quarterly/Quarterly	0.12 - 0.21	0.15	ND - 0.56	0.19	1	Erosion of natural deposits; water additive that promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories
Nitrate (as nitrogen)	10 mg/L	Y •	0.4	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 6.8	1.3	10	Runoff and leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits
Hexavalent Chromium	10 µg/L	Y	1	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 9.5	4.0	0.02	Steel and pulp mill discharges, chrome plating, natural erosion

Radioactivity										
Gross Alpha Activity**	15 pCi/L	Y	3	**See comment below	NA	ND	ND - 5.7	ND	(0)	Erosion of natural deposits
Uranium***	20 pCi/L	Y	1	NA/Quaterly	NA	ND	NA	1.1	0.43	

Tap Monitoring Lead & Copper	Action Level			No. of samples in 2015	90th Percentile	No. sites exceeded AL			
Lead	15 µg/L	Y	5	50	ND	NONE	NA	0.2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits
Copper	1.3 mg/L	Y	0.05	50	0.370	NONE	NA	0.3	Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives

				Sample	Treated St	ırface Water	*Groun	d Water	EPA		
Parameter Secondary Standards	MCL or MRDL (units)	Meets Standard?	DLR	Frequency* Surface Water/ Groundwater	Range	Sampled 3/17/2016 or Average Effluent	Range	Average	(MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant	
Color	15 units	Y	NA	Weekly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA		
Odor-Threshold	3 units	Y	1	Weekly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	1.0	ND - 1.0	ND	NA	<ul> <li>Naturally occurring organic materials</li> </ul>	
Chloride	500 mg/L	Y	NA	Quarterly/Quarterly	93 - 140	124	5 - 110	24	NA	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence	
Sulfate	500 mg/L	Y	0.5	Quarterly/Quarterly	46 - 87	64	16 - 170	41	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits; industrial wastes	
Total Dissolved Solids	1000 mg/L	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	500	140 - 550	246	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits	
Specific Conductance	1600 µmhos/cm	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	800	250 - 900	406	NA	Substances that form ions when in water; seawater influence	
Additional Constituent	ts Analyzed										
pH	NA (Units)	NA	NA	Continuous/Once in 3yrs.	6.8 - 7.5	7.0	7.9 - 8.4	8.1	NA	Leaching from natural deposits	
Hardness	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3yrs.	108 - 156	138	24 - 240	122	NA	Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcuim. The cations are usually naturally-occuring.	
Alkalinity	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3yrs.	56 - 86	75	79 - 200	117	NA	Dissolved as water passes through limestone	
Calcium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	36	8 - 75	38	NA	deposits	
Sodium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	110	17 - 80	36	NA	Generally naturally-occurring salt present in water	
Potassium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	3.4	ND - 3.0	1.6	NA	Leaching from natural deposits	
Magnesium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3yrs.	NA	14	0.7 - 16	<b>6.8</b>	NA	Dissolved as water passes through magnesium- bearing minerals	
Special Testing											
UCMR 3 (Sampled in 2015)					Effluent & I	Dist. System	Ground	l Water			
Molybdenum	NA	NA	1.0	Special	2.9 - 4.4	3.4	ND - 2.0	1.6	NA		
Strontium	NA	NA	0.30	Special	320 - 440	391	140 - 510	373	NA		
Vanadium	NL = 50 ug/L	Y	0.20	Special	ND - 22	6.6	7.1 - 31	17	NA	Leaching from natural deposits, steel manufacturing, hazardous waste sites	
Chromium (total)	50 µg/L	Y	0.20	Special	ND - 5.8	1.9	1.3 - 6.9	4.0	(100)	Discharge from steel and pulp mills and chrome plating; erosion of natural deposits	
Chromium -6	10 µg/L	Y	0.03	Special	0.09 - 5.9	1.9	1.3 - 7.7	4.2	0.02	Discharge from electroplating factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, chemical synthesis, refractory production, and textile manufacturing facilities, erosion of natural deposits	
Chlorate	NA	NA	20	Special	120 - 310	215	ND - 200	101	NA		
Bromochloromethane	NA	NA	0.06	Special	0.086 - 0.28	0.18	NA	ND	NA		

\* Wells are sampled once/3yrs except for Fluoride, Chloride, Sulfate, & Nitrate which are sampled quarterly. \*\* Sampled between 2010 and 2016. Individual sites are sampled once/6yrs or once/9yrs. Range is from individual sample results. \*\*\* Sample collected only when quarterly average of Gross Alpha exceeds 5pCi/L.

# Lead And Copper:

The District is required to draw new sample sets of tap samples for Lead and Copper every 3 years and the last samples taken were in the year 2015 (50 samples). The 90th percentile results of none-detected for lead and 0.370 ppm for copper are well within the AL of 15 ppb lead and the AL of 1.3 ppm for copper. If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. Palmdale Water District is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. [Optional: If you do so, you may wish to collect the flushed water and reuse it for another beneficial purpose, such as watering plants.] If you

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

The following definitions of key terms are provided to help you understand the data used in this report. **Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL):** The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment) a division of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CEPA).

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Running Annual Average (RAA): The running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of quarterly arithmetic averages of all samples collected.

Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting (DLR): The designated minimum level at or above which any analytical finding of a contaminant in drinking water shall be reported to the Department of Public Health. Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCMR): Unregulated contaminant monitoring helps USEPA and the California Department of Public Health to determine where certain contaminants occur and whether the contaminants need to be regulated.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

#### EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS:

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by Cryptosporidium and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791). PWD tested for cryptosporidium and giardia monthly from January through December in 2016 and results were "none detected."

TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES (TTHMS): Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs) are the total of four trihalomethanes of concern in drinking water: chloroform, bromoform, bromodichloromethane, and chlorodibromomethane. In the Primary Standards Disinfection Byproducts section of the Water Quality Chart under Highest LRAA from Distribution System, the highest Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA) for 2016 is  $54 \mu g/L$ , which is less than and complies with the Federal TTHM MCL of 80  $\mu g/L$ . The range of monthly sample results from all 8 sampling points in 2016 is  $1 - 113 \mu g/L$ , indicating that certain sampling points or specific locations within the customer service area have exceeded 80  $\mu g/L$ . These samples were taken from dedicated sample points within the distribution system and are representative of maximum residence time in the system.

Health effects of Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs): Some people who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL over many years may experience liver, kidney, or central nervous system problems and may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC): Total Organic Carbon (TOC) has no health effects. However, TOC provides a medium for the formation of disinfection byproducts. TOC result is based on quarterly RAA of percent removal ratio. Paired samples (one from source and the other from treated water) are collected monthly. The percent removal between source water and treated water is divided by the required monthly TOC percent removal based on certain criteria that all public water systems must follow. The quarterly RAA of these monthly results should be 1.0 or higher. Our quarterly RAA in 2016 ranged from 2.04 to 3.14 and averaged 2.63. Individual TOC sample results for treated water ranged from 0.7 to 1.4 mg/L and averaged 1.1 mg/L.

**HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM:** In the Primary Standards Inorganic Chemicals section of the chart for Hexavalent Chromium, the treated surface water sample is None Detected (ND). For groundwater samples (22 wells in service), the range of all quarterly sample results is None Detected (ND) to 9.5  $\mu$ g/L and the average is 4.0  $\mu$ g/L. The highest Running Annual Average (RAA) for treated surface water and groundwater is None Detected (ND) and 8.5  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The State Hexavalent Chromium MCL is 10  $\mu$ g/L and the DLR is 1  $\mu$ g/L.

Health effects of Hexavalent Chromium: Some people who drink water containing hexavalent chromium in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer. are concerned about lead in your drinking water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791) or at http://www.epa.gov/lead.

**Health effects of Lead:** Infants and children who drink water containing lead in excess of the action level may experience delays in their physical and mental development. Children may show slight deficits in attention span and learning abilities. Adults who drink this water over many years may develop kidney problems or high blood pressure.

Health effects of Copper: Copper is an essential nutrient, but some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over a relatively short amount of time may experience gastrointestinal distress. Some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over many years may suffer liver or kidney damage. People with Wilson's disease should consult their personal doctor.

Regulatory Action Level (AL) or Notification Level (NL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Primary Drinking Water Standard (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements and water treatment requirements. Secondary Drinking Water Standard (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or

appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SWDSs do not affect the health at the MCL level. Counting Error: The 95% confidence level for the radioactivity analysis.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED IN 2015 WATER QUALITY DATA CHART:

ND: Not detectable or None detected at testing limit (DLR) NA: Not Applicable Nreg: No regulation < Less Than > Greater Than pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation) DBP: Disinfection By-products Comparison examples are provided for the following measurements to help you better understand the amount of chemical contaminants detected in the water. This does not mean that the

amounts are not significant regarding risk of health

effects for specific contaminants.

ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L) = qualitatively, approx.
1 drop in 10 gals.
ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter

(ug/L) = qualitatively, approx. 1 drop in 10,000 gals.

ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)
= qualitatively, approx.
1 drop in 100,000 gals.

FLUORIDE: Fluoride in the treated surface water ranged from 0.12 to 0.21 mg/L and averaged 0.15 mg/L. The groundwater samples ranged from ND to 0.56 mg/L and averaged 0.19 mg/L. The fluoride MCL is 2 mg/L and the DLR is 0.1 mg/L.

Health effects of Fluoride: Some people who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the federal MCL of 4 mg/L over many years may get bone disease, including pain and tenderness of the bones. Children who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the state MCL of 2 mg/L may get mottled teeth.

NITRATE: In the Primary Standards Inorganic Chemicals section of the chart for Nitrate (as Nitrogen), treated surface water sample is None Detected (ND). In the groundwater column, the range of Nitrate (as Nitrogen) is ND to 6.8 mg/L, and the average is 1.3 mg/L. The State Water Resources Control Board requires annual sampling if all results are less than 50% of the MCL. If the result from any one source is greater than 50% of the MCL, then sampling must be done quarterly at that source. The District samples all its wells on a quarterly basis (4 times a year) even when they test below 50% of the MCL. The numbers expressed on the chart are derived from quarterly sampling of all District wells, except those that are out of service.

Health effects of Nitrate: Nitrate in drinking water at levels above 10 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in a serious illness; symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate levels above 10 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with certain specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant, or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider. Nitrate levels may rise quickly for short periods of time because of rainfall or agricultural activity.

GROSS ALPHA PARTICLE ACTIVITY: In 2016, 4 out of the 22 wells in service were sampled for Gross Alpha. Well 19 = 5.0 pCi/L, Well 22 = None Detected (ND), Well 26 = None Detected (ND) and Well 29 = 3.2 pCi/L. The remaining water sources will be monitored in the future during this compliance cycle.

Health effects of Gross Alpha Particle Activity: Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit a form of radiation known as alpha radiation. Some people who drink water containing alpha emitters in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

**URANIUM:** Samples for Uranium are collected only when the Gross Alpha particle activity exceeds 5 pCi/L. Since Well 19 was the only well that detected Gross Alpha particle activity equal to or greater than 5 pCi/L, it was the only well that we collected and analyzed uranium in 2016. The uranium result for Well 19 was 1.1 pCi/L. The uranium MCL is 20 pCi/L and the DLR is 1 pCi/L.

Health effects of Uranium: Some people who drink water containing uranium in excess of the MCL over many years may have kidney problems or an increased risk of getting cancer.

### THE WATER QUALITY DATA CHART LISTS ALL DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS DETECTED DURING THE 2017 CALENDAR YEAR.

The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate the water poses a health risk. PWD tests for many contaminants in addition to those listed in the chart. Test results for these additional contaminants were all "None Detected (ND)" and are not required to be included in the chart. The state allows us to monitor for some contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. As a result, some of the data, though representative of the water quality, is more than 1 year old. Unless otherwise noted, the data presented in this chart is from testing performed January 1 to December 31, 2017. Unregulated contaminants need to be regulated.

				Comple	Treated Sur	face Water	*Groun	dwater	EPA	
Parameter Primary Standards	MCL or MRDL (units)	Meets Standard?	DLR	Frequency* Surface Water/ Groundwater	Range	Sampled 1/26/2017 or Average Effluent	Range	Average	(MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant
Turbidity (Water Clarity)	$TT = 1 \text{ NTU}$ $TT = 95\% \text{ of monthly}$ $samples \le 0.3 \text{ NTU}$	Y	NA	Continuous/Once in 3 yrs.	0.04 - 0.15 100%	0.08 100%	0.06 - 0.62 NA	0.12 NA	NA	Soil Runoff
Turbidity is a measure of the clou	diness of the water. We mea	asure it becaus	se it is a	good indicator of the e	effectiveness of our fi	Itration system. Trea	ated Surface W	ater Range an	d Average are	of Daily Maximum.
Dist. System Microbiological										
Total Coliform Bacteria (Total Coliform Bule)	For systems that collect less than 40 samples per month: More than 1 positive sample. For systems that collect 40 or more samples	Y	NA	Weekly	NA	0%	NA	NA	(0)	Naturally present in the environment

(Total Coliform Rule)	40 or more samples per month: No more than 5.0% of monthly samples are positive			noony					(0)	environment
E. coli (Federal Groundwater Rule)	0	Y	NA	Weekly	NA	0	NA	0	<mark>(</mark> 0)	Human and animal fecal waste

Organic Chemicals										
Disinfection By-products										
					Stage 2 D/DBP					
		All Sample Range	Highest LRAA							
TTHMs	80 µg/L	Y	NA	Monthly/NA	0.7 - 88	62	NA	NA	NA	By-product of drinking water
HAA5	60 µg/L	Y	NA	Quarterly/NA	ND - 12	8.5	NA	NA	NA	disinfection
Disinfectant Residual										
Syste						ist. Svst.				
Chlorine Residual	4.0 (mg/L as Cl2)	Y	NA	Weekly/NA	0.20 - 1.87	0.94	NA	NA	[4]	Drinking water disinfectant added for treatment
Chlorine Residual Disinfectant By-product Precursor	4.0 (mg/L as Cl2) s	Y	NA	Weekly/NA	0.20 - 1.87	0.94	NA	NA	[4]	Drinking water disinfectant added for treatment
Chlorine Residual Disinfectant By-product Precursor Control of DBP Precursor (Total Organic Carbon, TOC) - see explanation on the next page	4.0 (mg/L as Cl2) s TT = ratio of actual TOC removal to required TOC removal shall be $\geq 1$	Y	NA 1	Weekly/NA Monthly/NA	0.20 - 1.87	0.94	NA	NA	[4] NA	Drinking water disinfectant added for treatment Various natural and manmade sources

Inorganic Chemicals											
Arsenic	10 µg/L	Y	2	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	ND	ND - 2.3	ND	0.004	Erosion of natural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics production wastes	
Fluoride	2 mg/L	Y	0.1	Quarterly/Quarterly	ND - 0.14	ND	ND - 0.58	0.18	1	Erosion of natural deposits; water additive that promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories	
Nitrate (as nitrogen)	10 mg/L	Y	0.4	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 6.7	1.4	10	Runoff and leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits	
Hexavalent Chromium	10 µg/L	Y	1	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 8.5	3.8	0.02	Steel and pulp mill discharges, chrome plating, natural erosion	

Radioactivity										
Gross Alpha Activity**	15 pCi/L	Y	3	**See comment below	NA	ND	ND - 5.7	ND	(0)	Erosion of natural deposits
Uranium***	20 pCi/L	Y	1	NA/Quarterly	NA	ND	NA	1.1	0.43	

Tap Monitoring Lead & Copper	Action Level	Meets Standard?	DLR	No. of samples in 2015	90th Percentile	No. sites exceeded AL	No. of Schools requesting lead AL sampling		EPA (MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant
Lead	15 µg/L	Y	5	50	ND	NONE	NC	INE	0.2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits
Copper	1.3 mg/L	Y	0.05	50	0.370	NONE	NA		0.3	Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives
				Constant of the	Treated Su	rface Water	*Groundwater		504	
Parameter Secondary	MCL or MRDL	Meets	DLR	Sample Frequency*		Sampled	Sampled	Sampled in 2016		Typical Source of Contaminant
Standards	(units)	Standard?		Groundwater	Range	1/26/2017 or Average Effluent	Range	Average	or [MRDLG]	
Color	15 units	Y	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	
Odor-Threshold	3 units	Y	1	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	1.0	ND - 1.0	ND	NA	Naturally occurring organic materials
Chloride	500 mg/L	Y	NA	Quarterly/Quarterly	59 - 140	97	6 - 103	24	NA	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence
Sulfate	500 mg/L	Y	0.5	Quarterly/Quarterly	15 - 58	41	16 - 145	36	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits; industrial wastes
Total Dissolved Solids	1000 mg/L	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	430	140 - 550	246	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits
Specific Conductance	1600 µmhos/cm	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	680	250 - 900	406	NA	Substances that form ions when in water; seawater influence
Additional Constituent	s Analyzed									
pH	NA (Units)	NA	NA	Continuous/Once in 3 yrs.	6.7 - 7.6	7.0	7.9 - 8.4	8.1	NA	Leaching from natural deposits
Hardness	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	66 - 150	103	24 - 240	122	NA	Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcium. The cations are usually naturally-occuring.
Alkalinity	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	33 - 75	53	79 - 200	117	NA	Dissolved as water passes through limestone
Calcium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	29	8 - 75	38	NA	deposits
Sodium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	79	17 - 80	36	NA	Generally naturally-occurring salt present in water
Potassium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	3.5	ND - 3.0	1.6	NA	Leaching from natural deposits
Magnesium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	14	0.7 - 16	6.8	NA	Dissolved as water passes through magnesium- bearing minerals
Special Testing										
UCMR 3 (Sampled in 2015)					Effluent & D	Dist. System	Groun	dwater		Environmental Source
Molybdenum	NA (µg/L)	NA	1.0	Special	2.9 - 4.4	3.4	ND - 2.0	1.6	NA	Naturally-occurring element found in ores and present in plants, animals and bacteria; commonly used form molybdenum trioxide used as a chemical reagent
Strontium	NA (µg/L)	NA	0.30	Special	320 - 440	391	140 - 510	373	NA	Naturally-occurring element; historically, commercial use of strontium has been in the faceplate glass of cathode-ray tube televisions to block x-ray emissions
Vanadium	NL = 50 ug/L	Y	0.20	Special	ND - 22	6.6	7.1 - 31	17	NA	Leaching from natural deposits, steel manufacturing, hazardous waste sites
Chromium (total)	50 µg/L	Y	0.20	Special	ND - 5.8	1.9	1.3 - 6.9	4.0	(100)	Discharge from steel and pulp mills and chrome plating; erosion of natural deposits
Chromium -6	10 µg/L	Y	0.03	Special	0.09 - 5.9	1.9	1.3 - 7.7	4.2	0.02	Discharge from electroplating factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, chemical synthesis, refractory production, and textile manufacturing facilities; erosion of natural deposits
Chlorate	NA (µg/L)	NA	20	Special	120 - 310	215	ND - 200	101	NA	Agricultural defoliant or desiccant; disinfection byproduct; and used in production of chlorine dioxide
Bromochloromethane	NA (µg/L)	NA	0.06	Special	0.086 - 0.28	0.18	NA	ND	NA	Used as a fire-extinguishing fluid, an explosive suppressant, and as a solvent in the manufacturing of pesticides

\* Wells are sampled once/3 yrs. except for Fluoride, Chloride, Sulfate, & Nitrate, which are sampled quarterly. \*\* Sampled between 2010 and 2017. Individual sites are sampled once/6 yrs. or once/9 yrs. Range is from individual sample results. \*\*\* Sample collected only when quarterly average of Gross Alpha exceeds 5pCl/L.

# Lead and Copper:

Palmdale Water District is required to draw new sample sets of tap samples for lead and copper every 3 years. The last samples taken were in 2015 (50 samples). The 90th percentile results of none-detected for lead and 0.370 ppm for copper are well within the AL of 15 ppb lead and the AL of 1.3 ppm for copper. If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. PWD is responsible for providing high-quality drinking water, but is unable to control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. [If you do so, you may wish to collect the flushed water and reuse it for another beneficial purpose, such as watering plants.]

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

The following definitions of key terms are provided to help you understand the data used in this report. **Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL):** The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment) a division of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CEPA).

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Running Annual Average (RAA): The running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of quarterly arithmetic averages of all samples collected.

Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting (DLR): The designated minimum level at or above which any analytical finding of a contaminant in drinking water shall be reported to the Department of Public Health. Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCMR): Unregulated contaminant monitoring helps USEPA and

the California Department of Public Health to determine where certain contaminants occur and whether the contaminants need to be regulated.

#### EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS:

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1-800-426-4791. Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1-800-426-4791. PWD tested for *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* monthly from January through April in 2017 and results were None Detected (ND).

TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES (TTHMS): Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs) are the total of four trihalomethanes of concern in drinking water: chloroform, bromoform, bromodichloromethane, and chlorodibromomethane. In the Primary Standards Disinfection Byproducts section of the Water Quality Chart under highest LRAA from Distribution System, the highest Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA) for 2017 is 62 µg/L, which is less than and complies with the Federal TTHM MCL of 80 µg/L. The range of monthly sample results from all 8 sampling points in 2017 is 0.7 – 88 µg/L, indicating that certain sampling points or specific locations within the customer service area have exceeded 80 µg/L. These samples were taken from dedicated sample points within the distribution system and are representative of maximum residence time in the system.

Health effects of Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs): Some people who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL over many years may experience liver, kidney, or central nervous system problems and may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC): Total Organic Carbon (TOC) has no health effects. However, TOC provides a medium for the formation of disinfection byproducts. TOC result is based on quarterly RAA of percent removal ratio. Paired samples (one from source and the other from treated water) are collected monthly. The percent removal between source water and treated water is divided by the required monthly TOC percent removal based on certain criteria that all public water systems must follow. The quarterly RAA of these monthly results should be 1.0 or higher. Our quarterly RAA in 2017 ranged from 2.52 to 3.09 and averaged 2.79. Individual TOC sample results for treated water ranged from 0.8 to 1.3 mg/L and averaged 1.0 mg/L.

**FLUORIDE:** Fluoride in the treated surface water ranged from ND to 0.14 mg/L and on average None Detected (ND). The groundwater samples ranged from ND to 0.58 mg/L and averaged 0.18 mg/L. The fluoride MCL is 2 mg/L and the DLR is 0.1 mg/L.

If you are concerned about lead in your drinking water, you can have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791 or at www.epa.gov/lead.

Health Effects of Lead: Infants and children who drink water containing lead in excess of the action level may experience delays in their physical and mental development. Children may show slight deficits in attention span and learning abilities. Adults who drink this water over many years may develop kidney problems or high blood pressure.

Health Effects of Copper: Copper is an essential nutrient, but some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over a relatively short amount of time may experience gastrointestinal distress. Some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over many years may suffer liver or kidney damage. People with Wilson's disease should consult their personal doctor.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water. Regulatory Action Level (AL) or Notification Level (NL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Primary Drinking Water Standard (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements and water treatment requirements.

Secondary Drinking Water Standard (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL level. Counting Error: The 95% confidence level for the radioactivity analysis.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED IN 2017 WATER QUALITY DATA CHART:

ND: Not detectable or None detected at testing limit (DLR) NA: Not Applicable Nreg: No regulation < Less Than > Greater Than pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation) DBP: Disinfection By-products Comparison examples are provided for the following measurements to help you better understand the amount of chemical contaminants detected in the water. This does not mean that the	<ul> <li>ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 drop in 10 gals.</li> <li>ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (μg/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 drop in 10,000 gals.</li> <li>ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 drop in 100,000 gals.</li> <li>µmhos/cm: micromhos per centimeter (a measure for conductivity)</li> </ul>
detected in the water. This does not mean that the amounts are not significant regarding risk of health effects for specific contaminants.	

Health effects of Fluoride: Some people who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the federal MCL of 4 mg/L over many years may get bone disease, including pain and tenderness of the bones. Children who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the state MCL of 2 mg/L may get mottled teeth.

NITRATE: In the Primary Standards Inorganic Chemicals section of the chart for Nitrate (as Nitrogen), treated surface water sample is None Detected (ND). In the groundwater column, the range of Nitrate (as Nitrogen) is ND to 6.7 mg/L, and the average is 1.4 mg/L. The State Water Resources Control Board requires annual sampling if all results are less than 50% of the MCL. If the result from any one source is greater than 50% of the MCL, then sampling must be done quarterly at that source. PWD samples all its wells on a quarterly basis (4 times a year) even when they test below 50% of the MCL. The numbers expressed on the chart are derived from quarterly sampling of all PWD wells, except those that are out of service.

Health effects of Nitrate: Nitrate in drinking water at levels above 10 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in a serious illness with symptoms including shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate levels above 10 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with certain specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider. Nitrate levels may rise quickly for short periods of time because of rainfall or agricultural activity.

**GROSS ALPHA PARTICLE ACTIVITY:** In 2016, 4 out of the 22 wells in service were sampled for Gross Alpha. Well 19 = 5.0 pCi/L, Well 22 = None Detected (ND), Well 26 = None Detected (ND) and Well 29 = 3.2 pCi/L. The remaining water sources will be monitored in the future during this compliance cycle.

Health effects of Gross Alpha Particle Activity: Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit a form of radiation known as alpha radiation. Some people who drink water containing alpha emitters in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

**HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM:** In the Primary Standards Inorganic Chemicals section of the chart for Hexavalent Chromium, the treated surface water sample is None Detected (ND). For groundwater samples (22 wells in service), the range of all quarterly sample results is None Detected (ND) to 8.5 µg/L and the average is 3.8 µg/L. The highest Running Annual Average (RAA) for treated surface water and groundwater is None Detected (ND) and 8.1 µg/L, respectively. There is currently no MCL for hexavalent chromium. The previous MCL of 10 µg/L was withdrawn on September 11, 2017.

Health effects of Hexavalent Chromium: Some people who drink water containing hexavalent chromium in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

### THE WATER QUALITY DATA CHART LISTS ALL DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS DETECTED DURING THE 2018 CALENDAR YEAR.

The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate the water poses a health risk. PWD tests for many contaminants in addition to those listed in the chart. Test results for these additional contaminants were all "None Detected" (ND) and are not required to be included in the chart. The state allows us to monitor for some contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. As a result, some of the data, though representative of the water quality, is more than 1 year old. Unless otherwise noted, the data presented in this chart is from testing performed January 1 to December 31, 2018. Unregulated contaminants need to be regulated.

				Sample	Treated Sur	face Water	*Groun	dwater	EPA	
Parameter Primary Standards	MCL or MRDL (units)	Meets Standard?	DLR	Sample Frequency* Surface Water/ Groundwater	Range	Sampled 2/14/2018 or Average	Sampled Range	d in 2016 Average	(MCLG) PHG or	Typical Source of Contaminant
Turbidity (Water Clarity)	TT = 1 NTU TT = 95% of monthly samples ≤0.3 NTU	Y	NA	Continuous/Once in 3 yrs.	0.05 - 0.29 100%	Effluent 0.10 100%	0.06 - 0.62 NA	0.12 NA	NA	Soil Runoff
Turbidity is a measure of the cloue	diness of the water. We mea	sure it becaus	e it is a	good indicator of the e	ffectiveness of our fi	tration system. Trea	l ated Surface W	l later Range an	d Average are	of Daily Maximum.
Dist. System Microbiological				<b>J</b>				j.	, and the second s	
Total Coliform Bacteria (state Total Coliform Rule)	For systems that collect less than 40 samples per month: more than 1 positive sample. For systems that collect 40 or more samples per month: no more than 5.0% of monthly samples are positive	Y	NA	Weekly	0% - 0.6%	0.06%	NA	NA	(0)	Naturally present in the environment
E. coli (state Total Coliform Rule)	A routine sample and a repeat sample are total coliform positive, and one of these is also <i>E. coli</i> positive	Y	NA	Weekly	NA	0%	NA	NA	(0)	Human and animal fecal waste
Fecal Indicator <i>E. coli</i> (Federal Groundwater Rule)	0	Y	NA	Triggered by positive TCR sample	NA	0	NA	0	(0)	Human and animal fecal waste
Organic Chemicals										
Disinfection By-products										
Distribution by producto	Stace 2 D/DBP									
					All Sample Range	Highest LRAA	1			
TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes)	80 µg/L	Y	NA	Monthly/NA	8.0 - 70	54				
HAA5 (Sum of 5 Haloacetic Acids)	60 μg/L	Y	NA	Monthly/NA	ND - 9.0	7.7	NA	NA	NA	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection
Disinfectant Residual										
					System RAA fr	om Dist. Syst.				
Chlorine Residual	4.0 (mg/L as Cl2)	Y	NA	Weekly/NA	0.16 - 1.70	0.88	NA	NA	[4]	Drinking water disinfectant added for treatment
Disinfectant By-product Precursor	rs									
Control of DBP Precursor (Total Organic Carbon, TOC) - see explanation on the next page	$\begin{array}{l} TT = ratio \ of \ actual \ TOC \\ removal \ to \ required \ TOC \\ removal \ shall \ be \geq 1 \end{array}$	Y	1	Monthly/NA	1.90 - 3.22	2.57	NA	NA	NA	Various natural and manmade sources
Total Organic Carbon	Reported as mg/L		0.3		0.7 - 1.5	1.0				
Inorgania Chomicalo										
morganic chemicais										Frosion of natural deposite
Arsenic	10 μg/L	Y	2	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	ND	ND - 2.3	ND	0.004	runoff from orchards; glass and electronics production wastes
Fluoride	2 mg/L	Y	0.1	Quarterly/Quarterly	0.11 - 0.18	0.14	ND - 0.45	0.16	1	Erosion of natural deposits; water additive that promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories
Nitrate (as nitrogen)	10 mg/L	Y	0.4	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 6.4	1.4	10	Runoff and leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits
Deditoretheile										
Radioactivity			6	***		ND.			(0)	
Gross Alpha Activity**	15 pCi/L	Y	3	**See comment	NA	ND	ND - 5.7	ND	(0)	Erosion of natural deposits

Uranium\*\*\*

20 pCi/L

Υ

1

\*\*\*See comment

NA

ND

NA

1.1

0.43

Too Manthadan Land				Lead and Copper Rule				ad Testing	in Schools	EPA (MCLC)		
Tap Monitoring Lead & Copper	Action Level	Meets Standard?	DLR	No. of samples in 2018	90th Percentile	No. sites exceeded AL	Average	Range	No. of Schools requesting lead sampling in 2018	(MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant	
Lead	15 µg/L	Y	5	50	ND	NONE	ND	88 sites sampled; 0 sites over AL	29	0.2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits	
Copper	1.3 mg/L	Y	0.05	50	0.420	NONE	NA	NA	NA	0.3	Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives	

				Sample	Treated Su	rface Water	*Groun Sampler	dwater	EPA		
Parameter Secondary Standards	Secondary MCL (units)	Meets Standard?	DLR	Frequency* Surface Water/ Groundwater	Range	Sampled 2/14/2018 or Average Effluent	Range	Average	(MCLG) PHG or [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant	
Color	15 units	Y	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	Naturally occurring organic materials	
Odor-Threshold	3 units	Y	1	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	1.0	ND - 1.0	ND	NA	Naturany occurring organic materials	
Chloride	500 mg/L	Y	NA	Quarterly/Quarterly	70 - 101	81	6 - 93	25	NA	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence	
Iron	300 µg/L	Y	NA	Monthly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 110	ND	NA	Leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes	
Sulfate	500 mg/L	Y	0.5	Quarterly/Quarterly	24 - 37	30	14 - 130	40	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits; industrial wastes	
Total Dissolved Solids	1000 mg/L	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	230	140 - 550	246	NA	Runoff/leaching of natural deposits	
Specific Conductance	1600 µmhos/cm	Y	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	430	250 - 900	406	NA	Substances that form ions when in water; seawater influence	
Additional Constituent	s Analyzed										
рН	NA (Units)	NA	NA	Continuous/Once in 3 yrs.	7.0 - 8.1	7.2	7.9 - 8.4	8.1	NA	Leaching from natural deposits	
Hardness	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	98 - 150	116	24 - 240	122	NA	Sum of polyvalent cations present in the water, generally magnesium and calcium. The cations are usually naturally occuring.	
Alkalinity	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Weekly/Once in 3 yrs.	54 - 90	71	79 - 200	117	NA	Dissolved as water passes through limestone	
Calcium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	23	8 - 75	38	NA	deposits	
Sodium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	42	17 - 80	36	NA	Generally naturally occurring salt present in water	
Potassium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	2.4	ND - 3.0	1.6	NA	Leaching from natural deposits	
Magnesium	NA (mg/L)	NA	NA	Yearly/Once in 3 yrs.	NA	9.4	0.7 - 16	6.8	NA	Dissolved as water passes through magnesium- bearing minerals	
Hexavalent Chromium	NA (µg/L)	Y	1	Quarterly/Quarterly	NA	ND	ND - 8.4	3.8	0.02	Steel and pulp mill discharges, chrome plating, natural erosion	
Special Testing											
UCMR 4 (Sampled in 2018)					Effluent & I	Dist. System	Groun	dwater		Environmental Source	
HAA5	NA (µg/L)	NA	NA	Special	2.0 - 8.3	5.4	NA	NA	NA	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection	
HAA6Br	NA (µg/L)	NA	NA	Special	2.6 - 16	10	NA	NA	NA	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection	
HAA9	NA (µg/L)	NA	NA	Special	3.5 - 18	12	NA	NA	NA	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection	
Manganese	50 µg/L	NA	0.40	Special	NA	0.9	ND - 1	ND	NA	Leaching from natural deposits	

Unregulated contaminant monitoring helps U.S. EPA and the State Water Resources Control Board to determine where certain contaminants occur and whether the contaminants need to be regulated.

\* Wells are sampled once/3 yrs. except for Fluoride, Chloride, Sulfate, & Nitrate, which are sampled quarterly.

\*\* Sampled between 2010 and 2018. Individual sites are sampled once/6 yrs. or once/9 yrs. Range is from individual sample results. \*\*\* Sample collected only when Gross Alpha exceeds 5pCi/L.

# Lead and Copper

Palmdale Water District is required to draw new sample sets of tap samples for lead and copper every 3 years. The last samples were taken in 2018 (50 samples). The 90th percentile results of none-detected for lead and 0.420 ppm for copper are well within the AL of 15 ppb lead and the AL of 1.3 ppm for copper. If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. PWD is responsible for providing high-quality drinking water, but is unable to control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. [If you do so, you may wish to collect the flushed water and reuse it for another beneficial purpose, such as watering plants.]

If you are concerned about lead in your drinking water, you may wish to have your

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

The following definitions of key terms are provided to help you understand the data used in this report. **Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL):** The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA): The running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of quarterly arithmetic averages of samples taken at a particular monitoring location.

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment), a division of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CEPA).

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Running Annual Average (RAA): The running annual arithmetic average, computed quarterly, of quarterly arithmetic averages of all samples collected.

Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting (DLR): The smallest concentration of a contaminant that can be measured and reported. DLRs are set by the DDW (same as MRL, Minimum Reporting Level, set by USEPA).

Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCMR): Unregulated contaminant monitoring helps USEPA and the State Water Resources Control Board to determine where certain contaminants occur and whether the contaminants need to be regulated.

#### EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANTS:

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate the water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1-800-426-4791. Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1-800-426-4791.

TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES (TTHMS): Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs) are the total of four trihalomethanes of concern in drinking water: chloroform, bromoform, bromodichloromethane, and chlorodibromomethane. In the Primary Standards Disinfection Byproducts section of the Water Quality Chart under highest LRAA from Distribution System, the highest Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA) for 2018 is 54 µg/L, which is less than and complies with the Federal TTHM MCL of 80 µg/L. The range of monthly sample results from all 8 sampling points in 2018 is 8.0 - 70 µg/L. These samples were taken from dedicated sample points within the distribution system and are representative of maximum residence time in the system.

Health effects of Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs): Some people who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL over many years may experience liver, kidney, or central nervous system problems and may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

**TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC):** Total Organic Carbon (TOC) has no health effects. However, TOC provides a medium for the formation of disinfection byproducts. These byproducts include trihalomethanes (TTHMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs). TOC result is based on quarterly RAA of percent removal ratio. The percent removal between source water and treated water is divided by the required monthly TOC percent removal based on certain criteria that all public water systems must follow. The quarterly RAA of these monthly results should be 1.0 or higher. Our quarterly RAA in 2018 ranged from 1.90 to 3.22 and averaged 2.57. Individual TOC sample results for treated water ranged from 0.7 to 1.5 mg/L and averaged 1.0 mg/L.

water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791 or at http://www.epa.gov/lead.

Health Effects of Lead: Infants and children who drink water containing lead in excess of the action level may experience delays in their physical and mental development. Children may show slight deficits in attention span and learning abilities. Adults who drink this water over many years may develop kidney problems or high blood pressure.

Health Effects of Copper: Copper is an essential nutrient, but some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over a relatively short amount of time may experience gastrointestinal distress. Some people who drink water containing copper in excess of the action level over many years may suffer liver or kidney damage. People with Wilson's disease should consult their personal doctor.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water. Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Notification Level (NL): State guidelines developed by DDW that address the concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers public notification.

Primary Drinking Water Standard (PDWS): MCLs, MRDLs and treatment techniques (TT) for contaminants that affect health, along with their monitoring and reporting requirements.

Secondary Drinking Water Standard (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL level.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED IN 2018 WATER QUALITY DATA CHART:

 $\ensuremath{\text{ND:}}$  Not detectable or None detected at testing limit (DLR)

NA: Not Applicable

< Less Than
< Greater Than

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation) DBP: Disinfection Byproducts

Comparison examples are provided for the following measurements to help you better understand the amount of chemical contaminants detected in the water. This does not mean that the amounts are not significant regarding risk of health effects for specific contaminants. **ppm:** parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 second in 11.5 days

**ppb:** parts per billion or micrograms per liter (µg/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 second in nearly 32 years

**ppt**: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L) = qualitatively, approximately 1 second in nearly 32,000 years

µmhos/cm: micromhos per centimeter (a measure for conductivity)

**FLUORIDE:** Fluoride in the treated surface water ranged from 0.11 to 0.18 mg/L and averaged 0.14 mg/L. The groundwater samples ranged from ND to 0.45 mg/L and averaged 0.16 mg/L. The fluoride MCL is 2 mg/L and the DLR is 0.1 mg/L.

Health effects of Fluoride: Some people who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the federal MCL of 4 mg/L over many years may get bone disease, including pain and tendemess of the bones. Children who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the state MCL of 2 mg/L may get mottled teeth.

NITRATE: In the Primary Standards Inorganic Chemicals section of the chart for Nitrate (as Nitrogen), treated surface water sample is None Detected (ND). In the groundwater column, the range of Nitrate (as Nitrogen) is ND to 6.4 mg/L, and the average is 1.4 mg/L. The State Water Resource Control Board requires annual sampling if all results are less than 50% of the MCL. If the result from any one source is greater than 50% of the MCL, then sampling must be done quarterly at that source. PWD samples all its wells on a quarterly basis (4 times a year) even when they test below 50% of the MCL. The numbers expressed on the chart are derived from quarterly sampling of all PWD wells, except those that are out of service.

Health effects of Nitrate: Nitrate in drinking water at levels above 10 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in a serious illness with symptoms including shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate levels above 10 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with certain specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider. Nitrate levels may rise quickly for short periods of time because of rainfall or agricultural activity.

**GROSS ALPHA PARTICLE ACTIVITY:** Between 2010 - 2018, 23 wells have been sampled for Gross Alpha. Results ranged from ND - 5.7 pCi/L and averaged ND. In 2018, Well 33 was the only well sampled for Gross Alpha. Well 33 = None Detected (ND). The remaining water sources will be monitored in the future during this compliance cycle.

Health effects of Gross Alpha Particle Activity: Certain minerals are radioactive and may emit a form of radiation known as alpha radiation. Some people who drink water containing alpha emitters in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.



# **ATTACHMENT NO. 6**

# **GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

ACWA:	Association of California Water Agencies
BAT:	Best Available Technology to achieve compliance with an MCL
DDW:	Division of Drinking Water
DLR:	Detection Limit for Reporting Purposes; set by SWRCB
MCL:	Maximum Contaminant Level; set by SWRCB and USEPA
MCLG:	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal; set by USEPA
MGD:	Million Gallons per Day
OEHHA:	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (State of California)
PHG:	Public Health Goal; set by OEHHA
SWRCB:	State Water Resources Control Board
USEPA:	United States Environmental Protection Agency
mg/L:	milligrams per liter or parts per million
pCi/L:	picocuries per liter
μg/L:	micrograms per liter or parts per billion



# Consumer Confidence Report

Amanda Thompson Water Quality and Regulatory Affairs Supervisor July 2019

# Background

# The California Safe Drinking Water Act of 1996

- Requires PWSs to provide a brief annual water quality report to customers
- Report due by July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year
- Must include:
  - Information on source water
  - Levels of any detected contaminants
  - Compliance with drinking water regulations





# Water Quality Data Considered

 CCRs are based on all regulatory water quality data collected during, or prior to, the previous calendar year (e.g. 2018)



 Only includes contaminants that are detected at or above its detection level for purposes of reporting (DLR)



# 2018 Consumer Confidence Report

- 100% Compliance for all regulatory water quality data
- Electronic copies of the CCR are posted:

English:

www.palmdalewater.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/CCR 2018.pdf Spanish:

www.palmdalewater.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/CCR SPAN 2018.pdf

• April 26, 2019: Postcards were sent out to all consumers (property owners, tenants, business owners, etc.)



# 2018 Consumer Confidence Report



© 2019 Goldstreet Design Agency, Inc. All Rights Reserved



PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT





# Public Health Goal Report

Amanda Thompson Water Quality and Regulatory Affairs Supervisor July 2019



# The California Safe Drinking Water Act of 1996

- Required the establishment of Public Health Goals (PHGs) for drinking water contaminants
- PHGs are established by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)

# Health and Safety Code Section 116470

- Requires a PHG report every three (3) years
- In addition to the annual Water Quality Report (aka Consumer Confidence Report)



# Public Notice

State law requires a Public Hearing so that interested individuals can provide the District with comments on the Public Health Goal report.



Palmdale Water District Notice of Public Hearing published twice in the Antelope Valley Press:

- June 22, 2019
- July 7, 2019



# What are Public Health Goals?

"...estimates the level of the chemical in drinking water that would pose no significant health risk to individuals, including sensitive populations, <u>consuming the water on a daily basis over a lifetime</u>. PHGs represent health-protective goals based solely on public health considerations and are developed based on the best available data in the scientific literature."





# What Public Health Goals Are Not

- **<u>NOT</u>** regulatory Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL)
  - However, they are the scientific basis for establishing the maximum contaminant levels
- **<u>NOT</u>** enforceable under the Safe Drinking Water Act
- **NOT** contaminant levels requiring any further action



# Constituents Above PHG

	PHG (MCLG)	MCL	Max Result
Aluminum	600 µg/L	1,000 µg/L	690 μg/L
Arsenic	0.004 µg/L	10 µg/L	3.9 μg/L
Copper	0.30 mg/L	1.3 mg/L	0.42 mg/L
Gross Alpha	(0 pCi/L)	15 pCi/L	5.7 pCi/L
Gross Beta	(0 pCi/L)	50 pCi/L	7.8 pCi/L
Uranium	0.43 pCi/L	20 pCi/L	1.1 pCi/L



# Total Compliance



- Palmdale Water District has been 100% in compliance with all primary drinking water standards during the years 2016 – 2018.
- Palmdale Water District continues to produce high quality drinking water which is in compliance for the first half of 2019.



# **OUESTIONS?**

W - -



PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

A CENTURY OF SERVICE

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

July 22, 2019, 6:00 p.m. Palmdale Water District Boardroom 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, CA

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Directors of the Palmdale Water District will hold a public hearing at 6:00 p.m. on July 22, 2019 in the Board Room of the District at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, California to consider the report on Palmdale Water District's water quality relative to Public Health Goals.

State law requires this Public Hearing so that interested individuals can provide the District with comments on the Public Health Goals report. Customers who wish to comment on the report can either attend this meeting or forward written remarks to the Palmdale Water District General Manager, 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, CA 93550 prior to the hearing. A copy of the Public Health Goals report is available for inspection at Palmdale Water District, 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, CA 93550.

Dated: June 13, 2019

Adam Ly, Assistant General Manager

Publish: June 22, 2019 and July 7, 2019

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

# BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:July 10, 2019July 22, 2019TO:Board of DirectorsBoard MeetingFROM:Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFOVIA:Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General ManagerRE:AGENDA ITEM 7.3 – PRESENTATION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE<br/>ACTION ON RECEIVING AND FILING OF 2018 ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends the Board of Directors receive and file the annual basic financial statements with independent auditors' report for year ended December 31, 2018. The Financial Health and Stability Committee will consider the report at their July 17, 2019 meeting.

### **Financial Highlights:**

- In 2018, the District's net position increased 0.83% or \$790,694 from the prior year's net position of \$94,917,603 to \$95,708,297 as a result of this year's operations due to a change in net position from operations of (\$1,524,354) and a \$2,315,048 prior period adjustment for the implementation of GASB No. 75.
- In 2018, the District's operating revenues increased by 5.03% or \$1,190,983 from \$23,693,095 to \$24,884,078 from prior year primarily due to an increase in water rates commodity charge of \$579,682 and monthly meter service charge of \$584,268.
- In 2018, the District's operating expenses before overhead absorption and depreciation expense increased by 6.00%, or \$1,382,330, from \$23,053,505 to \$24,435,835 from the prior year primarily due to an increase in operations and production costs along with an increase in facilities expense.
- The District's cash flows for the years have been categorized into one of the following activities: operating, noncapital financing, capital and related financing, or investing. For 2018, the total of these categories represents an increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$11,601,065, which is added to the beginning cash and cash equivalents of \$3,784,789, to arrive at ending cash and cash equivalents of \$15,385,854.

## **Conditions Affecting Current Financial Position:**

• The District continued to see a slight rebound trend of water usage for 2018. This signaled District customers continue to change their water habits after being required to meet the mandatory drought restrictions in 2016.

- Billed water consumption for the year ended December 31, 2018 was at 16,769-acre feet compared to 16,176-acre feet for the year ended December 31, 2017.
- The District saw a decrease in developers paying capital improvement fees for new development. Total funds received for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$106,947 compared to \$1,021,406 for the year ended December 31, 2017.
- The District's assessed valuation has increased to \$1.81 billion for FY 2017/2018 from \$1.72 billion for FY 20106/2017.
- The District received \$2.032 million in ad valorum property tax revenue for 2018.
- The District received \$403,992 in successor agency component property taxes for 2018.

## **Strategic Plan Initiative/Mission Statement:**

This item is under Strategic Initiative No. 4 – Financial Health and Stability This item directly relates to the District's Mission Statement.

## **Budget:**

This item has no budget impact

## **Supporting Documents:**

• 2018 Annual Financial Report prepared by Nigro & Nigro

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT For the Years Ended

December 31, 2018 and 2017


For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 Table of Contents

### FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditors' Report	
Management's Discussion and Analysis	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets11	
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	
Statements of Cash Flows14	
Notes to Financial Statements	

## **REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	50
Schedule of Pension Contributions	51
Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios	52
Schedule of OPEB Contributions	53
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information	54

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedules of Debt Service Net Revenues C	overage
--	---------

#### **OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS**

 **Financial Section** 

ų +



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Palmdale Water District, which comprise the balance sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the December 31, 2018 and 2017 basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Palmdale Water District as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Jeff Nigro, CPA, CFE | Elizabeth Nigro, CPA | Peter Glenn, CPA | Paul J. Kaymark, CPA | Michael Klein, CPA, CMA, EA

MURRIETA OFFICE 25220 Hancock Avenue, Suite 400, Murrieta, CA 92562 • P: (951) 698-8783 • F: (951) 699-1064 OAKLAND OFFICE 333 Hegenberger Road, Suite 388, Oakland, CA 94621 • P: (844) 557-3111 • F: (844) 557-3444

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis information on pages 3 through 10, schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability on page 50, schedule of pension contributions on page 51, schedule of changes in the District's total OPEB liability and related ratios on page 52, and schedule of OPEB contributions on page 53 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplementary information on page 55 is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a separate report dated June 27, 2019, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Nique & Nigar, PC

Murrieta, California June 27, 2019

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) offers readers of Palmdale Water District's financial statements a narrative overview of the District's financial activities for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017. This MD&A presents financial highlights, an overview of the accompanying financial statements, an analysis of net position and results of operations, a current-to prior year analysis, a discussion on restrictions, commitments and limitations, and a discussion of significant activity involving capital assets and long-term debt. Please read in conjunction with the financial statements, which follow this section.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- In 2018, the District's net position increased 0.83% or \$790,694 from the prior year's net position of \$94,917,603 to \$95,708,297, as a result of this year's operations due to a change in net position from operations of (\$1,524,354) and a \$2,315,048 prior period adjustment for the implementation of GASB No. 75.
- In 2017, the District's net position decreased (1.15%) or (\$1,100,559) from the prior year's net position of \$96,018,162 to \$94,917,603, as a result of this year's operations.
- In 2018, the District's operating revenues increased by 5.03% or \$1,190,983 from \$23,693,095 to \$24,884,078, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in water sales commodity charge of \$579,682 and monthly meter service charge of \$584,268.
- In' 2017, the District's operating revenues increased by 4.9% or \$1,106,294 from \$22,586,801 to \$23,693,095, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in water sales commodity charge of \$286,110 and monthly meter service charge of \$533,324.
- In 2018, the District's operating expenses before overhead absorption and depreciation expense increased by 6.00% or \$1,382,330 from \$23,053,505 to \$24,435,835, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in operations and production costs along with an increase in facilities expense.
- In 2017, the District's operating expenses before overhead absorption and depreciation expense increased by 1.54% or \$349,196 from \$22,704,309 to \$23,053,505, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in source of supply water purchases as a result of the increase in water sales.

#### **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This discussion and analysis serves as an introduction to the District's financial statements. The District's basic financial statements reflect the combined results of the Operating and Capital Programs and include four components: (1) Balance Sheet; (2) Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position; (3) Statement of Cash Flows; and (4) Notes to the Financial Statements.

The financial statements accompanying this MD&A present the net position, results of operations, and changes in cash flow during the years ending December 31, 2018 and 2017. These financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting basis used by for-profit entities. Each financial statement is identified and defined in this section, and analyzed in subsequent sections of this MD&A.

3

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### **REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

#### **Balance Sheets**

The Balance Sheet presents information on the District's assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating. However, other factors such as changes in economic conditions, population growth, zoning, and new or changed legislation or regulations also need to be considered when establishing financial position. Assets and deferred outflows of resources exceed liabilities and deferred inflow of resources, resulting in a net position of \$95,708,297 and \$94,917,603 as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

#### Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the year. All of the year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position. This statement measures the results of the District's operations for the year and can be used to determine if the District has successfully recovered all of its costs through user fees and other charges. Operating revenues and expenses are related to the District's core activities. Non-operating revenues and expenses are not directly related to the core activities of the District (e.g. interest income, interest expense, property taxes, gain or loss on sale of assets). For the year ended December 31, 2018, net position from operations decreased \$1.5 million along with a gain of \$2.3 million from a prior period adjustment for the implementation of GASB No. 75. Also, for the year ended December 31, 2017, net position decreased by \$1.1 million.

#### **Statement of Cash Flows**

The Statement of Cash Flows presents information regarding the District's use of cash during the year. It reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, financing and investing activities. The Statement of Cash Flows provides answers to such questions as: Where did cash come from? What was cash used for? What was the change in the cash balance during the reporting period?

District cash flows for the years have been categorized into one of the following activities: operating, noncapital financing, capital and related financing, or investing. For 2018, the total of these categories represents an increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$11,601,065, which is added to the beginning cash and cash equivalents of \$3,784,789, to arrive at ending cash and cash equivalents of \$15,385,854. For 2017, the total of these categories represents a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$1,043,260, which is subtracted from beginning cash and cash equivalents of \$4,827,946, to arrive at ending cash and cash equivalents of \$3,784,789. Cash equivalents managed directly by the District consist of investments in the California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and money-market funds.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Analysis of Net Position

#### **Table A-1: Condensed Balance Sheets**

	Dece	Balance, mber 31, 2018	Dec	Balance, cember 31, 2017	 Change	Dec	Balance, ember 31, 2016		Change
Assets: Current assets Non-current assets Capital assets, net	\$	19,590,071 13,374,737 155,765,727	\$	22,153,999 1,371,867 153,742,324	\$ (2,563,928) 12,002,870 2,023,403	\$	21,288,561 1,679,251 154,023,911	\$	865,438 (307,384) (281,587)
Total assets		188,730,535		177,268,190	 11,462,345		176,991,723		276,467
Deferred outflows of resources		5,530,101		5,158,974	 371,127		4,724,093		434,881
Total assets and deferred outflows	\$	194,260,636	\$	182,427,164	\$ 11,833,472	\$	181,715,816	\$	711,348
Liabilities: Current liabilities Non-current liabilities		8,225,820 86,440,682		8,077,898 75,438,581	 147,922 11,002,101		7,707,996 74,031,763		369,902 1,406,818
Total liabilities		94,666,502		83,516,479	 11,150,023		81,739,759	-	1,776,720
Deferred inflows of resources		3,885,837		3,993,082	 (107,245)		3,957,895		35,187
Net position: Net investment in capital assets Restricted Unrestricted		105,089,394 1,668,290 (11,049,387)		103,487,203 1,371,867 (9,941,467)	 1,602,191 296,423 (1,107,920)		103,339,383 1,275,331 (8,596,552)		147,820 96,536 (1,344,915)
Total net position		95,708,297		94,917,603	 790,694		96,018,162		(1,100,559)
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and net position	\$	194,260,636	\$	182,427,164	\$ 11,833,472	\$	181,715,816	\$	711,348

The condensed statement above presents a summary of the District's statement of net position.

The District's Net Position as of December 31, 2018 totaled \$95,708,297 compared with \$94,917,603 as of December 31, 2017, an increase of 0.83%.

The District's Net Position as of December 31, 2017 totaled \$94,917,603 compared with \$96,018,162 as of December 31, 2017, a decrease of (1.15%).

Net position is accumulated from revenues, expenses, and contributed capital combined with the beginning balance of net position as presented in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position.

5

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (continued)

#### Analysis of Revenues and Expenses

#### Table A-2: Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

	l Decen	Balance, 1ber 31, 2018	Dec	Balance, ember 31, 2017		B Change Decem		Balance, Change December 31, 2016		Balance, geDecember 31, 2016		Change
Operating revenues	\$	24,884,078	\$	23,693,095	\$	1,190,983	\$	22,586,801	\$	1,106,294		
Operating expenses		(24,435,835)		(23,053,505)		(1,382,330)		(22,704,309)		(349,196)		
Operating income before overhead absorption		448,243		639,590		(191,347)		(117,508)		757,098		
Overhead absorption		103,353	-	46,276		57,077		152,890		(106,614)		
Operating income before depreciation		551,596		685,866	_	(134,270)		35,382		650,484		
Depreciation expense	_	(5,353,052)	_	(6,113,751)		760,699		(5,599,740)		(514,011)		
Operating (loss) after depreciation		(4,801,456)		(5,427,885)		626,429		(5,564,358)		136,473		
Non-operating revenues(expenses), net		3,122,489		3,195,252		(72,763)		3,441,106	_	(245,854)		
Net loss before capital contributions		(1,678,967)		(2,232,633)		553,666		(2,123,252)		(109,381)		
Capital contributions		154,613		1,132,074		(977,461)		541,662		590,412		
Change in net position		(1,524,354)		(1,100,559)		(423,795)		(1,581,590)		481,031		
Net position: Beginning of year		94.917.603		96.018.162		(1 100 559)		96 645 100		(626 938)		
Prior period adjustment		2,315,048		-		2.315.048		954.652		(954 652)		
End of year	\$	95,708,297	\$	94,917,603	\$	790,694	\$	96,018,162	\$	(1,100,559)		

The statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position shows how the District's net position changed during the fiscal years. In the case of the District, the District's net position decreased from operations by (\$1,524,354), (\$1,100,599), and (\$1,581,590) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 respectively.

6

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (continued)

#### **Total Revenues**

	Balance, December 31, 2018		Balance, December 31, 2018		Balance, December 31, 2017		Balance, December 31, 2017		Increase (Decrease)		Balance, December 31, 2016		Increase (Decrease)
Operating revenues:													
Water sales - commodity charge	\$	9,062,634	\$	8,482,952	\$	579,682	\$	8,196,842	\$ 286,110				
Water sales - wholesale		496,975		438,255		58,720		229,052	209,203				
Monthly meter service charge		13,294,482		12,710,214		584,268		12,176,890	533,324				
Water quality fees		803,306		845,526		(42,220)		861,502	(15,976)				
Elevation fees		378,380		365,618		12,762		349,673	15,945				
Other charges for services		848,301		850,530		(2,229)		772,842	 77,688				
Total operating revenues		24,884,078		23,693,095		1,190,983		22,586,801	 1,106,294				
Non-operating:													
Property taxes – ad valorum		2,032,216		1,665,812		366,404		1,665,002	810				
Property tax assessment for State Water Project		4,811,735		5,102,773		(291,038)		5,168,663	(65,890)				
Successor agency component of property taxes		403,992		623,525		(219,533)		524,470	99,055				
Rental revenue - cellular towers		44,754		63,716		(18,962)		120,710	(56,994)				
Investment earnings		292,316		56,054		236,262		42,729	13,325				
Change in investment – PRWA		296,423		96,536		199,887		90,756	5,780				
Legal and insurance refunds/settlements		132,256		11,812		120,444		-	11,812				
Department of Water Resources - FCR		266,877		266,638		239		285,255	(18,617)				
Other non-operating revenues		203,082		84,502		118,580		117,632	 (33,130)				
Total non-operating		8,483,651		7,971,368		512,283		8,015,217	 (43,849)				
Total revenues	\$	33,367,729	\$	31,664,463	\$	1,703,266	\$	30,602,018	\$ 1,062,445				

In 2018, the District's operating revenues increased by 5.03% or \$1,190,983 from \$23,693,095 to \$24,884,078, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in water sales – commodity charge of \$579,682 and monthly meter service charge of \$584,268.

In 2017, the District's operating revenues increased by 4.9% or \$1,106,294 from \$22,586,801 to \$23,693,095, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in water sales – commodity charge of \$286,110 and monthly meter service charge of \$533,324.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (continued)

#### **Total Expenses**

	Balance, December 31, 2018		Balance, December 31, 2017		Increase (Decrease)	Balance, December 31, 2016		Increase (Decrease)
Operating expenses:								
Source of supply – water purchases	\$	2,799,849	\$ 3,090,801	\$	(290,952)	\$ 2.464.905	S	625.896
Operations and production		3,698,309	3,177,431		520,878	3,019,029	100	158,402
Facilities		7,355,368	6,580,697		774,671	7,347,469		(766.772)
Engineering		1,897,684	1,632,692		264,992	1,523,294		109,398
Water conservation		356,914	343,007		13,907	347,909		(4,902)
Administration		5,436,345	5,280,134		156,211	4,738,232		541,902
Finance and customer care	_	2,891,366	2,948,743	1	(57,377)	3,263,471		(314,728)
Operating expenses before overhead absorption		24,435,835	23,053,505		1,382,330	22,704,309		349,196
Overhead absorption	-	(103,353)	(46,276	)	(57,077)	(152,890)		106,614
Operating expenses before depreciation		24,332,482	23,007,229		1,325,253	22,551,419		455,810
Depreciation		5,353,052	6,113,751		(760,699)	5,599,740		514,011
Total operating expenses		29,685,534	29,120,980	_	564,554	28,151,159		969,821
Non-operating expenses:								
Cost of debt issuance		308,867	-		308.867			
State Water Project amortization expense		2,646,401	2.600.856		45.545	2 362 788		238.068
Interest expense – long-term debt	_	2,405,894	2,175,260		230,634	2,211,323		(36,063)
Total non-operating		5,361,162	4,776,116		585,046	4,574,111		202,005
Total expenses	\$	35,046,696	\$ 33,897,096	\$	1,149,600	\$ 32,725,270	\$	1,171,826

In 2018, the District's operating expenses before overhead absorption and depreciation expense increased by 6.00% or \$1,382,330 from \$23,053,505 to \$24,435,835, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in operations and production costs along with an increase in facilities expense.

In 2017, the District's operating expenses before overhead absorption and depreciation expense increased by 1.54% or \$349,196 from \$22,704,309 to \$23,053,505, from the prior year, primarily due to an increase in source of supply water purchases as a result of the increase in water sales.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### **CAPITAL ASSETS**

At the end of 2018, 2017 and 2016, the District's investment in capital assets was \$155,765,727, \$153,720,464, and \$154,023,911 net of accumulated depreciation respectively. Capital asset additions during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$5,424,247 and \$3,350,357 for various projects and equipment. (More detailed information about capital assets can be found in Note 5 to the financial statements). Total depreciation expense for the year exceeded \$5.3 million and \$6.1 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

#### Table A-5: Capital Assets at Year End, Net of Depreciation

		Balance,		Balance,	Balance,			
Capital assets:	Dec	cember 31, 2018 Dec		December 31, 2018		ember 31, 2017	Dec	ember 31, 2016
Non-depreciable assets	\$	12,562,526	\$	7,996,662	\$	8,208,610		
Depreciable assets		308,204,404		302,972,181		295,153,330		
Accumulated depreciation		(165,001,203)		(157,226,519)		(149,338,029)		
Total capital assets, net	\$	155,765,727	\$	153,742,324	\$	154,023,911		

#### LONG-TERM DEBT

At year-end the District had \$64.5 million in capital leases, loan payables, and revenue bonds payables – an increase(decrease) of \$11,970,967 and (\$990,019) in 2018 and 2017 respectively – as shown in Table A-6. (More detailed information about the District's long-term liabilities is presented in Note 7 to the financial statements).

#### Table A-6: Outstanding Long-Term Debt at Year-End

Long-term debt:	Dece	Balance, mber 31, 2018	Dece	Balance, mber 31, 2017	Balance, December 31, 2016		
Capital leases payable Loan payable – 2012 Revenue bonds payable, net – 2013 Revenue bonds payable, net – 2018	\$	592,917 6,315,204 43,732,681 13.907.110	\$	769,848 7,462,288 44,344,809	\$	47,286 8,577,741 44,941,937 -	
Total	\$	64,547,912	\$	52,576,945	\$	53,566,964	

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## CONDITIONS AFFECTING CURRENT FINANICAL POSITION

- The District continued to see a slight rebound trend of water usage for 2018. This signaled District customers continue to change their water habits after being required to meet the mandatory drought restrictions in 2016.
- Billed water consumption for the year ended December 31, 2018 was at 16,769-acre feet compared to 16,176-acre feet for the year ended December 31, 2017.
- The District saw a decrease in developers paying capital improvement fees for new development. Total funds received for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$106,947 compared to \$1,021,406 for the year ended December 31, 2016.
- The District's assessed valuation has increased to \$1.81 billion for FY 2017/2018 from \$1.72 billion for FY 2016/2017.
- The District received \$2.032 million in ad valorum property tax revenue for 2018.
- The District received \$403,992 thousand in successor agency component property taxes for 2018.

## CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide the District's ratepayer, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the funds it receives and the stewardship of the facilities it owns and operates. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, please contact Palmdale Water District, Finance Department, 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, California 93550 or (661) 947-4111.

Balance Sheets

December 31, 2018 and 2017

ASSETS	2018	2017
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 3,679,407	\$ 3,784,789
Investments (Note 2)	8,105,601	10,542,238
Accrued interest receivable	34,079	37,582
Accounts receivable – water sales and services, net (Note 3)	1,783,819	1,759,209
Accounts receivable – property taxes and assessments	4,353,483	4,628,764
Accounts receivable – other	15,227	18,508
Materials and supplies inventory	1,022,601	815,095
Prepaid expenses	595,854	567,814
Total current assets	19,590,071	22,153,999
Non-current assets:		
Restricted – cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	11,706,447	-
Investment in Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (Note 4)	1,668,290	1,371,867
Capital assets – not being depreciated (Note 5)	12,562,526	7,996,662
Capital assets – being depreciated, net (Note 5)	143,203,201	145,745,662
Total non-current assets	169,140,464	155,114,191
Total assets	188,730,535	177,268,190
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Deferred amount on debt defeasance, net (Note 7)	2,165,132	2,321,824
Deferred amounts related to net OPEB obligation (Note 8)	923,382	-
Deferred amounts related to net pension liability (Note 9)	2,441,587	2,837,150
Total deferred outflows of resources	5,530,101	5,158,974
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 194,260,636	\$ 182,427,164

ALC: N

Balance Sheets (continued) December 31, 2018 and 2017

.

LIABILITIES	201	.8		2017
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 1,0	07,528	\$	1,136,962
Customer deposits for water service	2,9	42,630		2,872,519
Construction and developer deposits	1,6	38,385		1,625,816
Accrued interest payable	6	48,625		518,114
Long-term liabilities – due within one year:				
Compensated absences (Note 6)	1	18,457		108,258
Capital lease payable (Note 7)	10	63,600		159,145
Loan payable (Note 7)	1,18	86,595		1,147,084
Revenue bonds payable (Note 7)	52	20,000		510,000
Total current liabilities	8,22	25,820	1	8,077,898
Non-current liabilities:				
Long-term liabilities – due in more than one year:				
Compensated absences (Note 6)	35	55,371		324.774
Capital lease payable (Note 7)	42	29,317		610.703
Loan payable (Note 7)	5,12	28,609		6.315.204
Revenue bonds payable, net (Note 7)	57.11	19.791		43.834.809
Net other post-employment benefits obligation (Note 8)	13.59	98.136		14.271.430
Net pension liability (Note 9)	9,80	)9,458		10,081,661
Total non-current liabilities	86,44	40,682		75,438,581
Total liabilities	94,66	56,502		83,516,479
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unearned property taxes and assessments	3.30	0.000		3 500 000
Deferred amounts related to net pension liability (Note 9)	58	85,837		493,082
Total deferred inflows of resources	3,88	35,837		3,993,082
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	105,08	39,394	1	03.487.203
Restricted – Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (Note 4)	1.66	8.290		1.371.867
Unrestricted (Deficit) (Note 10)	(11,04	9,387)		(9,941,467)
Total net position	95,70	8,297		94,917,603
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position	\$ 194,26	0,636	\$ 1	82,427,164

12

## Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

	2018	2017
Operating revenues:		
Water sales – commodity charge	\$ 9,062,634	\$ 8,482,952
Water sales – wholesale	496,975	438,255
Monthly meter service charge	13,294,482	12,710,214
Water quality fees	803,306	845,526
Elevation fees	378,380	365,618
Other charges for services	848,301	850,530
Total operating revenues	24,884,078	23,693,095
Operating expenses:		A.5
Source of supply – water purchases	2,799,849	3,090,801
Operations and production	3,698,309	3,177,431
Facilities	7,355,368	6,580,697
Engineering	1,897,684	1,632,692
Water conservation	356,914	343,007
Administration	5,436,345	5,280,134
Finance and customer care	2,891,366	2,948,743
Total operating expenses	24,435,835	23,053,505
Operating income before overhead absorption	448,243	639,590
Overhead absorption	103,353	46,276
Operating income before depreciation expense	551,596	685,866
Depreciation expense	(5,353,052)	(6,113,751)
Operating (loss)	(4,801,456)	(5,427,885)
Non-operating revenues(expenses):		
Property taxes – ad valorem	2,032,216	1,665,812
Property tax assessment for State Water Project	4,811,735	5,102,773
Successor agency component of property taxes	403,992	623,525
Rental revenue – cellular towers	44,754	63,716
Investment earnings	292,316	56,054
Changes in investment – Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (Note 4)	296,423	96,536
Legal and insurance refunds/settlements	132,256	11,812
Department of Water Resources – fixed charge recovery	266,877	266,638
Other non-operating revenues	203,082	84,502
Cost of debt issuance (Note 7)	(308,867)	-
State Water Project amortization expense	(2,646,401)	(2,600,856)
Interest expense – long-term debt	(2,405,894)	(2,175,260)
Total non-operating revenue(expense), net	3,122,489	3,195,252
Net (loss) before capital contributions	(1,678,967)	(2,232,633)
Capital contributions:		
Capital improvement fees	106,947	1,021,406
Federal and state capital grants	47,666	110,668
Total capital contributions	154,613	1,132,074
Change in net position	(1,524,354)	(1,100,559)
Net position:		
Beginning of year, as previously reported	94,917,603	96,018,162
Prior period adjustment (Note 11)	2,315,048	
End of year	\$ 95,708,297	\$ 94,917,603

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Statements of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash receipts from water sales and services	\$ 24,942,148	\$ 23,775,146
Cash receipts from others	650,250	501,184
Cash paid to employees for salaries and wages	(7,462,928)	(7,411,999)
Cash paid to vendors and suppliers for materials and services	(16,362,605)	(13,081,698)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,766,865	3,782,633
Cash flows from non-capital financing activities:		
Proceeds from property taxes	2,511,489	2,411,482
Proceeds from property tax assessment for State Water Project	4,811,735	5,102,773
Acquisition of State Water Project participation rights	(4,598,609)	(4,497,112)
Net cash provided by non-capital financing activities	2,724,615	3,017,143
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(5,424,247)	(3,350,357)
Proceeds from capital improvement fees and capital grants	154,613	1,132,074
Proceeds from issuance of revenue bonds	13,925,632	-
Cost of debt issuance	(308,867)	
Principal paid on long-term debt	(1,816,229)	(1,717,891)
Interest paid on long-term debt	(2,153,773)	(1,932,632)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	4,377,129	(5,868,806)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investments	(2, 154, 751)	(3.847.037)
Sales of investments	4,588,458	1.734.522
Investment earnings	298,749	138,388
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,732,456	(1,974,127)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	11,601,065	(1,043,157)
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of year	3 784 789	4 827 946
End of year	¢ 15 305 05 4	4,027,740
	\$ 15,385,854	\$ 3,784,789
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the statement of net position:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,679,407	\$ 3784789
Restricted assets – cash and cash equivalents	11,706.447	÷ 0,701,709
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15385854	\$ 2 794 790
	φ 13,303,034	<u>φ</u> 3,/84,/89

Statements of Cash Flows (continued) For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

	2018	2017
Reconciliation of operating (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Operating (loss)	\$ (4,801,456)	\$ (5,427,885)
Adjustments to reconcile operating (loss) to net cash provided by operating		
activities:		
Depreciation	5,353,052	6,113,751
Overhead absorption	(103,353)	(46,276)
Rental revenue – cellular towers	44,754	63,716
Legal and insurance refunds/settlements	132,256	11,812
Department of Water Resources – fixed charge recovery	266,877	266,638
Other non-operating revenues	203,082	84,502
Change in assets - (increase)decrease:		
Accounts receivable – water sales and services, net	(24,610)	178,105
Accounts receivable – other	3,281	74,516
Materials and supplies inventory	(207,506)	95,453
Prepaid expenses	(28,041)	145,540
Change in deferred outflows of resources - (increase)decrease		
Deferred amounts related to net OPEB obligation	(923,382)	-
Deferred amounts related to net pension liability	395,563	(591,573)
Change in liabilities – increase(decrease):		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(129,434)	321,409
Customer deposits for water service	70,111	(95,853)
Construction and developer deposits	12,569	(201)
Compensated absences	40,796	(6,136)
Net other post-employment benefits obligation	1,641,754	1,163,756
Net pension liability	(272,203)	1,396,172
Change in deferred inflows of resources - increase(decrease)		
Deferred amounts related to net pension liability	92,755	35,187
Total adjustments	6,568,321	9,210,518
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,766,865	\$ 3,782,633
Non-cash investing, capital and financing transactions:		
Change in fair-value of investments	\$ (2,930)	\$ (90,252)
Amortization of deferred amount on debt defeasance	\$ (156,692)	\$ (156,692)
Amortization of net premium(discount) on revenue bonds	\$ 120,650	\$ 102,128
Changes in investment – Palmdale Recycled Water Authority	\$ 296,423	\$ 96,536

σ.

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. Description of Organization

The Palmdale Water District (District) was formed as an Irrigation District under Division 11 of the California Water Code in 1918. The District provides potable water service to a portion of the City of Palmdale, California, and surrounding unincorporated areas of the County of Los Angeles. The District is operated under the direction of a five-member board of directors. The board members are elected by the public for staggered four-year terms.

#### **B.** Reporting Entity

A reporting entity is comprised of the primary government, component units, and other organizations that are included to ensure the financial statements are not misleading. The primary government of the District consists of all funds, departments, and agencies that are not legally separate from the District. For Palmdale Water District, this includes general operations, security, and wastewater treatment of the District.

The criteria used in determining the scope of the financial reporting entity is based on the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity* (GASB Statement No. 61). The District is the primary governmental unit based on the foundation of a separately elected governing board that is elected by the citizens in a general popular election. Component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the primary government are financially accountable. The District is financially accountable if it appoints a voting majority of the organization's governing body and: 1) It is able to impose its will on that organization, or 2) There is a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on, the primary government.

The Palmdale Water District Public Facilities Corporation (Corporation) was organized on August 22, 1991, pursuant to the Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law of the State of California, solely for the purpose of acquiring and or constructing various public facilities and providing financial assistance to the District. Accordingly, this component unit is blended within the financial statements of the District.

The Palmdale Water District Public Financing Authority (Authority) was organized on April 10, 2013, pursuant to a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement by and between the Palmdale Water District and the California Municipal Finance Authority, solely for the purpose of providing financing for District capital improvements. Accordingly, this component unit is blended within the financial statements of the District.

#### C. Basis of Presentation, Basis of Accounting

The Financial Statements (i.e., the balance sheet, the statement of revenues, expenses and change in net position, and statement of cash flows) report information on all of the activities of the primary government. The District accounts for its operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises – where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability or other purposes.

The Financial Statements are reported using the *"economic resources"* measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as all eligibility requirements have been met. Interest associated with the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual and so has been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### C. Basis of Presentation, Basis of Accounting (continued)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position*, the Statement of Net Position reports separate sections for Deferred Outflows of Resources, and Deferred Inflows of Resources, when applicable.

**Deferred Outflows of Resources** represent outflows of resources (consumption of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, will not be recognized as an expense until that time.

**Deferred Inflows of Resources** represent inflows of resources (acquisition of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, are not recognized as a revenue until that time.

Operating revenues are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the District. The District reports a measure of operations by presenting the change in net position from operations as *operating income* in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. Operating activities are defined by the District as all activities other than financing and investing activities (interest expense and investment income), grants and subsidies, and other infrequently occurring transactions of a non-operating nature. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the District. All other expenses are reported as non-operating expenses.

#### D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position

#### 1. Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. Cash deposits are reported at the carrying amount, which reasonably estimates fair value.

#### 2. Investments

Investments are reported at fair value except for short-term investments, which are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Cash deposits are reported at carrying amount, which reasonably estimates fair value. Investments in governmental investment pools are reported at fair value based on the fair value per share of the pool's underlying portfolio.

In accordance with fair value measurements, the District categorizes its assets and liabilities measured at fair value into a three-level hierarchy based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique used to determine fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used in the determination of the fair value measurement fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Financial assets and liabilities recorded on the balance sheet are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

*Level 1* – Inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical investments, such as stocks, corporate and government bonds. The District has the ability to access the holding and quoted prices as of the measurement date.

*Level 2* – Inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly, including inputs from markets that are not considered to be active.

*Level 3* – Inputs that are unobservable. Unobservable inputs reflect the District's own assumptions about the factors market participants would use in pricing an investment, and is based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

# D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position (continued)

## 3. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The District extends credit to customers in the normal course of operations. When management deems customer accounts uncollectible, the District uses the allowance method for the reservation and write-off of those accounts.

#### 4. Prepaids

Certain payments of vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items.

#### 5. Materials and Supplies Inventory

Materials and supplies consist primarily of water meters, pipe, and pipefittings for construction and repair to the District's water transmission and distribution system. Materials and supplies are valued at cost using a weighted average method. Materials and supplies are charged to expense at the time that individual items are consumed.

#### 6. Capital Assets

Capital assets are stated at cost or at their estimated fair value at date of donation. It is the District's policy to capitalize assets costing over \$5,000. The provision for depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated service lives of the capital assets. Estimated service lives for the District's classes of assets are as follows:

Description	Estimated Lives
Capital Equipment	10 Years
Furniture	7-10 Years
Vehicles	5-10 Years
Small Equipment	3-5 Years

#### 7. State Water Project - Participation Rights

The District participates in the State Water Project (the Project) entitling it to certain participation rights. The District's participation in the Project is through payments to the California Department of Water Resources from tax assessments collected from within the District's service area. Monies used for the construction of capital assets, such as pipelines, pumping facilities, storage facilities, etc., are recorded as participation rights and amortized over the life of the agreements. Certain projects also require payments for on-going maintenance; those payments are charged to expense as incurred.

#### 8. Customer Deposits for Water Service

Based on a customer's credit, the District may require a deposit deemed reasonable by the District. These deposits are held to pay off close out bills or to cover delinquent payments.

#### 9. Compensated Absences

The liability for compensated absences reported on the balance sheet consists of unpaid, accumulated annual and vacation leave balances. The liability has been calculated using the vesting method, in which leave amounts for both employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments and other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments upon termination are included.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position (continued)

#### **10.** Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans and addition to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

CalPERS	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017
Valuation Date	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Measurement Date	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017
<b>Measurement</b> Period	July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017	July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Gains and losses related to changes in total pension liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in pension expense systematically over time. The first amortized amounts are recognized in pension expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and are to be recognized in future pension expense. The amortization period differs depending on the source of the gain or loss. The difference between projected and actual earnings is amortized straight-line over 5 years. All other amounts are amortized straight-line over the average expected remaining service lives of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive, and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period.

#### 11. Net Position

Net position is classified into three components: net investment in capital assets; restricted; and unrestricted. These classifications are defined as follows:

- Net investment in capital assets This component of net position consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. If there are significant unspent related debt proceeds at year-end, the portion of the debt attributable to the unspent proceeds are not included in the calculation of net investment in capital assets. Rather, that portion of the debt is included in the same net position component as the unspent proceeds.
- Restricted This component of net position consists of constraints placed on net position use through external constraints imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- **Unrestricted** This component of net position consists of net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted".

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

### NOTE 1 – DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### E. Property Taxes

Property tax in California is levied in accordance with Article XIIIA of the State Constitution at one percent of county-wide assessed valuations. This one percent is allocated pursuant to state law to the appropriate units of local government. Tax levies are limited to 1% of full market value which results in a tax rate of \$1.00 per \$100 assessed valuation, under the provisions of Proposition 13. The County of Los Angeles bills and collects property taxes on behalf of the District. The County's tax year is July 1, to December 31. Property taxes attach as a lien on property on January 1. Taxes are levied on July 1 and are payable in two equal installments on November 1 and March 1, and become delinquent after December 10, and April 10.

#### F. Water Sales

Most water sales are billed on a monthly cyclical basis. Estimated unbilled water revenue through yearend has been accrued.

#### G. Capital Improvement Fees

Capital improvement fees represent cash and capital asset additions contributed to the District by property owners, granting agencies or real estate developers desiring services that required capital expenditures or capacity commitment.

#### H. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### I. Reclassifications

Certain amounts presented in the prior year financial statements have been reclassified in order to be consistent with the current year's presentation.

#### J. New GASB Pronouncements

During the 2018 year, the following GASB Pronouncements were implemented:

# 1. Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions.* The primary objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits, or OPEB). It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for OPEB that is provided by other entities. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits (pensions and OPEB) with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency.

This Statement replaces the requirements of Statements No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, as amended, and No. 57, OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans, for OPEB. Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, establishes new accounting and financial reporting requirements for OPEB plans.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### **NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

Cash and investments were classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Description	Balance, December 31, 2018		Balance, December 31, 20			
Cash and cash equivalents Investments Restricted – cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,679,407 8,105,601 11,706,447	\$	3,784,789 10,542,238		
Total	\$	23,491,455	\$	14,327,027		

Cash and investments consisted of the following:

Description	Balance, December 31, 2018			Balance, December 31, 2017			
Cash on hand	\$	5,700	\$	5,700			
Demand deposits held with financial institutions		764,750		438,359			
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)		12,130		11,927			
Money-market funds		2,896,827		3,213,651			
Money-market funds – restricted		11,706,447		-			
Investments		8,105,601		10,542,238			
Total	\$	23,491,455	\$	14,211,875			

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized by the California Government Code and the District's investment policy. The table also identifies certain provisions of the District's investment policy that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustee that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	5-years	None	None
District issued bonds	5-years	None	None
Government sponsored agency securities	5-years	None	None
Certificates-of-deposit	5-years	35%	None
Money-market funds	N/A	None	None
California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None

and and

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

#### NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

## **Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements**

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustee. The table also identifies certain provisions if these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Investment contracts	None	None	None
Money-market funds	N/A	None	None

#### **Demand Deposits with Financial Institutions**

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the carrying amount of the District's demand deposits were \$764,750 and \$438,359, respectively, and the financial institution's balance were \$742,338 and \$1,232,012, respectively. The net difference represents outstanding checks, deposits-in-transit and/or other reconciling items between the financial institution's balance for each year.

#### **Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a policy for custodial credit risk for deposits. Cash balances held in banks are insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and are collateralized by the respective financial institutions. In addition, the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by State or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under State law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110 percent of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of the secured public deposits and letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco having a value of 105 percent of the secured deposits.

#### **Money-Market Funds**

Money-market funds are an investment whose objective is to earn modest investment earnings while maintaining a net asset value (NAV) of \$1 per share (which is the funds main goal – preservation of principal). A money-market fund's portfolio is typically comprised of short-term, or less than one year, securities representing high-quality, liquid debt and monetary instruments with minimal credit risk. Money-market funds are Level 1 investments (with quoted prices in active markets for identical assets) that are Not Rated under the current credit risk ratings format. For financial reporting purposes, the District considers money-market funds a cash equivalent due to their highly liquid nature and NAV of \$1 per share. As of December 31, 2018, the District held \$2,896,827 in unrestricted money-market funds and \$11,706,447 in restricted money-market funds. As of December 31, 2017, the District held \$3,213,651 in unrestricted money-market funds.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 2 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

#### Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)

The California State Treasurer, through the Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA), invests taxpayers' money to manage the State's cash flow and strengthen the financial security of local governmental entities. PMIA policy sets as primary investment objectives safety, liquidity and yield. Through the PMIA, the Investment Division manages the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The LAIF allows cities, counties and special districts to place money in a major portfolio and, at no additional costs to taxpayers, use the expertise of Investment Division staff. Participating agencies can withdraw their funds from the LAIF at any time as LAIF is highly liquid and carries a dollar-in dollar-out amortized cost methodology.

The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported at an amount based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of the of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF. LAIF is not categorized under the fair value hierarchy established by GAAP as it is held at an amortized cost basis and it is Not Rated under the current credit risk ratings format. For financial reporting purposes, the District considers LAIF a cash equivalent due to its highly liquid nature and dollar-in dollar-out amortized cost methodology. As of December 31, 2018, and 2017, the District held \$12,130 and \$11,927 in LAIF, respectively.

The investment policy of the District limits the amount that can be invested in an external investment pool (LAIF). A maximum limit has been set at \$500,000 that can be invested in LAIF at any point in time.

#### Investments

Investment maturities and credit ratings as of December 31, 2018, consisted of the following:

				Maturity						
Type of Investments	Measurement Input	Credit Rating		Fair Value	1	2 Months or Less		13 to 24 Months		25 to 60 Months
U.S. Treasury notes Certificates-of-deposit	Level 1 Level 2	Exempt Not Rated	\$	4,846,128 3,259,473	\$	4,846,128 2,314,095		- 784,714	_	160,664
Total investments			\$	8,105,601	\$	7,160,223	\$	784,714	\$	160,664

Investment maturities and credit ratings as of December 31, 2017, consisted of the following:

								Maturity		
Type of Investments	Measurement Input	Credit Rating	1	Fair Value	12	2 Months or Less		13 to 24 Months		25 to 60 Months
U.S. Treasury notes Certificates-of-deposit	Level 1 Level 2	Exempt Not Rated	\$	6,836,426 3,705,812	\$	1,993,210 1,599,319	\$	4,843,216 1,393,836		712,657
Total investments			\$	10,542,238	\$	3,592,529	\$	6,237,052	\$	712,657

#### Investments - Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District's investment policy limits investment purchases to investments with a term not to exceed five-years. The District's did not hold any investments that are highly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations (to a greater degree than already indicated in the information provided above).

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

#### Investments - Credit Risk

The District's investment policy limits investment choices to investment securities allowed by the California Government Code. At December 31, 2018, all investments represented investment securities which were issued, registered and held by the District's agent in the District's name.

#### Investments – Concentration of Credit Risk

The District does not place limits on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the District had the following investments that represented more than five percent of the Authority's net investment balance.

Investments greater than 5% for the year ended December 31, 2018, were as follows:

Investments with Maturity Dates		air Value	Percentage of Investments
U.S. Treasury note - February 15, 2019	\$	1,500,630	18.51%
U.S. Treasury note - February 28, 2019		998,570	12.32%
U.S. Treasury note - March 15, 2019		1,354,198	16.71%
U.S. Treasury note - December 31, 2019		992,730	12.25%
Total	\$	4,846,128	59.79%

Investments greater than 5% for the year ended December 31, 2017, were as follows:

Investments with Maturity Dates	Fair Value		Percentage of Investments
U.S. Treasury note - June 15, 2018	\$	998,440	9.47%
U.S. Treasury note - December 15, 2018		994,770	9.44%
U.S. Treasury note - February 15, 2019		1,514,940	14.37%
U.S. Treasury note - February 15, 2019		987,890	9.37%
U.S. Treasury note - February 28, 2019		996,020	9.45%
U.S. Treasury note - March 15, 2019	_	1,344,366	12.75%
Total	\$	6,836,426	64.85%

#### NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - WATER SALES AND SERVICES, NET

The balances consisted of the following;

Description	Decer	Balance, mber 31, 2018	Balance, December 31, 2017			
Accounts receivable – water sales and services Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	1,847,954 (64,135)	\$	1,912,877 (153,668)		
Accounts receivable – water sales and services, net	\$	1,783,819	\$	1,759,209		

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 4 - INVESTMENT IN PALMDALE RECYCLED WATER AUTHORITY

The Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (the Authority) was formed under a Joint Exercise of Powers Authority on September 26, 2012, pursuant to Section(s) 6506 and 6507 of the Exercise of Powers Act, codified by California Government Code section(s) 6500, which authorizes public agencies by agreement to exercise jointly any power common to the contracting parties. The Authority was formed between the City of Palmdale, a California Charter City (the City) and Palmdale Water District, an Irrigation District under Division 11 of the California Water Code (the District). The Authority is an independent public agency separate from its Members.

The purpose of the Authority is to establish an independent public agency to study, promote, develop, distribute, construct, install, finance, use and manage recycled water resources created by the Los Angeles County Sanitation District Nos. 14 and 20 for any and all reasonable and beneficial uses, including irrigation and recharge, and to finance the acquisition and construction or installation of recycled water facilities, recharge facilities and irrigation systems.

The governing body of the Authority is a Board of Directors, which consists of five directors. The governing body of each Member appoints and designates in writing two Directors who are authorized to act for and on behalf of the Member on matters within the powers of the Authority. The person(s) appointed and designated as Director(s) are member(s) of the Member's governing board. The fifth director is appointed jointly by both Members.

The Members share in the revenues and expenses of the Authority on a 50/50 pro-rata share basis. Therefore, the District accounts for its investment in the Authority as an equity interest on the statement of net position.

For 2018, the District reports its equity interest as of the date of the last audited financial statements of the Authority as of December 31, 2018, which was audited by our firm, whose report dated June 25, 2019 expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

For 2017, the District reports its equity interest as of the date of the last audited financial statements of the Authority as of December 31, 2017, which was audited by other auditors, whose report dated August 7, 2018 expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 4 - INVESTMENT IN PALMDALE RECYCLED WATER AUTHORITY (continued)

The following is the condensed financial statement of the Authority for the year ended December 31, 2018:

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Balance Sheet December 31, 2018

<i>,</i>	Audited Total	City 5	of Palmdale 0% Share	District 50% Share		
Assets:	 	-		-		
Total assets	\$ 3,365,314	\$	1,682,657	\$	1,682,657	
Liabilities:				~		
Total liabilities	 28,735		14,368		14,367	
Net position:						
Total net position	 3,336,579		1,668,289	-	1,668,290	
Total liabilities and net position	\$ 3,365,314	\$	1,682,657	\$	1,682,657	

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

	A	Audited Total	City 5	of Palmdale 0% Share	5	District 50% Share		
Operating revenues: Total operating revenues		675,963	\$	337,981	\$	337,982		
<b>Operating expenses:</b> Total operating expenses		87,662		43,831		43,831		
Operating income		588,301	_	294,150		294,151		
Non-operating revenues: Total non-operating revenue		4,543		2,271		2,272		
Change in net position		592,844		296,421		296,423		
Net position: Beginning of year		2,743,735	_	1,371,868	_	1,371,867		
End of year	\$	3,336,579	\$	1,668,289	\$	1,668,290		

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

	Audited Total	City 5	of Palmdale 0% Share	5	District 50% Share		
Cash flows from operating activities: Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 623,946	\$	311,973	\$	311,973		
Cash flows from investing activities: Net cash provided by investing activities	 (893,983)		(446,992)		(446,991)		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(270,037)		(135,019)		(135,018)		
Cash and cash equivalents: Beginning of year End of year	\$ 926,807 656,770	\$	463,404 328,385	\$	463,403 328,385		
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:							
Operating income Depreciation Change in assets Change in liabilities	\$ 588,301 53,407 (4,365) (13,397)	\$	294,151 26,704 (2,183) (6,699)	\$	294,150 26,703 (2,182) (6,698)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 623,946	\$	311,973	\$	311,973		

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 4 - INVESTMENT IN PALMDALE RECYCLED WATER AUTHORITY (continued)

The following is the condensed financial statement of the Authority for the year ended December 31, 2017:

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Balance Sheet December 31, 2017

	Audited Total	City of Palmdale 50% Share	District 50% Share		
Assets: Total assets	\$ 2,785,867	\$ 1,392,934	\$ 1,392,933		
Liabilities: Total liabilities	42,132	21,066	21,066		
Net position: Total net position	2,743,735	1,371,868	1,371,867		
Total liabilities and net position	\$ 2,785,867	\$ 1,392,934	\$ 1,392,933		

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

	Audited Total	City of Palmdale 50% Share	District 50% Share		
Operating revenues: Total operating revenues	\$ 251,560	\$ 125,780	\$ 125,780		
<b>Operating expenses:</b> Total operating expenses	115,736	57,868	57,868		
Operating income	135,824	67,912	67,912		
Non-operating revenues: Total non-operating revenue Change in net position	<u> </u>	28,625 96,537	28,624		
Net position: Beginning of year	2,550,662	1,275,331	1,275,331		
End of year	\$ 2,743,735	\$ 1,371,868	\$ 1,371,867		

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority Condensed Statement of Cash Flows For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

	A	udited Total	City o 50	of Palmdale 9% Share	50	District 50% Share		
Cash flows from operating activities: Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	152,018	\$	76,009	\$	76,009		
Cash flows from other activities: Net cash provided by other activities		57,249		28,625		28,624		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		209,267		104,634		104,633		
Cash and cash equivalents: Beginning of year End of year	\$	717,540 926,807	\$	358,770 463,404	\$	358,770 463,403		
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash pr operating activities:	ovide	d by						
Operating income Depreciation Change in assets Change in liabilities	\$	135,824 53,407 3,251 (40,464)	\$	67,912 26,703 1,626 (20,232)	\$	67,912 26,704 1,625 (20,232)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	152,018	\$	76,009	\$	76,009		

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 5 - CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2018, was as follows:

	Balance,					Deletions/		Balance,	
Description	Ja	nuary 1, 2018	Additions		Transfers		December 31, 2018		
Non-depreciable assets:									
Land and land rights	\$	1,784,357		3 <b>-</b>		-	\$	1,784,357	
Construction-in-process		6,212,305		5,383,101	_	(817,237)	_	10,778,169	
Total non-depreciable assets		7,996,662		5,383,101		(817,237)		12,562,526	
Depreciable assets:									
Buildings, wells and distribution system		215,384,643		731,780		-		216,116,423	
SWP – participation rights		75,981,778		4,598,609		-		80,580,387	
Machinery and equipment		11,605,760		126,603	_	(224,769)		11,507,594	
Total depreciable assets		302,972,181		5,456,992	_	(224,769)		308,204,404	
Accumulated depreciation:									
Buildings, wells and distribution system		(117,820,520)		(4,856,911)		-		(122,677,431)	
SWP – participation rights		(29,119,145)		(2,646,401)		· .		(31,765,546)	
Machinery and equipment	-	(10,286,854)		(496,141)	_	224,769		(10,558,226)	
Total accumulated depreciation		(157,226,519)	_	(7,999,453)	_	224,769		(165,001,203)	
Total depreciable assets, net		145,745,662		(2,542,461)		-		143,203,201	
Total capital assets, net	\$	153,742,324	\$	2,840,640	\$	(817,237)	\$	155,765,727	

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2017, was as follows:

Description	Jar	Balance, wary 1, 2017		Additions		Deletions/ Transfers		Balance, December 31, 2017	
Non-depreciable assets:									
Land and land rights	\$	1,784,357		-		÷.,	\$	1,784,357	
Construction-in-process		6,424,253		2,636,767		(2,848,715)		6,212,305	
Total non-depreciable assets		8,208,610		2,636,767		(2,848,715)		7,996,662	
Depreciable assets:									
Buildings, wells and distribution system		212,937,794		3,032,400		(585,551)		215,384,643	
SWP – participation rights		71,484,666		4,497,112		-		75,981,778	
Machinery and equipment	-	10,730,870	_	1,115,456		(240,566)		11,605,760	
Total depreciable assets		295,153,330	_	8,644,968		(826,117)		302,972,181	
Accumulated depreciation:									
Buildings, wells and distribution system		(112,826,930)		(5,579,141)		585,551		(117,820,520)	
SWP – participation rights		(26,518,289)		(2,600,856)		-		(29,119,145)	
Machinery and equipment		(9,992,810)		(534,610)	_	240,566		(10,286,854)	
Total accumulated depreciation		(149,338,029)		(8,714,607)		826,117		(157,226,519)	
Total depreciable assets, net		145,815,301		(69,639)	_			145,745,662	
Total capital assets, net	\$	154,023,911	\$	2,567,128	\$	(2,848,715)	\$	153,742,324	

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 5 - CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION (continued)

#### **Construction-In-Process**

The balance consists of the following projects:

		Balance			Balance	Balance		
Project Description		Decen	nber 31, 2016	Dece	mber 31, 2017	December 31, 2018		
Sediment removal - Littlerock Dam		\$	1,807,482	\$	2,222,266	\$	3,026,034	
Littlerock Creek Groundwater Recharge Project			2,274,315		3,074,489		3,636,800	
Grade control structure – Littlerock Dam			-		-		1,726,769	
Meter Exchange Project			-		Ξ.		487,830	
Spec 1703-ML Replacement 13th St E/Avenue R			· -		-		229,174	
Upper Armagosa Creek project			129,215		136,561		156,776	
45th St Tank Site - Altitude Valve Replacement			-		-		123,584	
Salt Silo Water Treatment Plant			-		· -		106,679	
Well 29 - Rehabilitation			-		-		105,783	
Clearwell - Booster #2 Replacement			-		119,224		-	
Tierra Subida Ave. waterline replacement			447,920		-		-	
El Camino Dr mainline replacement			385,798		-		-	
Well 15 - inspection and replacement			197,014		-		-	
Various other minor projects <\$100,000	-		1,182,509		659,765		1,178,740	
Total construction-in-process		\$	6,424,253	\$	6,212,305	\$	10,778,169	

#### **State Water Project - Participation Rights**

In 1963, the District contracted with the State of California (the State) for 1,620 acre-feet per year of water from the State Water Project (SWP). In subsequent years, the annual entitlement increased to 21,300 acre-feet. The SWP distributes water from Northern California to Southern California through a system of reservoirs, canals, pumps stations, and power generation facilities.

The District is one of many participants contracting with the State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR) for a system to provide water throughout California. Under the terms of the State Water Contract, as amended, the District is obligated to pay allocable portions of the cost of construction of the system and ongoing operations and maintenance costs through at least the year 2035, regardless of the quantities of water available from the project. The District and the other contractors may also be responsible to the State for certain obligations by any contractor who defaults on its payments to the State.

Management's present intention is to exercise the District's option to extend the contractual period to at least 2052, under substantially comparable terms. This corresponds to an estimated 80-year service life for the original facilities. The State is obligated to provide specific quantities of water throughout the life of the contract, subject to certain conditions.

In addition to system on-aqueduct power facilities, the State has, either on their own or through joint ventures financed certain off-aqueduct power facilities (OAPF). The power generated is utilized by the system for water transportation and distribution purposes. Power generated in excess of system needs is marked to various utilities and California's power market.

The District is entitled to a proportionate share of the revenues resulting from sales of excess power. The District and the other water providers are responsible for repaying the capital and operating costs of the OAPF regardless of the amount of power generated.

The District capitalizes its share of system construction costs as participation rights in the State water facilities when such costs are billed by the DWR. Unamortized participation rights essentially represent a prepayment for future water deliveries through the State system. The District's share of system operations and maintenance costs is charged to expenses as incurred.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 5 - CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION (continued)

The District amortizes a portion of capitalized participation rights each year using a formula that considers the total estimated cost of the project, estimated useful life and estimated production capacity of the assets based upon information provided by the State of California. The participation rights have been included with the District's capital assets as shown in the schedule of changes in capital assets.

### **NOTE 6 – COMPENSATED ABSENCES**

Summary changes to compensated absences balances for the year ended December 31, 2018, were as follows:

Ba Januar	llance, ry 1, 2018	 Additions	 Deletions		Balance, December 31, 2018		Due Within One Year	Due in More Than One Year	
\$	433,032	\$ 578,137	\$ (537,341)	\$	473,828	\$	118,457	\$	355,371

Summary changes to compensated absences balances for the year ended December 31, 2017, were as follows:

B	Balance,		Deletions	Balance,			Due Within	Due in More			
Janua	uary 1, 2017 Additions Deletions			December 31, 2017			One Year	Than One Year			
\$	439,168	\$	498,806	\$	(504,942)	\$	433,032	\$	108,258	\$	324,774

#### NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT

Changes in long-term debt for the year ended December 31, 2018, were as follows:

Long-Term Debt	Jar	Balance, wary 1, 2018	A	Additions/ Payments/ Adjustments Amortization		Payments/ mortization	Balance, December 31, 2018		Current Portion		Non-Current Portion	
Capital lease payable – 2017	\$	769,848	\$	(17,786)	\$	(159,145)	\$	592,917	\$	163,600	\$	429,317
Loan payable – 2012		7,462,288	_	-		(1,147,084)	_	6,315,204		1,186,595		5,128,609
Revenue bonds payable – 2013 Revenue bonds payable – discount Revenue bonds payable – premium		41,715,000 (110,744) 2,740,553		:		(510,000) 4,301 (106,429)	_	41,205,000 (106,443) 2,634,124		520,000 - -		40,685,000 (106,443) 2,634,124
Revenue bonds payable, net – 2013		44,344,809		-		(612,128)		43,732,681		520,000		43,212,681
Revenue bonds payable - 2018 Revenue bonds payable - premium		-		12,805,000 1,120,632		- (18,522)		12,805,000 1,102,110	73	-		12,805,000 1,102,110
Revenue bonds payable, net - 2018		<u> </u>		13,925,632		(18,522)		13,907,110		-		13,907,110
Total long-term debt	\$	52,576,945	\$	13,907,846	\$	(1,936,879)	\$	64,547,912	\$	1,870,195	\$	62,677,717

#### Changes in long-term debt for the year ended December 31, 2017, were as follows:

Long-Term Debt	Jar	Balance, wary 1, 2017	A	Additions/ djustments	A	Payments/ mortization	Dece	Balance, ember 31, 2017	 Current Portion	N	lon-Current Portion
Capital lease payable – 2013 Capital lease payable – 2017	\$	47,286	\$	- 830,000	\$	(47,286) (60,152)	\$	- 769,848	\$ - 159,145	\$	610,703
Loan payable – 2012		8,577,741		-		(1,115,453)		7,462,288	 1,147,084		6,315,204
Revenue bonds payable – 2013 Revenue bonds payable – discount Revenue bonds payable – premium		42,210,000 (115,045) 2,846,982				(495,000) 4,301 (106,429)		41,715,000 (110,744) 2,740,553	510,000 - -		41,205,000 (110,744) 2,740,553
Revenue bonds payable, net – 2013	_	44,941,937		-		(597,128)		44,344,809	510,000		43,834,809
Total long-term debt	\$	53,566,964	\$	830,000	\$	(1,820,019)	\$	52,576,945	\$ 1,816,229	\$	50,150,013

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

#### NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

#### A. Capital Lease Payable - 2017

On January 18, 2018, the District entered into an \$830,000 installment purchase agreement in order to acquire, construct, equip, and furnish certain improvements to its facilities. Capital lease payments consisting of principal and interest in the amount of \$89,476.70 are due every six months beginning in July, 2018 until January, 2022 at an annual interest rate of 2.78%.

Year	Principal		 Interest	Total		
2019	\$	163,600	\$ 15,354	\$	178,954	
2020		168,179	10,774		178,953	
2021		172,886	6,067		178,953	
2022		88,252	1,225		89,477	
Total		592,917	\$ 33,420	\$	626,337	
Less: current		(163,600)				
Total non-current	\$	429,317				

Annual debt service requirements for the capital lease payable are as follows:

#### B. Loan Payable - 2012

In November 2012, the District issued \$12,765,208 in a private-placement Loan Payable-2012, with maturities from 2013 through 2023 and an interest rate of 3.10%. The net proceeds of the issuance were used to advance refund (an in-substance defeasance) \$12,505,000 of aggregate principal amount of the District's COPs-1998 with an average interest rate of 4.73%.

The initial escrow deposit was used to purchase government sponsored agency obligation securities. These securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the COPs-1998.

The advance refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying value amount of the old debt of \$846,845. This difference is being amortized through 2023 (the life of the debt) using the straight-line method as a deferred loss on debt defeasance. The District completed the advance refunding to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 11 years by approximately \$1.293 million and to obtain an economic gain (the difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of approximately \$1.154 million.

Annual debt service requirements for the refunding certificates of participation are as follows:

Year	Year Principal		 Interest	Total		
2019	\$	1,186,595	\$ 186,646	\$	1,373,241	
2020		1,224,583	149,569		1,374,152	
2021		1,261,008	111,327		1,372,335	
2022		1,300,396	71,933		1,372,329	
2023		1,342,622	 31,296		1,373,918	
Total		6,315,204	\$ 550,771	\$	6,865,975	
Less: current		(1,186,595)				
Total non-current	\$	5,128,609				

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

#### NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

#### C. Revenue Bonds Payable

#### **Certificates of Participation - 2004**

In August 2004, the District issued \$38,285,000 of Certificates of Participation-2004 (COPs-2004), with maturities from 2008 through 2034 and an average interest rate of 4.90%. The net proceeds are to be used to finance the acquisition, construction, and improvement of certain water facilities and to pay issuance costs of the debt. Issuance of the COPs-2004 resulted in a premium of \$328,767 which was being amortized over the life of the issue using the straight-line method. In 2013, the District advance refunded the remaining \$35,560,000 of the COPs-2004 into the revenue bonds payable issuance.

#### **Revenue Bonds Payable - 2013**

The Palmdale Water District Public Financing Authority (Authority) issued \$44,350,000 in Revenue Bonds Payable-2013 (Bonds-2013) with maturities from 2013 through 2043 with an interest rate range between 2.00% and 5.00% pursuant to an Indenture of Trust, dated as of May 1, 2013, by and between the Authority and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Bonds-2013 were issued: (i) to prepay the District's outstanding Certificates of Participation-2004; (ii) to finance certain improvements to the District's Water System; (iii) to purchase a municipal bond insurance policy to guarantee payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds-2013; (iv) to purchase a municipal bond debt service reserve insurance policy for deposit in the Reserve Fund; and (v) to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds-2013. The refunding resulted in a premium on the issuance of \$3,228,354 and a discount of (\$130,456) which are being amortized over the remaining debt service years. Principal and interest payments are due in April and October of each year.

The advance refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying value amount of the old debt of \$2,278,663. This difference is being amortized through 2043 (the life of the debt) using the straight-line method as a deferred amount on debt defeasance.

Year	Principal		 Interest	Total		
2019	\$	520,000	\$ 1,825,825	\$	2,345,825	
2020		535,000	1,810,225		2,345,225	
2021		565,000	1,783,475		2,348,475	
2022		595,000	1,755,225		2,350,225	
2023		620,000	1,725,475		2,345,475	
2024-2028		11,675,000	7,584,725		19,259,725	
2029-2033		14,550,000	4,714,600		19,264,600	
2034-2038		6,865,000	1,723,950		8,588,950	
2039-2043		5,280,000	 650,000		5,930,000	
Total		41,205,000	\$ 23,573,500	\$	64,778,500	
Less: current		(520,000)				
Total non-current	\$	40,685,000				

Annual debt service requirements for the revenue bonds payable are as follows:

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

#### C. Revenue Bonds Payable (continued)

#### **Deferred Amount on Debt Defeasance, Net**

Changes in the deferred amount on long-term debt defeasance, net for the year ended December 31, 2018, was as follows:

Balance,							Balance,		
Description	January 1, 2018			Additions	Ar	nortization	December 31, 2018		
Deferred amount on debt defeasance, net	\$	2,321,824	\$	-	\$	(156,692)	\$	2,165,132	

Changes in the deferred amount on long-term debt defeasance, net for the year ended December 31, 2017, was as follows:

				Balance,			
Description	Description January 1, 2017		 Additions	An	ortization	December 31, 2017	
Deferred amount on debt defeasance, net	\$	2,478,516	\$ -	\$	(156,692)	\$	2,321,824

#### **Revenue Bonds Payable - 2018**

The Palmdale Water District Public Financing Authority (Authority) issued \$12,805,000 in Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2018A (2018A Bonds) with maturities from 2022 through 2048 with an interest rate range between 3.125% and 5.00% pursuant to an Indenture of Trust, dated as of June 1, 2018, by and between the Authority and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The 2018A Bonds are being issued: (i) to finance certain improvements to the District's water system, including Littlerock Dam; (ii) to purchase a municipal bond insurance policy to guarantee payment of the principal of and interest on the 2018A Bonds; (iii) to purchase a municipal bond debt service reserve insurance policy for deposit in the Reserve Fund; and (iv) to pay the costs of issuing the 2018A Bonds. Interest due on the 2018A Bonds is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing October 1, 2018, while principal payments are payable on October 1 of each year, commencing October 1, 2018A Bond issuance resulted in a \$1,120,632 premium which is being amortized over the remaining debt service years. Cost of the debt issuance was \$308,867 which was expensed in the year of issuance.

Annual debt service requirements for the revenue bonds payable are as follows:

Year	Principal		Interest	Total		
2019	\$	-	\$ 568,894	\$	568,894	
2020	-	-	568,893		568,893	
2021		-	568,894		568,894	
2022		250,000	568,893		818,893	
2023		265,000	556,394		821,394	
2024-2028		1,525,000	2,583,970		4,108,970	
2029-2033		1,940,000	2,162,969		4,102,969	
2034-2038		2,350,000	1,751,200		4,101,200	
2039-2043		2,865,000	1,236,000		4,101,000	
2039-2043		3,610,000	 489,875		4,099,875	
Total		12,805,000	\$ 11,055,982	\$	23,860,982	
Less: current		-				
Total non-current	\$	12,805,000				

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 8 - NET OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) OBLIGATION

#### Summary

The following balances on the balance sheet will be addressed in this footnote as follows:

Description	2018			2017*		
OPEB related deferred outflows	\$	923,382	\$	-		
Net other post-employment benefits obligation		13,598,136		14,271,430		

\* The December 31, 2017 net other post-employment benefits balance of \$14,271,430 was calculated under GASB Statement No. 45. As the provisions for GASB Statement No. 45 were replaced with GASB Statement No. 75 in the following footnote, the District is not presenting the footnote information regarding the actuarial methods and assumptions used to calculate the December 31, 2017 net other post-employment benefits balance of \$14,271,430 in the following footnote. See the District's December 31, 2017 annual financial statement for that information.

#### **Plan Description - Eligibility**

The District administers its post-employment benefits plan, a single-employer defined benefit plan (the Plan). The following requirements must be satisfied in order to be eligible for post-employment medical, dental, and vision benefits: (1) Attainment of age 55, and 20 years for full-time service, and (2) retirement from the District (the District must be the last employer prior to retirement).

#### **Plan Description - Benefits**

The District offers post-employment medical, dental, and vision benefits to retired employees who satisfy the eligibility rules. Spouses and surviving spouses are also eligible to receive benefits. Retirees may enroll in any plan available through the ACWA-JPIA medical, dental, and vision programs. The contribution requirements of plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the Board of Directors. The following is a description of the current retiree benefit plan:

	Partcipants
Benefit types provided	Medical, dental and vision
Durantion of benefits	Lifetime
Required service	CalPERS Retirement and 20 years service
Minimum age	55 years and CalPERS Retirement from District
Dependent coverage	Spouse and dependent up to cap
District contribution	Maximum up to \$1,850 cap
District cap on coverage	\$1,850

#### Employees covered by benefit terms

At December 31, 2018, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Plan Members	Covered Participants
Active members	82
Inactives entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	-
Inactives currently receiving benefits	16
Total plan members	98
Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### NOTE 8 - NET OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) OBLIGATION (continued)

## A. Total OPEB Liability

The District's total OPEB liability of \$13,598,136 as of December 31, 2018 was measured as of December 31, 2017 (Measurement Date), and was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

### Actuarial assumptions and other inputs

The total OPEB liability in the December 31, 2017 (Measurement Date) actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions and other inputs, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Discount Rate	3.44%
Inflation	2.75%
Salary Increases	3.0% per annum, in aggregate
Investment Rate of Return	3.44%
Mortality Rate	CalPERS Membership Data
Pre-Retirement Turnover	CalPERS Membership Data
Healthcare Trend Rate	Non-Medicare 7.5% to Medicare 6.5%

#### Mortality, Retirement & Turnover Assumptions

The mortality assumptions are based on the 1997-2015 Experience Study for CalPERS Active and Retiree Mortality for Miscellaneous and Safety Employees table created by CalPERS.

#### Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 3.44 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions would be sufficient to fully fund the obligation over a period not to exceed 30 years. The Bond Buyer 20 Bond Index was used.

## B. Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

The following table is based on the roll-forward of the December 31, 2017 (measurement Date) actuarial valuation:

	Total
OF	PEB Liability
\$	12,239,902
	471,435
	475,129
	695,190
	(283,520)
	1,358,234
\$	13,598,136
	\$

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## NOTE 8 - NET OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) OBLIGATION (continued)

## B. Changes in the Total OPEB Liability (continued)

## Sensitivity of the total OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current discount rate:

1	1% DecreaseDiscount Rate2.44%3.44%		count Rate 3.44%	1% Increase 4.44%	
\$	15,969,365	\$	13,598,136	\$	11,688,801

## Sensitivity of the total OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

		He	althcare Cost			
1	% Decrease	Current Trend		1% Increase		
\$	11,529,398	\$	13,598,136	\$	16,247,589	

# C. OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the District recognized OPEB expense/(credit) of \$1,025,563.

At December 31, 2018, the District reported \$923,382 of deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources for related to the net OPEB obligation as follows:

Description	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
District contributions subsequent to the measurement date of the net OPEB liability	\$	307.191	\$	-
Changes in assumptions		616,191		-
Total	\$	923,382	\$	-

At December 31, 2018, the District reported \$307,191 of deferred outflows of resources for employer contributions made subsequent to the measurement date which will be used to reduce the net OPEB liability balance in the coming year. Amortization of the remaining deferred outflows/(inflows) of resources related to the net OPEB obligation is as follows:

	Amount
Year Ended June 30:	
2019	\$ 78,999
2020	78,999
2021	78,999
2022	78,999
2023	78,999
Thereafter	221,196
Total	\$ 616,191

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## **NOTE 9 – PENSION PLAN**

## Summary

The following balances on the balance sheet will be addressed in this footnote as follows:

Description	, i	3	2	018	 2017
Pension related deferred outflows		\$		2,441,587	\$ 2,837,150
Net pension liability				9,809,458	10,081,661
Pension related deferred inflows				585,837	493,082

Qualified employees are covered under a multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan maintained by agencies of the State of California known as the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), or "The Plan".

The net pension liability balances have a Measurement Date of June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017, respectively, which are rolled-forward for the District's fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

## Pension Related Debt - CalPERS Side-Fund

As of June 30, 2003, CalPERS implemented risk-pooling for the District's agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. As a result, the District's defined benefit pension plan with CalPERS converted from an agent multiple-employer plan to a cost sharing multiple-employer plan. This change in the type of the plan created the CalPERS Side-Fund, which CalPERS financed at a 7.75% interest rate. CalPERS actuarially calculated the amount needed to bring the District into the cost sharing multiple-employer plan on an equal basis with other governmental agencies that had less than 100 active and retired employees combined. The reason that CalPERS switched these governmental agencies into the cost sharing multiple-employer plan was to smooth the annual costs related to the pension benefit over a longer period of time resulting in a lower cost of service to the governmental agencies.

A portion of the District's annual required contributions to CalPERS are actuarially determined and shared by all governmental agencies within the cost sharing risk pool. Also, the District is required to make annual payments to pay-down the CalPERS Side-Fund, as well. The responsibility for paying-down the District's CalPERS Side-Fund is specific to the District and is not shared by all governmental agencies within the cost sharing risk pool. Therefore, the Side Fund falls under the definition of pension-related debt and recorded as liability on the District's financial statements.

Annual payments on the CalPERS Side-Fund represent principal and interest payments on the pension-related debt. Debt principal and interest expense is blended into the CalPERS pension benefit rate by individual class of District employee and repaid to CalPERS each payroll period throughout the fiscal year.

In the District's June 30, 2017 CalPERS Actuarial Valuation for its multi-agency cost-sharing pension plan, the CalPERS Chief Actuary provided the District with a "Fresh Start", in which, CalPERS combined the District's multiple-year amortization bases for the District's Miscellaneous Classic pension plan into a revised 20-year single-base amortization period. In doing so, CalPERS has combined the District's Pension Related Debt – CalPERS Side-Fund liability into the District's net pension liability for future amortization purposes. Therefore, the District has reclassed the District's Pension Related Debt – CalPERS Side-Fund of \$816,046 as of December 31, 2017 into the District's net pension liability for financial reporting purposes as the stand-alone liability has been combined by CalPERS.

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

#### A. General Information about the Pension Plan

#### The Plan

The District has engaged with CalPERS to administer the following pension plans for its employees (members):

	Miscellaneous Plans		
	Classic Tier 1	PEPRA Tier 2	
Hire date	Prior to January 1, 2013	On or after January 1, 2013	
Benefit formula	2.0% @ 55	2.0% @ 62	
Benefit vesting schedule	5-years of service	5-years of service	
Benefits payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	
Retirement age	50 - 67 & up	52 - 67 & up	
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	1.426% to 2.418%	1.0% to 2.5%	
Required member contribution rates	6.896%	6.250%	
Required employer contribution rates – FY 2018	8.921%	6.533%	
Required employer contribution rates – FY 2017	8.880%	6.555%	

## Plan Description, Benefits Provided and Employees Covered

The Plan is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The District contributes to the miscellaneous risk pool within the Plan. A full description of the pension plan benefit provisions, assumptions for funding purposes but not accounting purposes, and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2017 Annual Actuarial Valuation Report. This report is a publicly available valuation report that can be obtained at CalPERS website under Forms and Publications.

The California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA), which took effect in January 2013, changes the way CalPERS retirement benefits are applied, and places compensation limits on members. As a result of these changes since PEPRA's adoption in January 2013, the District now has two unique CalPERS plans to which it makes contributions within the miscellaneous risk pool: the "classic" plan, which includes covered employees who have established membership in a CalPERS plan prior to January 2013, as well as the "PEPRA/new" plan, which includes covered employees who have established membership in a CalPERS plan after January 2013. Each plan or membership contains unique benefits levels, which are enumerated in the June 30, 2017 Annual Actuarial Valuation Reports.

At June 30, 2018, the following members were covered by the benefit terms:

	Miscellaneou	is Plans	
Plan Members	Classic Tier 1	PEPRA Tier 2	Total
Active members	65	17	82
Transferred and terminated members	41	2	43
Retired members and beneficiaries	52		52
Total plan members	158	19	177

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

## A. General Information about the Pension Plan (continued)

## Plan Description, Benefits Provided and Employees Covered (continued)

At June 30, 2017, the following members were covered by the benefit terms:

	Miscellan		
	Classic	PEPRA	
Plan Members	Tier 1	Tier 2	Total
Active members	72	12	84
Transferred and terminated members	45	1	46
Retired members and beneficiaries	43	-	43
Total plan members	160	13	173

#### **Contribution Description**

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through the CalPERS annual actuarial valuation process. For public agency cost-sharing plans covered by either the Miscellaneous or Safety risk pools, the Plan's actuarially determined rate is based on the estimated amount necessary to pay the Plan's allocated share of the risk pool's costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, and any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

Contributions for the year ended December 31, 2018, (Measurement Date June 30, 2018) were as follows:

Contribution Type		Total		
Contributions – employer	\$	1,251,195		
Contributions – members		450,430		
Total contributions	\$	1,701,625		

Contributions for the year ended December 31, 2017, (Measurement Date June 30, 2017) were as follows:

Contribution Type	-	Total		
Contributions – employer	\$	1,026,759		
Contributions – members		369,633		
Total contributions	\$	1,396,392		

Employer contributions rates may change if plan contracts are amended. It is the responsibility of the employer to make necessary accounting adjustments to reflect the impact due to any Employer Paid Member Contributions or situations where members are paying a portion of the employer contribution.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense for the Plan were \$1,251,195 and \$1,026,759.

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

# **B.** Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

## Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability and Pension Expense

The following table shows the plan's proportionate share of the risk pool collective net pension liability over the measurement period:

Changes in the net pension liability for the year ended December 31, 2018, were as follows:

Plan Type and Balance Descriptions	Plan Total Plan Fiduciary <u>A Balance Descriptions</u> Pension Liability Net Position		Chai Per	nge in Plan Net Ision Liability	
CalPERS – Miscellaneous Plan:					
Balance as of June 30, 2017 (Measurement Date)	\$	39,818,738	\$ 29,737,077	\$	10,081,661
Balance as of June 30, 2018 (Measurement Date)	\$	42,065,728	\$ 32,256,270	\$	9,809,458
Change in Plan Net Pension Liability	\$	2,246,990	\$ 2,519,193	\$	(272,203)

Changes in the net pension liability for the year ended December 31, 2017, were as follows:

Plan Type and Balance Descriptions	Plan Total Pension Liability		Plan Fiduciary Net Position		Change in Plan Net Pension Liability	
CalPERS – Miscellaneous Plan:						
Balance as of June 30, 2016 (Measurement Date)	\$	35,580,180	\$	26,894,691	\$	8,685,489
Balance as of June 30, 2017 (Measurement Date)	\$	39,818,738	\$	29,737,077	\$	10,081,661
Change in Plan Net Pension Liability	\$	4,238,558	\$	2,842,386	\$	1,396,172

For the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 pension expense was \$969,297 and \$1,828,199, respectively.

The following is the approach established by the plan actuary to allocate the net pension liability and pension expense to the individual employers within the risk pool.

- (1) In determining a cost-sharing plan's proportionate share, total amounts of liabilities and assets are first calculated for the risk pool as a whole on the valuation dates (June 30, 2017 and 2016). The risk pool's fiduciary net position ("FNP") subtracted from its total pension liability (TPL) determines the net pension liability (NPL) at the valuation date.
- (2) Using standard actuarial roll forward methods, the risk pool TPL is then computed at the measurement date (June 30, 2018 and 2017). Risk pool FNP at the measurement date is then subtracted from this number to compute the NPL for the risk pool at the measurement date. For purposes of FNP in this step and any later reference thereto, the risk pool's FNP at the measurement date denotes the aggregate risk pool's FNP at June 30, 2018 and 2017 less the sum of all additional side fund (or unfunded liability) contributions made by all employers during the measurement period (FY 2017-2018 and FY 2016-2017).
- (3) The individual plan's TPL, FNP and NPL are also calculated at the valuation date.

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

# **B.** Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

## Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability and Pension Expense (continued)

- (4) Two ratios are created by dividing the plan's individual TPL and FNP as of the valuation date from(3) by the amounts in step (1), the risk pool's total TPL and FNP, respectively.
- (5) The plan's TPL as of the Measurement Date is equal to the risk pool TPL generated in (2) multiplied by the TPL ratio generated in (4). The plan's FNP as of the Measurement Date is equal to the FNP generated in (2) multiplied by the FNP ratio generated in (4) plus any additional side fund (or unfunded liability) contributions made by the employer on behalf of the plan during the measurement period.
- (6) The plan's NPL at the Measurement Date is the difference between the TPL and FNP calculated in (5).

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the District reported a net pension liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of the Plan of \$9,809,458 and \$10,081,661, respectively.

The District's net pension liability for the Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the total pension liability for the Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 rolled forward to December 31, 2017 and 2016 using standard update procedures. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined.

The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the June 30, 2018, measurement date was as follows:

	Percentage Sha		
	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Change
	Ending	Ending	Increase/
	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	(Decrease)
Measurement Date	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017	
Percentage of Risk Pool Net Pension Liability	0.26029%	0.25575%	0.00454%
Percentage of Plan (PERF C) Net Pension Liability	0.10180%	0.10166%	0.00014%

The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the June 30, 2017, measurement date was as follows:

a a	Percentage Sha	re of Risk Pool	
	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	Fiscal Year	Change
	Ending	Ending	Increase/
	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	(Decrease)
Measurement Date	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	
Percentage of Risk Pool Net Pension Liability	0.25575%	0.25002%	0.00573%
Percentage of Plan (PERF C) Net Pension Liability	0.10166%	0.10037%	0.00128%

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## **NOTE 9 – PENSION PLAN (continued)**

# B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

The total amount of \$700,625 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2018. At December 31, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Account Description	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Def	ferred (Inflows) of Resources
Pension contributions made after the measurement date	\$	700,625	\$	
Difference between actual and proportionate share of employer contributions	-			(183,684)
Adjustment due to differences in proportions		197,788		-
Differences between expected and actual experience		376,372		(128,077)
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		48,495		-
Changes in assumptions		1,118,307		(274,076)
Total Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources	\$	2,441,587	\$	(585,837)

The total amount of \$502,091 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date was recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2018. At December 31, 2017, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Account Description	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred (Inflows of Resources	
Pension contributions made after the measurement date	\$ 502,091		\$	· _
Difference between actual and proportionate share of employer contributions		-		(174,531)
Adjustment due to differences in proportions		284,344		-
Differences between expected and actual experience		13,391		(191,856)
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		375,774		-
Changes in assumptions		1,661,550	_	(126,695)
Total Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources	\$	2,837,150	\$	(493,082)

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

# B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

Other remaining amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions for the year ended December 31, 2018, will be amortized to pension expense in future periods as follows:

Amortization Period Fiscal Year Ended December 31	Outfle of	ows/(Inflows) Resources
2019	\$	987,521
2020 2021		(312,250)
2022		(88,230)
2023		
Total	\$	1,155,125

Other remaining amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions for the year ended December 31, 2017, will be amortized to pension expense in future periods as follows:

8 72	Amortization Period Fiscal Year Ended December 31	Outfl of	Deferred ows/(Inflows) Resources
	2018	\$	435,685
	2019		1,012,387
	2020		617,008
	2021		(223,103)
	2022		-
	Total	\$	1,841,977

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability

For the measurement period ending June 30, 2018 and 2017 (the measurement date), the total pension liability was determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2017 and 2016, total pension liability. The December 31, 2018 and 2017, total pension liability was based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Actuarial Cost Method	Entry age normal
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	7.15%
Inflation	2.75%
Salary Increases	Varies by Entry Age and Service
Mortality Rate Table	Derived using CalPERS' Membership Data
Post Retirement Benefit Increase	Contract COLA up to 2.75% until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, 2.75% thereafter

*Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017* 

## **NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)**

# **B.** Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for PERF B was 7.65%. A projection of expected benefit payments and contributions was performed to determine if the assets would run out. The test revealed the assets would not run out. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability for PERF B. The results of the crossover testing for the Plan are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained on CalPERS' website.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

			<b>Real Return</b>	<b>Real Return</b>
Investm	ent Type	Assumed Allocation	Years 1 - 10 <sup>1</sup>	Years 11+ <sup>2</sup>
Global Equity		50%	4.80%	5.98%
Global Fixed Income		28%	1.00%	2.62%
Inflation Assets	¥	0%	0.77%	1.81%
Private Equity		8%	6.30%	7.23%
Real Assets		13%	3.75%	4.93%
Liquidity		1%	0.00%	-0.92%
		100%		

 $^1$  An expected inflation rate-of-return of 2.5% is used for years 1-10.

<sup>2</sup> An expected inflation rate-of-return of 3.0% is used for years 11+.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 9 - PENSION PLAN (continued)

## B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions (continued)

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability/(asset) of the Plan as of the measurement date, calculated using the discount rate of 7.15%, as well as what the net pension liability/(asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower (6.15%) or 1 percentage-point higher (8.15%) than the current rate:

Changes in the discount rate for the year ended June 30, 2018, was as follows:

Plan's Net Pension Liability/(Asset)					
Disco	Discount Rate - 1% Current Discount 6.15% Rate 7.15%		Discount Rate + 1% 8.15%		
\$	15,499,739	\$	9,809,458	\$	5,112,225
	Disco \$	Plan's           Discount Rate - 1%           6.15%           \$ 15,499,739	Discount Rate - 1%         Curr           6.15%         R:           \$ 15,499,739         \$	Discount Rate - 1%         Current Discount           6.15%         Rate 7.15%           \$ 15,499,739         \$ 9,809,458	Discount Rate - 1%     Current Discount     Discount       6.15%     Rate 7.15%       \$ 15,499,739     \$ 9,809,458

Changes in the discount rate for the year ended June 30, 2017, was as follows:

		Plan's Net Pension Liability/(Asset)						
Plan Type	Discount Rate - 1% 6.15%		Current Discount Rate 7.15%		Discount Rate + 1% 8.15%			
CalPERS – Miscellaneous Plan	\$	15,558,604	\$	10,081,661	\$	5,545,557		

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial report and can be obtained from CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

## C. Payable to the Pension Plans

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the District reported no payables for outstanding contributions to the CalPERS pension plan required for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 10 - UNRESTRICTED (DEFICIT) NET POSITION

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the District has an unrestricted net position deficit of (\$11,049,387) and (\$9,941,467). Due to the nature of the deficit from the implementation of GASB Statements No. 68 & 75 in the past fiscal years, the District will continue to make its actuarial determined contributions to CalPERS and annually review its outstanding net pension and net OPEB obligations funding requirements for future periods to reduce its deficit position.

## **NOTE 11 - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT**

The District's beginning net position has been restated by \$2,315,048 for the implementation of GASB Statement No. 75 as follows:

Description	Balance	
Net position as of January 1, 2018 – as previously reported	\$ 94,917,603	
GASB Statement No. 75 restatement for:	2 245 040	
Net other post-employment benefits obligation	2,315,048	
Net position as of January 1, 2018 – as restated	\$ 97,232,651	

## NOTE 12 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District is a member of the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Authority (ACWA/JPIA), an intergovernmental risk sharing joint powers authority created to provide self-insurance programs for California water agencies. The purpose of the ACWA/JPIA is to arrange and administer programs of self-insured losses and to purchase the appropriate amount of insurance coverage. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the District participated in the self-insured liability, property, and worker's compensation insurance programs provided by ACWA/JPIA through AON Risk Insurance Services West, Inc. as follows:

## **General and Auto Liability**

Each member limits of \$60 million per occurrence for auto and general liability coverage. The program protects the member agencies against third-party claims for bodily injury and property damage. The following coverages are also included:

- Personal Injury
- Errors and Omissions
- Products Hazard
- Inverse Condemnation
- Employment Practices
- Broadened Pollution
- Failure to Supply Water
- Care, Custody, & Control

## Property

Each member Special Form Property Coverage including coverage for buildings, personal property, fixed equipment, mobile equipment, and licensed vehicles. Member agencies have various deductible selections. Boiler and Machinery Coverage is also included.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 12 – RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The following is an overview of the program:

- Real Property, Fixed Equipment, Personal Property at replacement cost
- Crime Coverage up to \$100,000 Public Employee Dishonesty and Computer Fraud
- Terrorism Coverage up to \$100 million per occurrence for property damage caused by an act declared to involve terrorism
- \$10 million Accounts Receivables for the amount of accounts uncollectible due to a covered loss
- \$100,000 Catastrophic coverage for vehicles

## Workers' Compensation

Each member is covered for bodily injury by accident, \$2 million each accident, or bodily injury by disease, \$2 million each employee, including death, of employee arising out of and in the course of employment.

In addition, the District since August 2014 continued a separate policy with underwriters at Landmark American Insurance Company for commercial earthquake/business income interruption insurance. This insurance was purchased to safeguard the District in case of a major earthquake until disaster relief funds are made available by state and federal agencies. This policy has provisions as follows:

- The loss limit is \$9,284,980 per occurrence and in the annual aggregate.
- Deductible is 5% of values per unit of insurance subject to \$25,000 minimum per occurrence.
- Coverage for 2029 East Avenue Q location is \$2.891 million building limit and \$393,120 contents, including \$6 million business income.

Settled claims have not exceeded any of the coverage amounts in any of the last three fiscal years and there were no reductions in the District's insurance coverage during the years ending December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016. Liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated net of the respective insurance coverage. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). There were no IBNR claims payable as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2017, and 2016.

## NOTE 13 – DEFERRED COMPENSATION SAVINGS PLAN

For the benefit of its employees, the District participates in a 457 Deferred Compensation Program. The purpose of this Program is to provide deferred compensation for public employees that elect to participate in the Program. Generally, eligible employees may defer receipt of a portion of their salary until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. Until the funds are paid or otherwise made available to the employee, the employee is not obligated to report the deferred salary for income tax purposes.

Federal law requires deferred compensation assets to be held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants. Accordingly, the District is in compliance with this legislation. Therefore, these assets are not the legal property of the District, and are not subject to claims of the District's general creditors.

The District has implemented GASB Statement No. 32, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans*. Since the District has little administrative involvement and does not perform the investing function for this plan, the assets and related liabilities are not shown on the accompanying financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 14 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### **State Water Contract**

Estimates of the District's share of the project fixed costs of the State Water Project (SWP) are provided annually by the State. The estimates are subject to future increases or decreases resulting from changes in planned facilities, refinements in cost estimates, and inflation. During the next five years, payments under the State Water Contract, exclusive of variable power costs, are currently estimated by the State to be as follows:

Fiscal Year	Amount	
2019	\$6,600,773	
2020	6,356,131	
2021	6,331,737	
2022	6,766,529	
2023	6,842,821	

As of December 31, 2018, the District has expended approximately \$114,100,340 since the District started participating in the State Water Contract.

According to the State's latest estimates, the District's long-term obligations under the contract, for capital and minimum operations and maintenance costs, including interest to the year 2035, are as follows:

Type of Long-Term Obligation	Amount
State Water Project Contract:	
Transportation facilities	\$83,865,201
Delta water charges	25,373,877
Off-aqueduct power facilities	78,348
Revenue bond surcharge	6,244,451
Total	\$115,561,877

## **Bay/Delta Regulatory and Planning Activities**

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) is the agency responsible for setting water quality standards and administering water rights throughout California. Decisions of the State Board can affect the availability of water to the District and other water users by means of public proceedings leading to regulations and decisions. In 1995, the State Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan establishing water quality standards and flow improvements in the Bay/Delta watershed. In August 2000, the California Federal (CALFED) Bay/Delta Program Record of Decision (the Decision) was approved with mandates to improve water quality, enhance water supply reliability, augment ecosystem restoration, and assure long-term protection for Delta levees. During its first three years, CALFED has invested more than \$2.0 billion in hundreds of local and regional projects to meet these program goals. In May 2004, a Delta Improvement Package was proposed to facilitate implementation of the Decision. Funding is expected to be provided by state and federal appropriations and contributions from local users, including the District. CALFED's objective is to allocate project costs based on beneficiaries pay policy, that is new costs commensurate with benefits received. At this time, the exact allocation of costs between the federal, state, and local users has not been determined, and therefore, the District cannot estimate the extent of timing of its contributions at this time.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2018 and 2017

## NOTE 14 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

#### **Construction Contracts**

The District has a variety of agreements with private parties relating to the installation, improvement, or modification of water facilities and distribution systems within its service area. The financing of such construction contracts is being provided primarily from the District's replacement reserves and advances for construction.

The District has committed to approximately \$10,100,562 to complete the open construction contracts as of December 31, 2018. These include the following:

Project Description	Cost of Project to Date	Estimated Costs to Complete	Total Expected Project Cost
Sediment removal – Littlerock Dam	\$3,026,034	\$1,002,699	\$ 4,028,733
Grade control structure – Littlerock Dam	1,726,769	7,774,039	9,500,808
Littlerock Creek Groundwater Recharge Project	3,636,800	230,600	3,867,400
Upper Armagosa Creek project	156,776	1,093,224	1,250,000
Total	\$ 8,546,379	\$ 10,100,562	\$ 18,646,941

#### **Other Litigation**

In the ordinary course of operations, the District is subject to claims and litigation from outside parties. Nevertheless, after consultation with legal counsel, the District believes that these actions, when finally concluded and determined are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the District's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

**Required Supplementary Information** 

## Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## Last Ten Fiscal Years\*

California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) Miscellane	ous Plan
---	----------

Measurement Date:	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015
District's proportion of the net pension liability	0.10180%	0.10166%	0.10037%	0.09802%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 9,809,458	\$ 10,081,661	\$ 8,685,489	\$ 6,727,907
District's covered-employee payroll	\$ 6,735,592	\$ 6,482,822	\$ 6,778,010	\$ 6,377,315
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	145.64%	155.51%	128.14%	105.50%
Plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the plan's total pension liability	75.26%	73.31%	74.06%	78.40%

\* This schedule is required to show information for ten years; however, until a full ten year trend is compiled, information is presented for those years for which information is available.

Schedule of Pension Contributions

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

#### Last Ten Fiscal Years\*

California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) Miscellaneous Plan

Contributions for the years ending	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Actuarially required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	1,251,195 (1,251,195)	1,026,759 (1,026,759)	\$ 914,747 (914,747)	\$ 819,205 (819,205)	\$ 805,370 (805,370)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	s -
District's Covered-Employee Payroll	6,735,592	6,482,822	\$ 6,589,909	\$ 6,497,710	\$ 5,907,552
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll	18.58%	15.84%	13.88%	12.610%	13.630%

\* This schedule is required to show information for ten years; however, until a full ten year trend is compiled, information is presented for those years for which information is available.

<sup>1</sup> Employers are assumed to make contributions equal to the actuarially determined contributions. However, some employers may choose to make additional contributions towards their side-fund or their unfunded liability. Employer contributions for such plan exceed the actuarial determined contributions. CalPERS has determined that employer obligations referred to as *side-funds* are not considered separately financed specific liabilities.

<sup>2</sup> Covered-Employee Payroll represented above is based on pensionable earnings provided by the employer. However, GASB No. 68 defines covered-employee payroll as the total payroll of employees that are provided pensions through the pension plan. Accordingly, if pensionable earnings are different than total earnings for covered-employees, the employer should display in the disclosure footnotes the payroll based on total earnings for the covered group and recalculate the required payroll-related ratios.

#### Notes to the Schedule:

Change in Benefit Terms: The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2013 as they have minimal cost impact. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes)

## Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

			2018
Total OPEB liability			
Service cost		\$	471,435
Interest			475,129
Assumptions changes			695,190
Benefit payments			(283,520)
Net change in total OPEB liability		2	1,358,234
Total OPEB liability - beginning			12,239,902
Total OPEB liability - ending		\$	13,598,136
Covered-employee payroll		\$	7,459,193
Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered	-		
employee payroll			182.30%

Last Ten Fiscal Years\*

#### Notes to Schedule:

\* This schedule is required to show information for ten years; however, until a full ten year trend is compiled, information is presented for those years for which information is available.

and so the

Schedule of OPEB Contributions

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## Last Ten Fiscal Years\*

Measurement Date:	2018		
Actuarially Determined Contribution Actual Employer Contribution	\$ 283,520 (283,520)		
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ -		
District's covered-employee payroll	\$ 7,459,193		
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	 3.80%		

\* This schedule is required to show information for ten years; however, until a full ten year trend is compiled, information is presented for those years for which information is available.

Notes to the Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

## **NOTE 1 – PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES**

## Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

This schedule is required by GASB Statement No. 68 and is required for all employers in a cost-sharing pension plan. The schedule reports the following information:

- The proportion (percentage) of the collective net pension liability (similar to the note disclosure)
- The proportionate share (amount) of the collective net pension liability
- The employer's covered-employee payroll
- The proportionate share (amount) of the collective net pension liability as a percentage of the employer's covered-employee payroll
- The pension plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability

## Schedule of Pension Contributions

This schedule is required by GASB Statement No. 68 and is required for all employers in a cost-sharing pension plan. The schedule reports the following information:

• If an employer's contributions to the plan are actuarially determined or based on statutory or contractual requirements: the employer's actuarially determined contribution to the pension plan (or, if applicable, its statutorily or contractually required contribution), the employer's actual contributions, the difference between the actual and actuarially determined contributions (or statutorily or contractually required), and a ratio of the actual contributions divided by covered-employee payroll.

## Schedule of Changes in the District's Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios

This schedule is required by GASB Statement No. 75 and is required for all employers in a cost-sharing OPEB plan. The schedule reports the following information:

- The employer's proportion (percentage) of the collective net OPEB liability
- The employer's proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability
- The employer's covered-employee payroll
- The employer's proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability as a percentage of the employer's covered-employee payroll
- The OPEB plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability.

## Schedule of OPEB Contributions

This schedule is required by GASB Statement No. 75 and is required for all employers in a cost-sharing OPEB plan. If the contribution requirements of the employer are statutorily or contractually established then the schedule reports the following information:

- The statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For purposes of this schedule, statutorily or contractually required contributions should exclude amounts, if any, associated with payables to the OPEB plan that arose in a prior fiscal year and those associated with separately financed specific liabilities of the individual employer to the OPEB plan.
- The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For purposes of this schedule, contributions should exclude amounts resulting from contributions recognized by the OPEB plan as noncurrent receivables.
- The difference between the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution and the amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution.
- The employer's covered-employee payroll.
- The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution as a percentage of the employer's covered-employee payroll.

Supplementary Information

Schedules of Debt Service Net Revenues Coverage For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

Total revenues:	2018	2017
Operating revenues	\$ 24,884,078	\$ 23,693,095
Non-operating revenues	8,483,651	7,971,368
Capital contributions – capital improvement fees and grants	154,613	1,132,074
Total revenues	33,522,342	32,796,537
Total expenses:		
Operating expenses before depreciation expense	24,435,835	23,053,505
Non-operating expenses	5,361,162	4,776,116
Less debt service items:		
Interest expense – long-term debt	(2,405,894)	(2,175,260)
Total non-operating expenses adjusted for debt service items	2,955,268	2,600,856
	27,391,103	25,654,361
Net revenues available for debt service	\$ 6,131,239	\$ 7,142,176
Debt service for the fiscal year	\$ 3,970,002	\$ 3,650,523
Debt service net revenues coverage ratio	154%	196%

Other Independent Auditors' Report



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Palmdale Water District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Palmdale Water District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2019.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Palmdale Water District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Palmdale Water District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Palmdale Water District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

56

Jeff Nigro, CPA. CFE | Elizabeth Nigro, CPA | Peter Glenn, CPA | Paul J. Kaymark, CPA | Michael Klein, CPA. CMA. EA

MURRIETA OFFICE 25220 Hancock Avenue, Suite 400, Murrieta, CA 92562 • P: (951) 698-8783 • F: (951) 699-1064 OAKLAND OFFICE 333 Hegenberger Road, Suite 388, Oakland, CA 94621 • P: (844) 557-3111 • F: (844) 557-3444

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Palmdale Water District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Nique & Nigra, PC

Murrieta, California June 27, 2019

## BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 17, 2019	July 22, 2019	
то:	Board of Directors	<b>Board Meeting</b>	
FROM:	Jennifer Emery, Human Resources Director		
VIA:	Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager		
RE: AGENDA ITEM NO. 7.4 – CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBL ON ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 19-11 BEING A RE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE PALMDAN DISTRICT DESIGNATING THE SUBRECIPIENT'S AGENT HAZARD MITIGATION GRANT PROGRAM AND PRE MITIGATION PROGRAM. (HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECT NO BUDGET IMPACT)			

## **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Board approve Resolution No. 19-11 for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.

## **Alternative Options:**

The alternative is to either not have a Hazard Mitigation Program or to pay for the program without applying for a grant.

## **Impact of Taking No Action:**

The impact of not signing the Resolution is that the District will not be eligible for grant funds to update our mitigation plan. Without an approved mitigation plan, the District would be unable to apply for mitigation grants which come available from time to time as funding is made available by FEMA.

## **Background:**

The District had a Hazard Mitigation Plan approved in 2010, but this plan has expired. Hazard Mitigation Plans expire every five years. The District would utilize the grant money to hire a consultant to assist with the process. The District is required to contribute 25% matching funds which can consist of staff labor.

## Strategic Plan Initiative/Mission Statement:

This work is part of Strategic Plan Initiative No. 2 – Organizational Excellence. This item directly relates to the District's Mission Statement.

## **Budget:**

Any awarded grant and matching requirements would fall within the 2020 budget.

## Supporting Documents:

• Resolution No. 19-11 for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program

-	Resolut	ion No. 19-11	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OF CAL OES 130	FICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES	Cal OES 1	D No:
D) Hazard	ESIGNATION OF SUBREC	CIPIENT'S AGENT R n and Pre-Disaster M	ESOLUTION litigation Program
20	10 C		
BE IT RESOLVED BY TH	E Board of Directors	OF THE Palmdale W	later District
	(Governing Body)	(	Name of Applicant)
THAT	Human Resources Dire	ector	_, OR
	(Title of Authorized A	gent)	
	CFO/Finance Manager	8	_, OR
	(Title of Authorized A	gent)	
·	Assistant General Man	ager	-
	(Title of Authorized A	gent)	
is hereby authorized to execut	e for and on behalf of the Palmdale	Water District	, a public entity
established under the laws of for the purpose of obtaining c and Emergency Assistance Ac	the State of California, this application ertain federal financial assistance und et of 1988, and/or state financial assist	n and to file it with the Califor er Public Law 93-288 as amen ance under the California Disa	nia Governor's Office of Emergency Service. ded by the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief aster Assistance Act.
THAT the Palmdale Wate	er District	, a public entity establishe	d under the laws of the State of California,
(N	ame of Subrecipient)		
hereby authorizes its agent(s) disaster assistance the assuran	to provide to the California Governor ces and agreements required.	's Office of Emergency Servic	e for all matters pertaining to such state
Please check the appropriate	box below:		
This is a universal resolution	n and is effective for all open and futu	res Disasters/Grants up to thre	e (3) years following the date of approval
below.			
✔ This is a Disaster/Grant spec	ific resolution and is effective for onl	y Disaster/Grant name/numbe	r(s) DR-4077
3			
Passed and approved this	22 <sub>day of</sub> July	,20 19	
11 -			
	Vincent Dino, I	President, Boar	d of Directors
	(Name and Title of G	overning Body Representative)	
	Kathy Mac Larer	h, Vice Preside	nt, Board of Directors
÷.	(Name and Title of G	overning Body Representative)	
	Don Wilson, Sec	cretary, Board	of Directors
	(Name and Title of G	overning Body Representative)	
	CERI	TIFICATION	
I, Don Wilson	, duly appc	ninted and <u>Board Se</u>	cretaryof
Palmdale W (Name of A	<u>ater District</u> , do her .pplicant)	reby certify that the above is	s a true and correct copy of a
Resolution passed and app	roved by the <u>Board of Di</u> (Governing Boo	irectorsofthe Pal	<u>mdale Water Dis</u> trict (Name of Applicant)
on the 22nd	_day of, 20, 20,	<u>1</u> .9	
		Secreta	ry, Board of Directors
(	Signature)	3	(Title)

Cal OES 130 (Rev.03/278/17)



## **Event Name/Date:**

Women in Water Inland Empire Breakfast/July 24, 2019

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

First Name
------------

Last Name

Date

## ACCOMMODATION INFORMATION

Rooms and rates are subject to availability. Complete and submit this form as soon as possible to guarantee a room at the host hotel. In the event that the host hotel is booked, every effort will be made to secure a room at the closet hotel within comparable rates to the event discounted rate.

Arrival Date	Departure Date	No. of guests	Room Type
Do you require a sm	loking room?		
O Yes O No			
<b>Do you need tran</b> O Yes O No Flight Number	<b>sportation from the</b> Time	e airport to the hot	el?
	FORMATION/REQU	ESTS	Staff Representative

## YOU ARE INVITED TO

# WOMEN IN WATER

## INLAND EMPIRE

Growth

## BREAKFAST

## JULY 24.2019

8 A.M. FRONTIER PROJECT 10435 ASHFORD STREET RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

Join us as Acquanetta Warren, Mayor of the City of Fontana, shares her story about her journey from colleague to dignitary.

## Please RSVP to Cindy Cisneros at cindyc@cvwdwater.com

Women in Water-IE is a dynamic collaboration of professional women dedicated to the stewardship of water within our Inland Empire communities through leadership, growth and innovation.



# Hotel and Travel Accommodations

## **Event Name/Date:**

34th Annual WateReuse Symposium/September 8 - 11, 2019

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

First Name

Last Name

Date

## **ACCOMMODATION INFORMATION**

Rooms and rates are subject to availability. Complete and submit this form as soon as possible to guarantee a room at the host hotel. In the event that the host hotel is booked, every effort will be made to secure a room at the closet hotel within comparable rates to the event discounted rate.

Arrival Date	Departure Date	No. of guests	Room Type
Do you require a	smoking room?		
Do you need tr	<b>ansportation from the</b>	airport to the hot	el?
Flight Number	Time		
ADDITIONAL	INFORMATION/REQUI	ESTS	Staff Representative

# 34th Annual WateReuse Symposium

When: September 8, 2019 @ 8:00 am – September 11, 2019 @ 12:00 pm Where: Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina, San Diego



The <u>34th Annual WateReuse Symposium</u> is your one stop for all things water reuse. Whether you are new to reuse or an experienced veteran, a robust collection of concurrent sessions covering key policy, technology, operational, and research issues allows you to customize a unique Symposium experience. Plenary sessions explore the broader policy and planning issues that will shape the future.

This year's theme is "Collaborate to Innovate." We will showcase recycled water collaborations among utilities, farmers, and industry; regulators working together at both the state and federal level; sustainability; public/private partnerships; and, for the first time, the latest in reuse research led by the <u>Water Research Foundation</u>. The Annual WateReuse Symposium is the nation's premier conference on water recycling – attracting water professionals from around the nation for knowledge-sharing, networking, and fun!



## **Peter Annin Keynote: From Water Diversion to Water Reuse: Tackling Scarcity in the 21st Century**

Join us on Monday, September 9 at 8 a.m. for the Opening General Session featuring a keynote presentation by Peter Annin. Peter is the director of the Mary Griggs Burke Center for Freshwater Innovation and the author of *The Great Lakes Water Wars*, the definitive work on the Great Lakes water diversion controversy. Before coming to Northland College in 2015, Peter served as a reporter at Newsweek, the associate director of the Institute for Journalism and Natural Resources, and the managing director of the University of Notre Dame's Environmental Change Initiative.



## **National Water Reuse Action Plan Rollout**

EPA is coordinating with the water sector and other federal agencies to facilitate the development of a <u>National Water Reuse Action Plan</u> to ensure the effective use of the nation's water. A draft plan will be released for public review during the 34<sup>th</sup> Annual WateReuse Symposium. EPA Assistant Administrator for the Office of Water, Dave Ross, will join us during Tuesday's luncheon to discuss.

# Registration

Register for the 34th Annual WateReuse Symposium by **June 21, 2019** to take advantage of the Early Bird Registration discounts. Online registration closes August 23, 2019. If you have registration questions, please contact <u>Alicia Rutherford</u>.

## **Registration Rates**

	Early Bird	Regular						
	Ends June 21	Ends August	23 Onsite					
Utility/Regulatory Agency/Nonprofit								
Member (requires login)	\$700	\$775	\$870					
Non-Member	\$775	\$850	\$945					
Speaker	\$575	\$650	\$745					
One Day Only	\$450	\$450	\$545					
Business								
Member (requires login)	\$825	\$900	\$995					
Non-Member	\$925	\$1,000	\$1,095					
Speaker	\$700	\$775	\$870					
One Day Only	\$500	\$500	\$595					
Students	s (student ID r	equired)						
Full Conference	\$150	\$200	\$250					
One Day Only	\$85	\$85	\$100					

## **Member Discounts**

Login to the WateReuse website is required for member discounts. Member discounts are available to all employees of member organizations. To check to see if your agency or company is a member, <u>click here</u>. If you don't have a username and password, you may <u>create an</u> <u>account</u>. For questions about <u>membership</u> or for login assistance, please email <u>membership@watereuse.org</u>.

## Pay by Check

To pay by check or submit a purchase order, please complete the <u>online registration form</u> and mail payment to WateReuse Association, 1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900, Alexandria, VA 22314. Payment must be received by August 23, 2019.

## **Cancellation and Transfer Policy**

All cancellation and transfer requests must be submitted in writing by August 23, 2019. A \$75 administrative fee will be deducted from refunds on cancellations. There is no fee to transfer a registration prior to August 23, 2019. Refunds are not given for no-shows. E-mail cancellation or substitution requests to <u>Alicia Rutherford</u>.

# **Hotel and Travel**

The 34th Annual WateReuse Symposium will be held at the <u>Marriot Marquis San Diego</u> <u>Marina</u>, situated on the waterfront and within walking distance to the best of downtown including City Walk, the Gaslamp Quarter, Seaport Village and Petco Park. Note: Hotel rooms at the Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina have **sold out** within our discounted room block. We have acquired discounted room blocks in the following hotels:

**Embassy Suites by Hilton San Diego Bay Downtown** 

601 Pacific Highway | San Diego, CA 92101 1-800-362-2779 (mention WateReuse Association block) **Distance:** 0.4 mile **Discounted Room Rate:** \$302 per night plus tax (September 8, 9, 10) **Deadline:** August 17, 2019

Book Embassy Suites

Pendry San Diego
550 J Street | San Diego, CA 29101
1-619-738-7000 (mention WateReuse Association)
Distance: 0.3 mile
Discounted Room Rate: \$309 per night plus tax (September 8, 9, 10)
Deadline: August 9, 2019

## Book the Pendry

## Hotel Indigo San Diego Gaslamp Quarter

509 9th Avenue | San Diego, CA
1-866-384-3015 (reserve with code WRU)
Distance: 0.6 mile
Discounted Room Rate: \$299 per night plus tax (September 8, 9, 10)
Deadline: August 9, 2019

## Book Hotel Indigo

Please check back for updates on the discounted room blocks. You may contact <u>Alicia</u> <u>Rutherford</u> with questions.

The following area hotels may also have room availability:

## **The Sophia Hotel**

150 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 800-826-0009

## Hard Rock Hotel San Diego

207 Fifth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 866-751-7625

## Hotel del Coronado, Curio Collection by Hilton

1500 Orange Avenue Coronado, CA 92118 800-468-3533

## **Kimpton Solamar Hotel**

435 Sixth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 877-230-0300

## The Guild Hotel, San Diego, a Tribute Portfolio Hotel

500 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 619-795-6000

# **Symposium Schedule**

The 34th Annual WateReuse Symposium is your one stop for all things water reuse. Whether you are new to reuse or an experienced veteran, a robust collection of concurrent sessions covering key policy, technology, operational, and research issues allows you to customize a unique Symposium experience. Plenary sessions explore the broader policy and planning issues that will shape the future. Arrive early to participate in tours of innovative water recycling facilities and make plans to network at evening events.

# **Facility Tours**

Begin your experience at the 34th Annual WateReuse Symposium with tours of some of the most innovative projects in southern California. Tours depart from the hotel lobby. Space is limited so <u>register today</u>.

## **Carlsbad Desalination Plant**

Sunday, September 8 | 9 am – 1 pm Fee: \$50 (Includes Lunch)



For more than 50 years, large-scale seawater desalination was just a dream in San Diego County. Today, the region is the hub of the nation's growing desalination industry and home to the nation's largest seawater desalination project. The <u>Claude "Bud" Lewis Carlsbad Desalination</u> <u>Plant</u>, which began operating in 2015, is the result of a public/private partnership between Poseidon Water and San Diego County Water Authority.

The Carlsbad plant uses reverse osmosis membrane technology to produce enough water to meet approximately 10 percent of the region's water needs as a core supply of water regardless of weather conditions. You will have the opportunity to observe the state of the art process of turning water from the Pacific Ocean into high quality drinking water that is now serving nearly a half a million San Diegans.
## Pure Water San Diego and Padre Dam Municipal Water District

Sunday, September 8 | 9 am – 5:15 pm **Fee:** \$75 (includes lunch)

**Pure Water San Diego** is the City of San Diego's phased, multi-year program that will provide one-third of San Diego's water supply locally by 2035. Phase 1 includes several projects that will clean recycled water to produce 30 million gallons per day of high-quality purified water starting in 2023, reducing the City of San Diego's dependence on imported water. The city conducted a demonstration project (2009-2013) that confirmed the purified water meets all federal and state drinking water standards. By 2035, San Diego will produce 83 million gallons of purified water every day.



Reverse osmosis units at Pure Water San Diego.

**Padre Dam Municipal Water District** has been a leader in water recycling for more than 50 years. The **Ray Stoyer Water Recycling Facility** was expanded to 2 million gallons per day to provide water for Santee Lakes and for non-potable reuse in portions of the community. The recycled water meets Title 22 standards and is approved for full body contact recreation and accidental ingestion.

The <u>Advance Water Purification</u> demonstration facility is right next door. The program will create a new, local, sustainable and drought proof drinking water supply using state-of-the-art technology to purify recycled water. The purified water produced at the demonstration facility is tested daily to ensure it meets the public health objectives.

## **Program Overview**

## Sunday, September 8

## **Facility Tours**

- **Carlsbad Desalination Plant Tour**, 9 am 1 pm (\$50)
- <u>San Diego Pure Water and Padre Dam Municipal Water District Tours</u>, 9 am 5:15 pm (\$75)

## Sunday, Session 1 (1:30 pm – 3:00 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- WateReuse Bootcamp, Part 1
- Certifications, Small Systems, and Planning | Track 1: Operator Tips
- Creative Management | Track 2: Compounds of Emerging Concern
- UV AOP | Track 3: Techniques and Technologies for Meeting Potable Reuse Challenges

## Networking Break (3:00 – 3:30 pm)

## Sponsored by <u>Jacobs</u>

Sunday, Session 2 (3:30 pm – 5:00 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- WateReuse Bootcamp, Part 2
- Reverse Osmosis and Concentrate Management | Track 1: Operator Tips
- Resolving Challenges | Track 2: Compounds of Emerging Concern
- Three Challenges Understood | Track 3: Techniques and Technologies for Meeting Potable Reuse Challenges

## Welcome Reception (5:30 pm – 7:00 pm)

• Sponsored by <u>Eastern Municipal Water District</u>, <u>San Diego County Water</u> <u>Authority</u>, <u>Irvine Ranch Water District</u>, <u>Valley Water</u>, <u>Rowland Water District</u>

## Monday, September 9

Networking Breakfast (7:00 am - 8:00 am)

• Sponsored by <u>HDR</u>

## **Opening General Session (8:00 am – 10:00 am)**

Sponsored by Alexandria Renew, Clean Water Services, El Paso Water, and JEA

- Welcome Remarks: Paul Jones, WateReuse President and the Honorable Kevin Faulconer, San Diego Mayor
- **Keynote Presentation:** Peter Annin, From Water Diversion to Water Reuse: Tackling Scarcity in the 21st Century
- **Panel Discussion:** Making the Pitch: How to Talk to Elected Officials and Potential Industry Customers about the Value of Water Recycling
  - o Paul Jones (Moderator), General Manager, Eastern Municipal Water District
  - Gloria Gray, Chairwoman, Board of Directors, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and Vice President, Board of Directors, West Basin Municipal Water District
  - Ted Henifin, General Manager, Hampton Roads Sanitation District
  - Dr. Kristina Mena, Associate Professor, The University of Texas Health Science Center
  - o Roy Rogers, Commissioner, Washington County

Networking Break (10:00 am - 10:30 am)

Sponsored by <u>Hazen and Sawyer</u>

## Monday, Session 1 (10:30 am – 12:00 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- In 2050: Technology, Regulations, and Utility Planning for the Future | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Growing Reuse in Agriculture | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Path to Pure Water San Diego: California's First Surface Water Augmentation Project | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Research to Ensure Sound Regulations: CA Water Board Partners with Water Research Foundation | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- EPA Federal Funding and Program Management | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Reuse Considerations in Asia, Australia, and Africa | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Congressional Engagement Can Lead to Success | Track 7: Essential Considerations

## Monday Luncheon (12:00 pm – 1:30 pm)

Sponsored by **Black & Veatch** 

• Annual Awards for Excellence Presentation

• WateReuse Association Business Meeting

## Monday, Session 2 (1:45 pm – 3:15 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- Innovative Campus-Scale Solutions for Watershed Health| Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Management of Produced Water from Oil and Gas Exploration: Regulatory and Technology Overview Informed by Successes in the Field | **Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes**
- Effective Technologies for Potable Reuse | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Prions, Antibiotic Resistance, and Viruses New Age Challenges | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- Water Reuse in the Pacific Northwest: Collaborating to Solve Complex Water Resource Challenges | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- A Medley of Communications Case Studies | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Diversifying Supply | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- Irrigation Association | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## Networking Break (3:15 pm - 3:45 pm)

## Sponsored by **Trojan UV**

## Monday, Session 3 (3:45 pm – 5:15 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- Developing Effective Policies to Advance Reuse | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Expanding Applications for Reuse in Industry | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Cost Savings Through Innovation | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Microbial Contaminants Reducing the Risk| Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- Meeting Compliance Goals | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Informed Messaging for Effectively Reaching the Public | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Diversifying Supplies Three Applications | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- Water Tech Alliance | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## Baseball Game at Petco Park (6:30 pm)

- Padres vs Cubs Baseball Box Suite Experience at Petco Park (\$99)
- Sponsored by <u>Stantec</u>

## Tuesday, September 10

## Plenary Breakfast (7:45 am – 8:45 am)

• Water Association CEO Panel

## Tuesday, Session 1 (9:00 am – 10:00 am)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- Imported Water and Wine | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Maximizing Commercial Value through Reuse | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Bioanalytical Screening | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Treatment Technologies | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- Macro-economic Considerations in Reuse Projects | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Proper Planning | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Capturing the Rain in Minnesota | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- San Diego's Promise | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## Networking Break (10:00 am - 10:30 am)

## Sponsored by <u>Suez</u>

Tuesday, Session 2 (10:30 am – 12:00 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- Establishing Consistent Management Approaches for Water Reuse Across the U.S. | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Data Centers Rely on Water Reuse | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Monitoring Water Quality | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Alternative Treatments for CECs | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- The Secrets of Developing State DPR Regulatory Frameworks | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Marketing Knowledge to Inform Reuse Decision Making | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Innovation in Potable Reuse | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- Orange County Findings | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## Tuesday Luncheon (12:15 pm – 1:30 pm)

- National Water Reuse Action Plan: Dave Ross, EPA Assistant Administrator for Water (Invited)
- Panel Water Subcabinet
- Sponsored by <u>Carollo</u>

## Tuesday, Session 3 (1:45 pm – 3:15 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- LAGWRP: Addressing LA's Water Needs Through Technology and Partnerships | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Industrial Water Reuse | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Creative Strategies to Meet Today's Challenges | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Microplastics | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- Project Financing Options for On-Site Systems | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Parks and Recreation | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Groundwater | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- Nutrients, Salinity, and Other Considerations | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## Networking Break (3:15 pm - 3:45 pm)

Sponsored by Kennedy Jenks

Tuesday, Session 4 (3:45 pm – 5:15 pm)

## **Concurrent Sessions**

- Water Reuse in Areas with Plenty of Water | Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse
- Regional Solutions When Resources are Scarce | Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes
- Innovative Approaches for Monitoring Pathogen Removal in RO Membranes | Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies
- Increasing Innovation in Water Reuse with the Water Research Foundation's LIFT Program | Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research
- Federal Funding Programs | Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse
- Making Business "Cents" through Unique Collaborations to Improve Existing Onsite Reuse Systems | Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse
- Membrane Considerations | Track 7: Essential Considerations
- Continued Innovation in Reuse | Track 8: A Little More About Southern California

## **Gender Diversity in Water Reuse Panel** (5:15 pm – 6:15 pm) Sponsored by <u>Xylem</u>

- Cindy Wallis-Lage, President, Black & Veatch's Global Water Business
- Gilbert Trejo, Chief Technical Officer, El Paso Water
- Pranjali Kumar, Environmental Engineer, Carollo

<u>Maritime Museum of San Diego: Discovery, Dinner, Music and Fun!</u> (6:30 pm – 9:00 pm) Sponsored by <u>Xylem</u>

## Wednesday, September 11

# Breakfast Plenary: Current Status and Visions for the future of Water Reuse Research (8:00 am – 9:00 am)

## Water Reuse: Sustaining the New Economy in Nevada's High Desert (9:00 am - 10:30 am)

The Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center (TRIC) is home to Tesla's Gigafactory which, when completed, is expected to be the planet's largest building. The industrial center will also be home to nearly 100 other companies, including Panasonic, and Switch and Google data centers – and over 20,000 new jobs for the region. Learn how public agencies and businesses collaborated to navigate water rights and downstream issues to develop a deal to bring recycled water to the middle of the desert. The Truckee Meadow Water Authority will deliver 4,000 acre-feet per year of recycled water through a 13-mile pipeline, essentially providing the lifeline for this industrial complex. Without the recycled water, TRIC would likely not be feasible – or sustainable over the long-term.

- **Pat Mulroy**, Senior Fellow for Climate Adaptation and Environmental Policy, University of Nevada and Former General Manager at Southern Nevada Water Authority
- John Enloe, P.E., Director, Natural Resources, Truckee Meadows Water Authority
- Adam Kramer, Executive Vice President of Strategy, Switch
- Michael Drinkwater, Treatment Plant Manager, Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility

# State of the States: Highlights and Insights from the State Regulatory Summit (10:30 am – 11:45 am)

## **Closing Remarks**

(11:45 am – 12:00 pm)

\*The schedule is subject to change.

#### WateReuse Annual Symposium Detailed Schedule

#### Sunday, September 8, 2019

9:00am – 1:00pm Carlsbad Desalination Plant Tour

9:00am - 5:15pm San Diego Pure Water and Padre Dam Municipal Water District Tours

#### 1:30pm – 3:00pm

Water Reuse	Track 1: Operator Tips	Track 2: Compounds of Emerging	Track 3: Techniques and
Bootcamp		Concern	Technologies for Meeting Potable Reuse Challenges
Moderator	Certifications, Small Systems	Creative Management	UV AOP
Don Vandertulip,	and Planning	Moderator	Moderator
Texas Commission on	Moderator	Larry Schimmoller, Jacobs	Adam Fetzger, Trojan UV
Environmental	Christina Alito, HDR		
Quality			
Evolution of Water	Addressing Potable Reuse	Mitigating Widespread, Bio-active	UV Dose Monitoring for Potable
Reuse Regulations	Operator Certification Needs –	Pesticides in an Indirect Potable Reuse	Reuse
from Ag to Potable	The CA/NV AWTO Certification	Model	Harold Wright, Carollo Engineers
Jim Crook,	Program	Michael Watts, Garver	
Environmental	Erin Mackey, Brown and		
Consultant	Caldwell		
Agriculture Water	Water Quality Challenges	An In-Depth Evaluation of the Role of	WRD GRIP UV/Cl2 AOP for Indirect
Reuse Expanded to	During Start-up of a Greenfield	Contaminants of Emerging Concern in	Potable Reuse
Non-Potable Reuse	Desalination Plant	Aquifer Recharge Projects Using	Tom Knoell, Water Replenishment
Bahman Sheikh,	Chandra Mysore, Jacobs	Reclaimed Water in A Wet Temperate	District of Southern California
Bahman Sheikh		Climate	Jamal Awad, GHD
Water Reuse		Jeff Hansen, HDR Engineering, Inc	
Consulting	Operations Plan for a Surface	Sorption of Short-chain poly- and	Modular Advanced Oxidation
	Water Augmentation Reservoir	Perfluoroalkyl Substances in	Processes Enabled by Cathodic
	Seval Sen, Padre Dam	Wastewater Effluent	Hydrogen Peroxide Production
	Municipal Water	Kyle Thompson, Southern Nevada	James Barazesh, Carrollo Engineers
	Brian Olney, Helix Water	Water	
	District		

3:00pm – 3:30pm Networking Break Sponsored by Jacobs

#### 3:30pm – 5:00pm

Water Reuse	Track 1: Operator Tips	Track 2: Compounds of Emerging	Track 3: Techniques and
Bootcamp		Concern	Technologies for Meeting Potable
			Reuse Challenges
Moderator	Reverse Osmosis and	Resolving Challenges	3 Challenges Understood
Don Vandertulip,	Concentrate Management	Moderator	Moderator
Texas Commission on	Moderator	Ufuk Erdal, Arcadis	Michael Watts, Garver
Environmental Quality	Paul Chou, Kennedy Jenks		
Indirect and Potable	A Novel Approach to RO	Evaluating the Quality of Recycled	Understanding Your Options for
Reuse	Concentrate Reduction	Wastewater in a Desert Community	Brine Management: Treatment
Don Vandertulip,	Nishiki Yoshinori, De Nora	Daniel Quintanar, City of Tucson Water	Technologies and Cost-Benefit
Texas Commission on	Corporation		Analysis
Environmental Quality	Jason Assouline, Carollo		Alan Bracewell, Kennedy Jenks
	Engineers, Inc.		
Water Reuse Planning	Adventures in Automated	CEC Removal by Ozone-BAC Treatment:	Defying Day Zero – Using the HACCP
Consideration	Conductivity Profiling	Full-Scale Results from Five Potable	to Guide Early Design Phase for
Alan Rimer,	James Vickers, Separation	Reuse Plants	Potable Reuse in Cape Town
EnviroTechNovations	Processes, Inc.	Larry Schimmoller, Jacobs	Troy Walker, Hazen and Sawyer
			Brendon Theunissen, Aurecon
Communicating with	The New Norm? Using Pilot		A Performance Review of HRSD's 1
the Public	Data to Verify Ocean Outfall		MGD SWIFT Research Center
Patricia Tennyson,	Permit Compliance for 100%		Tyler Nading, Jacobs
Katz & Associates	RO Concentrate		
	Kristel Baumgardner-Kranz,		
	Carollo Engineers		

#### 5:30pm – 7:00pm Welcome Reception

Sponsored by Eastern Municipal Water District, San Diego County Water Authority, Irvine Ranch Water District, LA County Sanitation District, Valley Water, Rowland Water District

#### Monday, September 9

#### 7:00am – 8:00am Networking Breakfast Sponsored by HDR

#### 8:00am – 10:00am Opening General Session

#### Sponsored by Clean Water Services, El Paso Water, Alexandria Renew and JEA

Welcome Remarks: Paul Jones, WateReuse President and the Honorable Kevin Faulconer, San Diego Mayor

Keynote Presentation: Peter Annin, From Water Diversion to Water Reuse: Tackling Scarcity in the 21st Century

Panel Discussion: Making the Pitch: How to Speak With Elected Officials and Potential Industry Customers about the Value of Water Recycling

Moderator, Paul Jones, General Manager, Eastern Municipal Water District

Gloria Gray, Chairwoman, Board of Directors, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and Vice President, Board of Directors, West Basin Municipal Water District

Ted Henifin, General Manager, Hampton Roads Sanitation District

Dr. Kristina Mena, Associate Professor, The University of Texas Health Science Center

Roy Rogers, Commissioner, Washington County

#### 10:00am – 10:30am Networking Break Sponsor by Hazen and Sawyer

#### 10:30am – 12:00pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Considerations
In 2050: Technology, Regulations, and Utility Planning for the Future Moderator <i>Christina Alito,</i> <i>HDR</i>	Growing Reuse in Agriculture Moderator Marilyn Hall, <i>Athens-Clarke</i> <i>County Public</i> <i>Utilities</i>	Path to Pure Water San Diego: California's First Surface Water Augmentation Project Moderator Jeff Pasek, City of San Diego	Research to Ensure Sound Regulations: CA Water Board Partners with Water Research Foundation Moderator Julie Minton, Water Research Foundation	EPA Federal Funding and Program Management Moderator Jim Gebhardt, US EPA	Reuse Considerations in Asia, Australia, and Africa Moderator <i>Hossein Ashktorab,</i> <i>Valley Water</i>	Congressional Engagement Can Lead to Success Moderator <i>Greg Fogel,</i> <i>WateReuse</i> <i>Association</i>
Suez Anne Arundel County, MD	Evaluating Economic and Environmental Benefits of Water Reuse for Agriculture Anne Thebo, Pacific Institute Empirical Method for Determining Reuse Irrigation Overflow Contributions to Nutrient Impaired Waters Joan Oppenheimer, Stantec Low Hanging Fruit: An Agricultural Water Reuse Case Study in Cedar City, UT Cory Dow, Carollo Engineers, Inc.	Juan Guerreiro, City of San Diego Public Utilities Department Doug Owen, Stantec Shane Trussell, Trussell Technologies, Inc.	Jim Crook, Environmental Consultant Adam Olivieri, VP EOA, inc Brian Pecson, Trussell Technologies		Critical Communications: Its role in Singapore Potable Reuse Strategy <i>Cecilia Tortajada,</i> <i>Lee Kuan Yew School</i> <i>of Public Policy,</i> <i>National University</i> <i>of Singapore</i> One Water: Singapore and California – a Practitioner's Perspective <i>Melanie Tan,</i> <i>Kennedy Jenks</i> Decentralized Water Reuse: The Answer to South Africa's Water Challenges <i>Herman Smit, QFS</i> Monitoring <i>Cryptosporidium &amp;</i> <i>Giardia</i> in Municipal Sewage-Approach & Procedure <i>Jerry Ongerth,</i> <i>University of</i> <i>Wollongong,</i> <i>Australia</i>	

#### 12:00pm – 1:30pm WateReuse Awards for Excellence Luncheon

#### Sponsored by Black & Veatch

Annual Awards for Excellence Presentation

WateReuse Association Business Meeting

#### 1:45pm – 3:15pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Consideration s	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
Innovative Campus-Scale Solutions for Watershed Health Moderator Molly Freed, Living Building Challenge	Management of Produced Water from Oil and Gas Exploration: Regulatory and Technology Overview informed by Successes in the Field Moderator Leonard Levine, Gulf Coast Authority	Effective Technologies for Potable Reuse Moderator Jason Dadakis, Orange County Water District	Prions, Antibiotic Resistance, and Viruses – New Age Challenges Moderator Kati Bell, Brown and Caldwell	Water Reuse in the Pacific Northwest: Collaborating to Solve Complex Water Resource Challenges Moderator <i>Eric Rosenblum,</i> <i>Water</i> <i>Resources</i> <i>Consultant</i>	A Medley of Communications Case Studies Moderator Alan Rimer, EnviroTechNovati ons	Diversifying Supply Moderator Name	Irrigation Association
Crystal Grinnell, Biohabitats Ghris Gorri, Chesapeake Bay Foundation Skip Backus, Omega Institute	Shellie Chard, Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality Lnsp Nagghappan, Veolia Dan Muller, Environmental Defense Fund Rick McCurdy	Central Coast Blue Demonstration Facility: Innovative Potable Reuse Technologies for Pismo Beach Andrew Salveson, Carollo Engineers	The Status of Virus Detection Methods for Water Reuse Applications <i>Krista</i> <i>Wigginton,</i> <i>University of</i> <i>Michigan</i>	Jacque Klug King County Eric Rosenblum, Water Resources Consultant	GWIC @ Gwinnett - It Takes a Village Melissa Meeker, Gwinnett County DWR	Leveraging Industry Experience to Anticipate Trade-offs of Alternative Water Supplies Wendy Broley, Brown and Caldwell	
		Pathogen Removal Validation for a Potable Reuse System with Closed Circuit Reverse Osmosis <i>Eileen Idica,</i> <i>Trussell</i> <i>Technologies,</i> <i>Inc</i>	An Introduction to Prions, Status of Prion Research and Relevance to Potable Reuse <i>Greta Zornes,</i> <i>Jacobs</i>		Making the Unknown Known: Strategies for Water Reuse Education, Engagement and Action Dennis Nelson, Project WET Foundation	The Florida Potable Reuse Commission: Making Water in the Sunshine <i>Christine</i> <i>Owen, Hazen</i> <i>and Sawyer</i>	
		From Emerging to Emerged: What These "Here Now" Contaminants Mean for the Water Sector Allegra da Silva, Brown and Caldwell	Characterizing the Removal of Antibiotic Resistance Dissemination of Two Municipal Water Reuse Systems Ishi Keenum, Virginia Tech		Moving from Data to Stories to Persuade Your Audience Stephen Groner, S. Groner Associates, Inc.	Navigating the Road to Recycled Water Bottling in California's Silicon Valley Hossein Ashktorab, Santa Clara Valley Water District	

#### 3:45pm – 5:15pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Considerations	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
Developing Effective Policies to Advance Reuse Moderator Diane Taniguchi- Dennis, Clean Water Services	Expanding Applications for Reuse in Industry Moderator <i>Craig Lichty,</i> <i>Black &amp;</i> <i>Veatch</i>	Cost Savings Through Innovation Moderator <i>Gilbert Trejo, El</i> <i>Paso Water</i> <i>Utilities</i>	Microbial Contaminants – Reducing the Risk Moderator Name	Meeting Compliance Goals Moderator Name	Informed Messaging for Effectively Reaching the Public Moderator <i>Guy Carpenter,</i> <i>Corolla</i>	Diversifying Supplies – 3 Applications Moderator Name	Water Tech Alliance
Creating a "Virtual" One- Water Utility: Pricing and Institutional Arrangements <i>Robert</i> <i>Roucher,</i> <i>Corona</i> <i>Environmental</i> <i>Consulting</i>	Industrial Water Reuse: Drivers, Approaches and Treatment Val Frenkel, Greeley and Hansen	What is all the Talk about TOC for Potable Reuse? Amanda Scott, Suez Water Technologies & Solutions	Monitoring for Legionella Pneumophila in Reclaimed Waters Mark Lechevallier, Dr. Water Consulting, LLC	Defining "Safe" Potable Water with National Drinking Water Data and a Toxicity Index Approach James Rosenblum, PhD MPH; Colorado School of Mines	A Tailored Outreach Approach on Water Knowledge, Trust, and Potable Reuse Issues Caroline Scruggs, University of New Mexico	PureWaterSF: Purification and Water Quality Risk Analysis for a Building-Scale DPR in San Francisco Manisha Kothari, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Andrea Corral, Carollo Engineers	
Regulatory Impacts of Decreasing Wastewater Flows on City of Los Angeles Water Recycling Stephen Opot, City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation & Environment	Pilot testing of MF/RO for Industrial Reuse of Biopharmace utical Wastewater Christina Casler, Carollo Engineers	Sizing Granular Activated Carbon While Addressing Regulatory Concerns for Direct Potable Reuse Jonathan Loveland, Black & Veatch	Potable Reuse and Microbial Risks – a Critical Review and Comparison of Risks between Planned and DeFacto Reuse Jeff Soller, Soller Environmental, LLC	How to Achieve Hawaii's Goal to Double Water Reuse by 2030 Bahman Sheikh, Bahman Sheikh Water Reuse Consulting	Engaging Future Ratepayers in Los Angeles Anthony Tew, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Tracey Dinh, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	Applying Oklahoma's New Potable Water Reuse Regulations for Regional Water Supply Resiliency Kyle Kruger, Garver Michael Watts, Garver	
Idaho's Reuse Guidance for the 21st Century: Sharing Approaches and Ideas with the Reuse Community Michael Cook, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality		Dynamic Simulation Optimizes Critical San Diego Reuse and Recycled Water Projects <i>Troy Matsuura,</i> <i>Jacobs</i>	2019: The Year of the Pathogen Inactivation in Advanced Reclamation for Potable Reuse <i>Vijay</i> <i>Sundaram,</i> <i>University of</i> <i>Nevada, Reno</i>	Ocean Plan Amendment Compliance – Carlsbad Desalination Plant Catches the First Wave Steve Friedman, HDR	Toilet-to- Tap, Get Over It! Patricia Tennyson, Katz & Associates	Morro Bay OneWater Approach Results in California's First MBR-Based Potable Reuse System Rob Livick, City of Morro Bay Eric Casares, Carollo Engineers	

6:30pm Cubs vs Padres Game at Petco Park

Sponsored by Stantec and the City of San Diego

Schedule as of 7/9/2019

#### Tuesday, September 10

#### 7:45am – 8:45am Breakfast

Water Association CEO Panel

#### 9:00am – 10:00am

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Considerations	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
Imported Water and Wine Moderator Dawn Taffler, Kennedy Jenks	Maximizing Commercial Value through Reuse Moderator <i>Keel Robinson,</i> <i>Trussel</i> <i>Technologies</i>	Bioanalytical Screening Moderator Paul Cook, Irvine Ranch Water District	Treatment Technologies Moderator Paul Steinbrecher, JEA	Macro- economic Considerations in Reuse Projects Moderator <i>Karen</i> <i>Pallansch,</i> <i>Alexandria</i> <i>Renew</i>	Proper Planning Moderator Name	Capturing the Rain in Minnesota Moderator <i>Claudio</i> <i>Ternieden,</i> <i>Water</i> <i>Environment</i> <i>Federation</i>	San Diego's Promise Moderator <i>Doug Owen,</i> <i>Stantec</i>
Reduce Dependency on Imported Water in Los Angeles: Water Reuse is the Answer Jagjit Kaur, Jacobs Rafael Villegas, LA Department of Water and Power	Water Reuse at U.S. Airports: Opportunities, Innovations, and Creative Collaborations <i>Eric Binder,</i> <i>Bluefield</i> <i>Research</i>	Bioassays for Screening for Endocrine Disruptors in Potable Reuse Projects in California Luciana Pereyra, Trussell Technologies, Inc. Yan Qu, Trussell Technologies	Overcoming Challenges in Ozone/BAF Treatment Systems for Potable Reuse <i>Vijay</i> <i>Sundaram,</i> <i>University of</i> <i>Nevada, Reno</i>	Smart Sensor - Potable Reuse Potential <i>Melanie</i> <i>Holmer, Brown</i> <i>and Caldwell</i>	Unlocking Water Reuse Opportunities – Roadblocks & Successes Patrick Regan, Evoqua Technologies	Utilizing Stormwater as a Reusable Resource Doug Bode, City of Waconia Kevin Flis, Xylem	Developing and Permitting California's First Potable Reuse Project Using Reservoir Augmentation Shane Trussell, Trussell Technologies, Inc.
From Recycled Water to Wine – A Chloride Reduction Story Sepi Henneman, Brown and Caldwell Andrew Damron, Napa Sanitation District	One Water, Many Options <i>Austa Paker,</i> <i>Denver Water</i>	Implementing Bioanalytical Tools in California: A Creative Collaboration Kevin Hardy, National Water Research Institute	Testing Results of UF/RO vs. Ozone/BAF – Selecting One for a Path to a Sustainable Water Future Ryan Popko, JEA	Smart Approaches to Improve Economics of the Potable Reuse Projects Zeynep Erdal, Black & Veatch	One Year After Day Zero - Cape Town, South Africa <i>Lucinda Jooste,</i> <i>Xylem</i>	Yes, We Reuse Water in Minnesota! Anita Anderson, Minnesota Department of Health Michelle Stockness, Barr Engineering Company	Fulfilling the Promise of the Purple Pipe Program—San Diego Recycled Water System Turns 20 years Old <i>Eric Scherch,</i> <i>HDR</i>

10:00am – 10:30am Networking Break Sponsored by Suez

#### 10:30am – 12:00pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Consideratio ns	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
Establishing Consistent Approaches for Water Reuse Across the U.S Moderator <i>Melanie</i> <i>Holmer, Brown</i> and Caldwell	Data Centers Rely on Water Reuse Moderator Name	Monitoring Water Quality Moderator Name	Alternative Treatments for CECs Moderator <i>Troy Walker,</i> <i>Hazen and</i> <i>Sawyer</i>	The Secrets of Developing State DPR Regulatory Frameworks Moderator Julie Minton, The Water Research Foundation	Marketing Knowledge to Inform Reuse Decision Making Moderator Janice Whitney, EPA	Innovation in Potable Reuse Moderator Val Frenkel, Greeley and Hansen	Orange County Findings Moderator Don Vandertulip, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Public Health and Utility Leaders Collaborate to Advance Onsite Reuse Paula Kehoe, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	Black & Veatch	Examining Pathogens and Microbials for a Reservoir Augmentation Type Potable Reuse Scenario Bob Angelotti, Upper Occoquan Service Authority	Removal of Emerging Contaminants Through Ozone-BAC: Influence of Activated Carbon Properties Adam Redding, Calgon Carbon Corporation	Brian Bernados, California State Water Resources Control Board / Division of Drinking Water Jeff Mosher, Carollo Engineers, Inc. Tim Thomure, Tucson Water John Rehring, Carollo Engineers, Inc	Project Planning: Executing on Scenario Mapping and Risk Management using a Sustainability Platform <i>Nitesh Dullabh,</i> <i>Water Diplomat</i>	Enhancing the Efficiency of Ion Exchange Resins to Remove DBP Precursors in Water Reuse Mahmut S. Ersan, Southern Nevada Water Authority	Evaluating PVDF membranes for Orange County Water District's GWRS Final Expansion Project Design Sandy Scott- Roberts, Orange County Water District
Decentralized Reuse: The Future of Distributed Infrastructure <i>Erin Bonney</i> <i>Casey,</i> <i>Bluefield</i> <i>Research</i>	-	Maximizing UV Potential in Potable Reuse through Advanced Validation Methods Greg Warkentin, Trojan Technologies, Inc	The Kitchen Sink: UV at a Non- Membrane Advanced Treatment Plant for Multiple Water Quality Objectives Erik Rosenfeldt, Hazen and Sawyer		Hydroeconomic Modeling of Direct Potable Reuse as a Regional Supply Source for Los Angeles Erik Porse, Sacramento State	Treatment and Subsurface- Water Interactions During Groundwater Recharge Lydia Peri, Truckee Meadows Water Authority	Universal Filtration Design at the World's Largest IPR Facility Lee Portillo, Black & Veatch
Decentralized Greywater Treatment Using a Novel Electrochemic al Approach <i>Nicole A.</i> <i>Poirier,</i> <i>Terragon</i> <i>Environmental</i> <i>Technologies</i> <i>Inc.</i>		Pipe Loop Studies of the Effects of Raw Water Augmentation on Distribution System Metal Mobilization Michael Adelman, Stantec	Treatment of Trace Organic Contaminants by Pilot-Scale Ozone, BAF, and GAC Potable Reuse Systems Stephanie Riley, Southern Nevada Water Authority			Electrocoagul ation Treatment of Reverse Osmosis Concentrate from a Municipal Wastewater Reuse Facility Hosein Ashktorab, Santa Clara Valley Water District Galen O'Toole, Santa Clara Valley Water District	Naturally Occurring lons to Monitor Reverse Osmosis Performance and Integrity in Reuse Applications Jana Safarik and Megan Plumlee, Orange County Water District

#### 12:15pm – 1:30pm Tuesday Plenary Luncheon

Sponsored by Carollo

- EPA Action Plan Announcement
- Panel Water Subcabinet

1:45pm – 3:15pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercia I and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Considerations	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
LAGWRP: Addressing LA's Water Needs Through Technology and Partnerships Moderator Joline Munoz, LASAN	Industrial Water Reuse Moderator Name	Creative Strategies to Meet Today's Challenges Moderator <i>Chance</i> <i>Lauderdale, HDR</i>	Microplastics Moderator Steve Tedesco, Tetra Tech	Project Financing Options for On-Site Systems Moderator Name	Parks and Recreation Dexter May, Alan Plummer Associates	Groundwater Moderator Brian Biesemeyer, Scottsdale Water	Nutrients, Salinity, and Other Considerations Moderator Name
Roshanak Aflaki, LASAN Yoshiko Tsunehara, LADWP Hannah Ford, Carollo Teresa Venezia, Trussell Technologies	CDM Smith	Virus Treatment Crediting Alternatives to Allow Operation at Shorter Underground Retention Times John Kenny, Trussell Technologies, Inc.	Fate and Transport of Microplastics in an Advanced Water Treatment (AWT) System <i>Ayu Sari,</i> <i>Stantec</i>	Natural Systems	Salinity Management for Landscapes Austa Parker, Denver Water	Groundwater Considerations for Indirect Potable Reuse William Alley, Ph.D., National Ground Water Association	Nitrogen Management Strategies for Large-Scale Potable Reuse Zakir Hirani, Stantec Gloria Lai-Bluml, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
		Sweet Success with Open Platform Membrane Systems Treating Challenging Effluents for Water Reuse Jason Assouline, Carollo Engineers	A Survey of Microplastics Occurrence in Drinking Water Systems Globally Andrew Eaton, Eurofins Eaton Analytical, LLC		Commercial Reuse Applications: Irrigation, Graywater, and Environmental Discharge Ronen Barkan, Fluence	Bromate Prevention of Ozone Based Aquifer Recharge <i>Kevin Fils,</i> <i>Xylem, Inc</i>	Case for High Recovery RO in Potable Reuse Trains <i>Ufuk Erdal,</i> <i>Arcadis</i>
		Innovative Framework Assessing Industrial Source Control for Potable Reuse in the Occoquan Watershed <i>Bob Angelotti,</i> <i>Upper Occoquan</i> <i>Service Authority</i>	Methodology to Detect and Quantitate Microplastics in Water Sources Michael Dziewatkoski, Eurofins SF Analytical, LLC		Use of a Losing Stream for Groundwater Recharge <i>Rosalyn Prickett,</i> <i>Woodard &amp;</i> <i>Curran</i>	Beneficial Uses of Produced Water <i>Mike Paque,</i> <i>Groundwater</i> <i>Protection</i> <i>Council</i>	Regional Approach to Brackish Water Reclamation in the West Coast Groundwater Basin Diane Gatza, The Water Replenishment District of Southern California

3:15pm – 3:45pm Networking Break Sponsored by Kennedy Jenks

#### 3:45pm – 5:15pm

Track 1: Sustainability, Resiliency and Water Reuse	Track 2: Reuse for Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Processes	Track 3: Potable Reuse: Innovative Strategies	Track 4: Hot Topics in Water Reuse Research	Track 5: Effective Governance, Policy & Financing for Water Reuse	Track 6: Making the Case for Water Reuse	Track 7: Essential Considerations	Track 8: A Little More About Southern California
Water Reuse in Areas with Plenty of Water Moderator Bart Weiss, Hillsborough County Water Department	Regional Solutions When Resources are Scarce Moderator <i>Eric Saperstein,</i> <i>California</i> <i>Association of</i> <i>Sanitation</i> <i>Agencies</i>	Innovative Approaches for Monitoring Pathogen Removal in RO Membranes Moderator Jason Assouline, Carrollo Engineers	Increasing Innovation in Water Reuse with the Water Research Foundation's LIFT Program Moderator Justin Mattingly, Water Research Foundation	Federal Funding Programs Moderator <i>Greg Fogel,</i> <i>WateReuse</i>	Making Business "Cents" Through Unique Collaborations to Improve Existing On- Site Reuse Systems Moderator Zach Gallager, Natural Systems Utilities	Membrane Considerations Moderator Name	Continued Innovation in Reuse Moderator Name
Water Reuse in an Area With Plenty of Water? How a Research Project is Helping Drive It Ahead. Jennifer Khemai, The Regional Municipality of York, Canada	The Application of Stormwater Treatment for Groundwater Augmentation: Challenges and Solutions Brent Alspach, Arcadis	Eva Steinle- Darling, Carollo Engineers Jim Vickers, Separations Processes Inc. Tomoyuki Taguchi, Yokogawa Electric Corp. Yasuhiro Matsui, Japan	Mehul Patel, Orange County Water District Kevin Flis, Xylem, Inc. Greg Ryan, Pasteurization Technology Group	Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program Amanda Erath, Bureau of Reclamation	Sheng Chu, Natural Systems Utilities John Tekula, Natural Systems Utilities	Maximizing MF/UF Membrane Life - How One Utility Has Exceeded Industry Expectations through a Unique Foulant Management Strategy Jim Lozier, Jacobs	Innovative Design Concepts for an Advanced Water Treatment Demonstration Facility Gloria Lai-Bluml, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Zakir Hirani, Stantec
Utility Resilience in the Face of Climate Change Alan Rimer, EnviroTechNovati ons LLC Gary Hunter, Black & Veatch	Guiding Regional Reuse Options - A Distributed Systems Approach Jocelyn Lu, Brown and Caldwell	Desalination Association		DOE Grand Water Challenge Diana Bauer, US Department of Energy		Techno- Economic Evaluation of Field-Ready RO Brine Recovery and Minimization Technologies <i>Gii Hurwitz,</i> Black & Veatch	8.7 gpm/sf – NCWRP's new granular media tertiary filtration rate (>> 5 gpm/sf) John Kenny, Trussell Technologies, Inc.
Making it Rain in Central Arkansas – The Development of AR's First Non- Potable Reuse System Michael Graves, Garver Greg Ramon, Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority	Planning for Stormwater to Supplement San Diego's Pure Water Program <i>Jim Rasmus,</i> <i>Carollo</i> <i>Engineers</i>			U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (invited)		Monitoring Bacteria in RO Permeate Online Takahiro Fujioka, Nagasaki University	Coupled Oxidant and Ceramic Membrane Processes for Decreased Biofouling and Enhanced Flux in Water Reclamation Applications <i>Karl Linden,</i> <i>University of</i> <i>Colorado</i>

5:15pm – 6:15pm Gender Diversity in Water Reuse Panel

#### Sponsored by Xylem

Cindy Wallis-Lage, President, Black & Veatch's Global Water Business

Gilbert Trejo, Chief Technical Officer, El Paso Water

Pranjali Kumar, Envrionmental Engineer, Carollo

6:30pm – 9:00pm Maritime Museum of San Diego: Discovery, Dinner, Music and Fun!

Sponsored by Xylem

#### Wednesday, September 11, 2019

### 8:00am – 9:00am Breakfast Plenary: Current Status and Visions for the future of Water Reuse Research Sponsored by Greeley and Hansen

#### 9:00am – 10:30am Plenary Water Reuse: Sustaining the New Economy in Nevada's High Desert

Michael Drinkwater, Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility

Adam Kramer, Switch

Pat Mulroy, University of Nevada

John Enloe, Truckee Meadows Water Authority

10:30am – 11:45am State of the States: Highlights and Insights from the State Regulatory Summit

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

## **BOARD MEMORANDUM**

DATE:July 10, 2019July 22, 2019TO:BOARD OF DIRECTORSBoard MeetingFROM:Mr. Bob Egan, Financial AdvisorRE:AGENDA ITEM NO. 8.1.a – STATUS REPORT ON CASH FLOW STATEMENT<br/>AND CURRENT CASH BALANCES AS OF JUNE 2019. (FINANCIAL ADVISOR<br/>EGAN/FINANCIAL HEALTH AND STABILITY COMMITTEE)

Attached is the Investment Funds Report and current cash balance as of June 2019. The reports will be reviewed in detail at the Board meeting.

#### PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT INVESTMENT FUNDS REPORT June 30, 2019

LSF         LSF         LSF         SUSS 30 100000000000000000000000000000000000				Julie	50, 2019				
120 30 mm         2000 mm	<b>C</b> 11	<i>cu</i>					<u>June 2019</u>	<u>May 2019</u>	March 2019
1 E B 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 200         2 200 2	1-00-0103-100	Citizens - Checkir	ng				20.933.69	581,704,28	1.743.09
1:00 029 000         Clean March 100         3223-53.         3224424         349320           1:00 12000         FMT CAH         2329-53.         322424         72895214           1:00 12000         FMT CAH         3229-53.         322424         72895214         1012000           1:00 12100         Control 1000         Sack 20         72995244         7299524         7299524 </td <td>1-00-0103-200</td> <td>Citizens - Refund</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>(65.56)</td> <td>-</td>	1-00-0103-200	Citizens - Refund					-	(65.56)	-
Unit of the Control of the Control of Cont	1-00-0103-300	Citizens - Mercha	ant				215,745.51	208,248.44	145,383.72
LACE CALCENCYSADESADESADESADELACE CALLSADESADESADESADELACE CALLSADESADESADESADELACE CALLSADESADESADESADELACE CALLSADESADESADESADELADE CALLSADESADESADE </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Bank Total</td> <td>236,679.20</td> <td>789,887.16</td> <td>147,126.81</td>						Bank Total	236,679.20	789,887.16	147,126.81
1.1.0.01101         0.01101         0.01101         0.01101         0.011010         0.011010           0.00120000         0.0010000         0.0010000         0.0010000         0.0010000         0.0010000           0.00120000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.00100000         0.001000000         0.001000000         0.001000000000000000000000000000000000	1-00-0110-000	PETTY CASH					300.00	300.00	300.00
NOTION         TOTAL CASY         242.379.20         255.27.21         252.28.11           1.00.015.00         Lock Agence funcement Aud         Kell Feld         1.227.92         1.228.92         1.228.92           1.00.015.00         Lock Agence funcement Aud         Kell Feld         1.227.92         1.228.92         1.228.92           1.00.015.00         Lock Agence funcement Auguet	1-00-0115-000	CASH ON HAND		-			5,400.00	5,400.00	5,400.00
AVECTNEDT         AVEC COM         CALCUPTON         (79,001/20)         (10,001/20)           120,001/200         Company Bunch Rubert Ru				•		TOTAL CASU	242 270 20	705 597 10	152.020.01
Monthamics         Aust. Test         12,273.75         12,227.75         12,228.75           188 218.90         Local paper by endineed fault						TOTAL CASH	242,379.20	/95,587.10	152,820.81
140.0000         Log algong yneemen ford         Log 2000         12,272,56         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,56         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57         12,272,57 <th>INVESTI</th> <th>MENTS</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	INVESTI	MENTS							
130         130         130         130         130           130         340         Advernment frequenci (1)         100	1-00-0135-000	Local Agency Inv	vestment Fund			Acct. Total	12,279.76	12,279.76	12,203.21
UBE MA Government Technolo         122/212/5         202/212/	1-00-0120-000	UBS Money Mar	ket Account General (SS 11469	1					
Accord in the ent         2000000         2000000         2000000         2000000           Accord in the ent         1000000         1000000         9000000         90000000 <t< td=""><td></td><td>UBS RMA Govern</td><td>nment Portfolio</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,332,419.75</td><td>820,073.16</td><td>630,548.67</td></t<>		UBS RMA Govern	nment Portfolio				1,332,419.75	820,073.16	630,548.67
Accord internal         Link         Link <thlink< th="">         Link         Link</thlink<>		UBS BARK USA DE	ep acci				250,000.00	250,000.00	250,000.00
US Bourneast Soundia:         Matering take			Accrued interest				6,175.77	5,410.93	4,666.26
0.5 General method         base         PAR         PAR         Part Number Value         Maintet Value         Maintet Value           12.746978         U3 Trenury BII         30,712/203         0.202         0.202         0.001,810.00         98,7200.00         98,7200.00           1         Service Mainter Value         Extra Value         Fate         Fate         500000         99,8200.00         1.000         98,7200.00         1.000         98,7200.00         1.000         98,7200.00         1.000         98,7200.00         1.000         98,7200.00         1.000         99,7200.00         1.000         99,7200.00         1.000,7200.00         1.000         99,7200.00         1.903,7200.00 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1,388,393.32</td> <td>1,073,484.03</td> <td>865,214.55</td>							1,388,393.32	1,073,484.03	865,214.55
Loss //         Issuer         Material yours         Jose //         Material yours         Jose //         Material yours         Jose //         Material yours         Material yo		US Government	Securities	Martinita Data	<b>D</b> -++-	545		<b>BA</b> - also + 17 - laso	
112700000         01121000		CUSIP #	Issuer	Maturity Date	Kate	PAR	warket value	Market Value	Market value
Losen         Naturity Date         Face Value         Pace Value         Pace Value           1         General Constraints         0.40000         7.000         7.000         7.00000         7.000000         7.000000         7.000000		912796RF8	US Treasury Bill	10/10/2019	0.000	1,000,000	994,120.00	991,780.00	987,620.00
Certificate or deposit         Section of the section o						1,000,000	994,120.00	991,780.00	987,620.00
Isone         Materity Data         Rate         Face Value           1         Isone         Series National Samo		Certificates of De	eposit						
1     key Bok     0)272/2023     1.500     200,000       232911.20       2     Safe Africania Bark     0)272/2023     1.200     240,000      2290.200       3     Writh sage     0)272/2023     1.200     240,000      2290.200       4     Capter Bark     0)277/2023     2.300     2200.200     2200.200     2200.200       7     U.Stenk     0)277/2023     2.300     240,000     2200.200     2200.200       8     U.Stenk     0)277/2023     2.300     240,000     240,002.400     2200.200       9     Synchrony Bark     11/1/2020     2.200     240,000     240,002.400     2200.200       10     100 Kark     0.11/1/2020     2.200     240,000     240,002.400     2200.826.00       13     100 Kark     0.11/1/2020     2.200     240,000     240,002.400     220.857.00       13     100 Kark     0.11/1/2020     2.200     240,000     240,002.400     220.857.00       13     100 Kark     0.11/1/2020     2.400     240,000     240,002.400     220.857.00       14     100 Kark     0.11/1/2020     2.400     240,002.400     220.857.00     220.857.00       14     100 Kark			Issuer	Maturity Date	Rate	Face Value			
2       Safe Stational Each       04/02/020       1.250       240,000			1 Key Bank	03/29/2019	1.500	240,000	-	-	240,000.00
3       Wolk Page       00/07/070       1.240       240,000			2 Safra National Bank	04/30/2019	1.850	240,000	-	-	239,911.20
1         Label 2000         1299-100         1299-200			3 Wells Fargo	05/20/2019	1.250	240,000	-	-	239,668.80
-         -         0012-0010         1-000         5000000         30033000         39338000         39388000         39388000         39388000         3900000         30020720         3200000         30020000         30020000         30020000         30020000         30020000			4 Capital Bank 5 Discover Rank	05/1//2019	1.850	200,000	199 938 00	190 823 00 199,936.00	199,682.00
2         05 60 55 80 MAM         001/20201         2.400         2.4002.00         2.40			6 BMO Harris Bank	08/26/2019	2.350	240.000	240.019.20	239.978.40	239,966.40
iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii			7 US Bank	09/12/2019	2.400	240,000	240,072.00	240,002.40	240,007.20
9         9			8 US Bank NA MN	10/10/2019	2.250	240,000	240,028.80	239,860.80	-
11         Bark         122022019         2.400         200,000         200,3880         2.93,932.00           12         Apollo bark         0710/0200         2.230         2.0000         200,312.0         239,652.00         1.98,837.60           13         Vieles Comm bark         0710/0200         2.230         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.003.216         0.0000         2.031.20         2.0000         2.003.216         0.0000         2.0000         2.003.216         0.0000         2.0000         2.003.216         0.0000         3.071.22231           1.001116/00         UPS hark Up bay act         Lex         Lex         10.017.260         1.000.46.98         124.924.66           1.001115/00         UPS fark Up bay act         Lex         Lex         10.014.72.44         10.014.250         12.83.250           1.001115/00         UPS fark McGovermmet Portfolio         Lex         Lex         1.014.84.42         12.83.850         1.088.87.86           1.011110/01         UPS fark McGovermmet Portfolio         Lex         Lex         1.014.85.85.276.20         1.018.850 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>9 Synchrony Bank</td><td>11/12/2019</td><td>2.300</td><td>240,000</td><td>240,122.40</td><td>239,877.60</td><td>-</td></t<>			9 Synchrony Bank	11/12/2019	2.300	240,000	240,122.40	239,877.60	-
11 basin of Linning         12/19/2019         2.250         220,000         200,1520         239,750.0           13 Vrotex Comm bank         0/1/0/2000         2.280         2.00,000         2.00,1520         239,750.0         3,671,722,53           1.001110 00         UIS bank USA pa sett         10,437,24         10,347,24         10,344.90         124,324.95           1.00112 00         UIS Access Account General (S 1147)         -         10,437,24         10,344.90         124,324.95           1.00125 00         UIS Access Account General (S 1147)         -         -         125,630         124,324.95           1.00125 00         UIS Stank USA past         -         -         126,450.97         125,324.97         125,324.97         125,324.97         125,324.97         125,324.97         125,324.97         125,325.90         1,735,71.00         1735,71.00         1735,71.00         1735,71.00         1735,71.20         125,224.97 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>10 TBK Bank</td><td>12/02/2019</td><td>2.400</td><td>240,000</td><td>240,268.80</td><td>239,983.20</td><td>-</td></t<>			10 TBK Bank	12/02/2019	2.400	240,000	240,268.80	239,983.20	-
13       Victis Comm bank       0/1/1/200       2.30       2.00,000       220,2120       239,883.00       1.99,887.60         10       228,825.00       228,825.00       229,825.00       229,825.00       229,882.00       229,882.00         1.00       USS their USD bep act       Act. Total       4,663.03.122       4,16.356.09       3.072,722.33         1.00       USS their USD bep act       130,472.44       130,447.84       130,454.89       124,324.86         1.00       USS their USD bep act       130,457.84       130,454.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.057.67       130,642.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1551.07.8       130,454.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1551.07.8       130,251.20       12,334.45         USS their USD bep act       0.1550.27.81       130,442.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1550.27.81       130,442.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1550.27.81       130,442.89       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1550.27.80       1,353.42.29       124,324.86         USS their USD bep act       0.1550.27.80       1,353.42.29       127,223.23         USS			11 Bank of China	12/19/2019	2.450	200,000	200,318.00	-	-
Los Price Common         Construction         Construction         Loss Price         Loss Price <thloss price<="" th="">         Loss Price         <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>12 Apolio balik 13 Vreitex Comm bank</td><td>01/10/2020</td><td>2.250</td><td>240,000</td><td>240,151.20</td><td>239,736.00</td><td>-</td></t<></thloss>			12 Apolio balik 13 Vreitex Comm bank	01/10/2020	2.250	240,000	240,151.20	239,736.00	-
Lobolution         Lighted result				02, 10, 2020	2.550	240,000	2 091 216 00	3 070 003 00	1 509 907 60
Act. Total         4,463,391.3         2,414,336.0         3,471,223           1-00-110-00         UIS Sawi (SA) Cep act. UIS BMA (SA) Cep act. UIS MAA Sociemment Portfolio         130,457,244         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         130,347,84         124,324,96           1-00-0125-00         UIS Access Account General (S1 1427)         20,146,55         25,233,44         1,38,318         25,978,19         14,384,42         27,814,38         25,978,19         27,814,38         25,978,19         27,814,38         28,974,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30         1,72,815,30						2,280,000	2,081,210.00	2,079,092.00	1,338,837.00
1.00-1110-000         UBS Money Market Account Capital (SS 11472)         120,437.84         120,437.84         120,337.84         120,328.85         120,328.85 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Acct. Total</td><td>4,663,931.52</td><td>4,146,356.09</td><td>3,471,732.53</td></td<>						Acct. Total	4,663,931.52	4,146,356.09	3,471,732.53
UBS Bank LSA Dep acct UBS RMA Government Portfolio         124,284,68         124,284,88         124,284,88         124,284,88	1-00-1110-000	UBS Money Mar	ket Account Capital (SS 11475)						
Acct. Total         130,457,24         130,457,24         130,457,24         120,437,24         23,938,00         24,337,442,3		UBS Bank USA De	ep acct				130,437.84	130,364.89	124,324.96
Long-D25:00         UIS Access Account General (55 1142)         Long-D25		UBS RMA Govern	nment Portfolio			Acct Total	130 437 84	130 364 89	- 124 324 96
1-00-0125-000         UBS Access Account General (SS 11432)         UES           USS Bank USA Operation         27,042,45         15,043,56         75,733,64         18,85,19           Accrued interest         22,042,47         15,054,77         25,073,264         27,054,45         27,042,47							100,107101	100,00	12 1,02 1.00
UBS Bark USA Dep act     25,145-55     25,233.64     25,578.19       Accrued interest     22,094.24     16,614.78     27,578.19       US Government Securities     42,204.24     17,35,015.00     17,38,015.00       9122262878     US Teasury Rill     10/10/2019     2,260     1,750,015.00     1,737,012.00     1,738,015.00       9122282875     US Teasury Rote     12/31/2021     2,250     1,430,000     1,441,111.10     1,416,592.20     1,429,327.80       9122282875     US Teasury Rote     03/21/2021     2,250     1,430,000     1,414,111.10     1,416,592.20     1,429,327.80       Certificates of Deposit     Issuer     Maturity Date     Rate     Face Value     1,429,327.80       1     American Express     04/32/2019     1,440     240,000     237.73     238,980.00       2     Synchrony Bank     04/14/2020     1,850     240,000     237.73     238,980.00       2     Synchrony Bank     04/14/2020     1,850     240,000     237.73     238,984.00       3     JP Morgan Chase Bank     11/12/2020     1,600     77,000     76,596.60     75,583.69       4     Bank of Bancia     01/19/2021     1,600     240,251.00     239,784.00     242,251.71     242,552.31       2     Com	1-00-0125-000	UBS Access Acco	unt General (SS 11432)						
0.000 UNION         2.000-2.1         1.001<		UBS Bank USA De	ep acct				26,146.56	25,233.64	-
Lactures interest         2008-00 40,008-02 40,000         10,017.0 40,008-02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         20,008.02 40,000         1,728,100         1,728,100         1,728,100         1,728,300         1,728,330.00         997,310.00         1,728,335.00         997,310.00         1,728,335.00         997,310.00         1,728,335.00         1,928,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,728,337.00         1,440,111,14,355,237.20         4,153,442.90         1,440,95,317.20         4,153,442.90         1,440,95,317.20         4,153,442.90         239,850.00         239,951.00         239,071.00         239,951.00         239,071.00         239,951.00         239,071.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,951.00         239,95		OBS RIVIA GOVEN					-	-	1,030.19
US Government Securities US Government Securities US Treasury Note 12/21/2021 12/25/07 10/15/07 10/1			Accrued Interest				48,240,80	41.848.42	25,978.19 27,814.38
Construction records         Soure         Maturity Date         Rate         PAR         Market Value         Market Value <t< th=""><th></th><th>US Covornment</th><th>Socuritios</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>		US Covornment	Socuritios						
Industry Date         Industry		CUSIP #	Issuer	Maturity Date	Rate	PAR	Market Value	Market Value	Market Value
3212390H3       US Treasury Note       10/10/2013       2.200       1/35/1000       99731000       9973000         91282830       US Treasury Note       93/21/2021       2.250       1,430,000       99731000       9973000         9128282657       US Treasury Note       93/21/2021       2.250       1,430,000       1,441,111.10       1,435,592.30       1,435,342.30         Certificates of Deposit         Stream Number 1       American Express       04/29/2019       1,440       240,000       239,051.00       239,071.20       238,804.80         A metrican Express       04/29/2019       1,440       240,000       230,052.00       230,071.20       238,804.80         A metrican Express       04/29/2019       1,600       270,000       243,144.00       242,251.20       242,572.80         A metrican Express       04/29/201       1,600       240,000       243,144.00       242,251.20       242,572.80       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,252.00       244,052.80       1,435,393.85       1,435,393.80.00       1,435,393.80.00       1,435,3		012706859		10/10/2010	2 260	1 750 000	1 720 710 00	1 725 615 00	1 738 335 00
912828Cr, U       U S Treasury Note       03/21/2021       2.250       1.4930,000       1.441,111.00       1.436,592.30       1.429,327.80         4.180,000       4.180,001.10       4.169,517.30       4.153,442.90         Certificates of Deposit         1       American Express       04/29/2019       1.440       240,000       2.3       2.39,071.20       238,081.00         2       Synchrony Bank       04/14/2020       1.850       240,000       239,071.20       238,084.80         3       JP Morgan Chase Bank       04/12/2020       1.600       77,000       76,059.06       75,688.69       75,563.95         5       Wells Fargo       12/14/2020       3.100       240,000       241,414.00       242,251.20       240,572.80         6       Comenity Cap Bank       05/10/2021       2.550       240,000       241,41,006.80       229,784.00       242,4251.20       240,523.00       244,41,281       1.435,399.88         7       Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.550       240,000       241,414,113.01       1.435,588.70       1.435,399.88         1.00-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       1.680,000       241,41,738.41       1.435,588.70       1.435,399.88 <t< td=""><td></td><td>912790668</td><td>US Treasury Note</td><td>12/31/2019</td><td>2.200</td><td>1,730,000</td><td>999 180 00</td><td>997 310 00</td><td>995 780 00</td></t<>		912790668	US Treasury Note	12/31/2019	2.200	1,730,000	999 180 00	997 310 00	995 780 00
Lisue         Maturity Date         Face Value         4,180,000         4,185,982.00           2         Synchrony Bank         04/12/2019         1.440         240,000         239,652.00         239,071.20         238,800.80           3         JP Morgan Chase Bank         11/18/2020         1.650         240,000         239,652.00         239,071.20         238,800.80           4         Bank of Bando Thy         11/18/2020         1.600         240,000         235,888.80         235,473.60           5         Wells Fargo         12/14/2020         1.600         77,000         76,593.06         75,588.56         74,656.58.46         74,162,658.41         145,588.70         1,483,588.70         1,483,588.70         1,483,588.70         1,483,588.70         1,483,588.70		912828657	US Treasury Note	03/21/2021	2.250	1,430.000	1.441.111.10	1.436.592.30	1.429.327.90
Openation of the posit         Openation of the posit           Interview of the posit			,			4 180 000	4 180 001 10	4 169 517 30	4 153 442 90
issuer         Maturity Date         Rate         Face Value         Value <td></td> <td>Cortificator of D</td> <td>onosit</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.,</td> <td>.,</td> <td>.,,</td>		Cortificator of D	onosit				.,	.,	.,,
1         American Express         04/29/2019         1.400         240,000         -         -         239,880.00           2         Synchrony Bank         04/14/2020         1.850         240,000         239,652.00         239,071.20         238,804.80           3         JP Morgan Chase Bank         11/18/2020         1.600         240,000         237,056.00         235,888.80         235,473.60           4         Bank of Bardota NY         11/23/2020         1.600         77,000         76,059.06         75,688.69         75,563.95           5         Wells Fargo         12/14/2020         3.100         240,000         241,351.20         240,252.00         240,412.80           6         Comenity Capa Bank         01/19/2021         2.900         163.3093.51         162,652.81         162,651.81           8         Sallie Mae Bank         05/10/2021         2.450         240,000         241,351.20         240,200         240,252.00         240,412.80           9         Bank of America         02/08,2021         2.550         240,000         241,351.20         240,252.00         240,412.80           1.00-1121-000         UBS Rak USA bep act         2,357.11         1,435,598.70         1,435,598.70         1,435,598.70         1,295		certificates of De	Issuer	Maturity Date	Rate	Face Value			
1       Auterical Explicits       UP (27) (213)       1.440       240,000       -       -       -       239,880.00         3       JP Morgan Chase Bank       11/18/2020       1.600       240,000       237,036.00       235,883.80       235,473.60         4       Bank of Baroda NY       11/23/2020       1.600       77,000       76,059.06       75,688.69       75,563.95         5       Wells Fargo       12/14/2020       3.100       240,000       243,144.00       242,251.20       242,2572.80         6       Comenity Cap Bank       01/19/2021       1.900       163,000       163,399.35       162,652.81       162,651.81         7       Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.450       240,000       241,956.80       239,784.00       -         1.680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,399.88       55616,657.16         1.00-1121-00       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       -			1 Amorican Evenes	04/20/2040	1 440	240.000			220,000,00
1.00-1121-00     UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - Diartet Restricted     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.39,071.400     2.35,071.600     75,688.69     75,568.69     76,059.00     241,351.20     240,072.00     240,372.00     240,372.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,252.00     240,072.41     3,935,355.16     5,224,917.86     1.00-1121.00     UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - Diatrict Restricted     1.00-1121.00     UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - Diatrict Restricted     2,835.71     2,316.49     1,413,738.41     1,435,398.80     2,250,68     217.25     2,250,68     217.25     2,250,68     217.25     1,251.37     2,250,68     217.25     2,250,68			American express     Synchrony Rank	04/23/2019	1.44U 1.850	240,000 240 000	- 239 652 00	- 239 071 20	239,880.00
4       Bank of Baroda NY       11/23/2020       1.600       77,000       76,099,06       75,688.69       75,568.69         5       Wells Fargo       12/14/2020       3.100       240,000       243,144.00       242,251.20       242,572.80         6       Comenity Cap Bank       01/19/2021       1.900       163,000       163,399,35       162,652.81       12/40,252.00       244,000       242,572.80         7       Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.450       240,000       241,351.20       240,252.00       240,412.80         8       Sallie Mae Bank       05/10/2021       2.450       240,000       241,936.80       239,784.00          1.660,000       1.441,738.41       1.435,399.88			3 JP Morgan Chase Bank	11/18/2020	1.600	240,000	237,036.00	235,888.80	235,473.60
5       Wells Fargo       12/14/2020       3.100       240,000       243,144.00       242,251.20       242,572.80         6       Comenity Cap Bank       01/19/2021       1.900       163,399.35       162,652.81       162,651.93         7       Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.550       240,000       241,351.20       240,252.00       240,412.80         8       Sallie Mae Bank       05/10/2021       2.550       240,000       241,351.20       233,784.00       -         1,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,598.70       1,435,399.88       -			4 Bank of Baroda NY	11/23/2020	1.600	77,000	76,059.06	75,688.69	75,563.95
6       Comenity Cap Bank       01/19/2021       1.900       163,000       263,993,55       162,652.81       162,691.82         7       Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.550       240,000       241,351.20       220,252.00       240,021.00       -         1,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,398.88       -       -       -         1,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,398.88       -       -       -         100-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       Total Managed Accounts       10,476,629.43       9,935,955.16       9,224,917.86         100-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       -			5 Wells Fargo	12/14/2020	3.100	240,000	243,144.00	242,251.20	242,572.80
/ Bank of America       02/08/2021       2.550       240,000       241,351.20       240,225.00       240,412.80         8       Sallie Mae Bank       05/10/2021       2.450       240,000       241,096.80       233,784.00       -         I,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,587.01       1,295,54.02       5,616,657.16       5,616,657.16       5,616,657.16       2,24,917.86       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       1,295,94       2,2667.17       1,513.19       2,2667.17       1,513.19       1,513.19       1,213,17,13       2,244,087.84       244,119.56       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56       244,226.92       244,087.			6 Comenity Cap Bank	01/19/2021	1.900	163,000	163,399.35	162,652.81	162,691.93
a Sallie Wide Ballik       US/10/2021       2.43,00       241,095.80       223,784.00       -         1,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,598.82       1,435,598.70       5,646,954.42       5,616,657.16         Total Managed Accounts       10,476,629.43       9,935,955.16       9,224,917.86       9,935,955.16       9,224,917.86         100-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       2,835.71       2,316.49       1,295.94         UBS Bank USA Dep acct			7 Bank of America	02/08/2021	2.550	240,000	241,351.20	240,252.00	240,412.80
1,680,000       1,441,738.41       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       1,435,588.70       5,616,657.16         1-00-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       10,476,629.43       9,935,955.16       9,224,917.86         1-00-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       2,835.71       2,316.49       1,295.94         UBS RMA Government Portfolio       -       -       -       -       -         Accrued interest       200.54       250.68       217.25       3,036.25       2,567.17       1,513.19         Certificates of Deposit       Issuer       Maturity Date       Rate       Face Value       -       -       -       -         1       US Bank USA       10/16/2019       2.500       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         244,000       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56       -			o Same Mae Bank	05/10/2021	2.450	240,000	241,096.80	239,784.00	-
Acct. Total       5,669,980.31       5,646,954.42       5,616,657.16         Total Managed Accounts       10,476,629.43       9,935,955.16       9,224,917.86         1-00-1121-000       UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted       2,835.71       2,316.49       1,295.94         UBS Rake USA Dep acct       2,835.71       2,316.49       1,295.94       2       2         UBS RMA Government Portfolio       2.00.54       250.66       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       1,513.19         Certificates of Deposit       Issuer       Maturity Date       Rate       Face Value       7						1,680,000	1,441,738.41	1,435,588.70	1,435,399.88
Image Interview       Image Imag						Acct. Total	5,669,980.31	5,646,954.42	5,616,657.16
1-00-1121-000         UBS Rate Stabilization Fund (SS 24016) - District Restricted           UBS Bank USA Dep acct         2,835.71         2,316.49         1,295.94           UBS RMA Government Portfolio         -         -         -         -           Accrued interest         200.54         250.68         217.25           3,036.25         2,567.17         1,513.19           Certificates of Deposit         Maturity Date         Rate         Face Value         -         -           1         US Bank USA         10/16/2019         2.500         244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -           244,0000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56         -					Total Man	aged Accounts	10,476,629.43	9,935,955.16	9,224,917.86
UBS Bank USA Dep acct       2,835.71       2,316.49       1,295.94         UBS RMA Government Portfolio       -       -       -       -         Accrued interest       200.54       250.68       217.25         3,036.25       2,567.17       1,513.19         Certificates of Deposit         1       US Bank USA       10/16/2019       2.500       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         1       US Bank USA       10/16/2019       2.500       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56       -									
UBS RMA Government Portfolio	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District	Restricted					
Line of the first interest       200.34       230.05       217.23         Certificates of Deposit       Issuer       Maturity Date       Rate       Face Value       3,036.25       2,567.17       1,513.19         1       US Bank USA       10/16/2019       2.500       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56       -	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District	Restricted			2,835.71	2,316.49	1,295.94
Image: Certificates of Deposit         Issuer         Maturity Date         Rate         Face Value           1         US Bank USA         10/16/2019         2.500         244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest	Restricted			2,835.71 - 200 54	2,316.49 - 250 68	1,295.94
Issuer         Maturity Date         Rate         Face Value           1         US Bank USA         10/16/2019         2.500         244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56         244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56         244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56         245,632.75         245,632.75         245,632.75           3         GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS         10,966,271.80         10,978,197.33         9,623,377.42           1-00-1135-000         2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)         Increase (Decrease) in Funds         (11,925.53)         10,978,197.33         9,718,251.77           Issuance Funds         Issuance Funds         Issuance Funds         Increase (Decrease) in Funds         9,718,142.15         9,699,313.20         9,718,251.77           12,260.00         9,730,473.42         9,711,620.61         9,730,511.77         12,260.00         9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern	zation Fund (SS 24016) - <i>District</i> ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest	Restricted			2,835.71 	2,316.49 	1,295.94 - 217.25 <b>1,513.19</b>
1       US Bank USA       10/16/2019       2.500       244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         244,000       244,226.92       244,087.84       244,119.56         Acct. Total       247,263.17       246,655.01       245,632.75         GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS       10,966,271.80       10,978,197.33       9,623,377.42         Increase (Decrease) in Funds       (11,925.53)       10,978,197.33       9,623,377.42         1-00-1135-000       2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)       9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,251.77         Issuance Funds        9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,260.00       9,730,473.42       9,711,620.61       9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit	Restricted			2,835.71 	2,316.49 	1,295.94 - 217.25 <b>1,513.19</b>
1.0, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 1	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct nment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit Issuer	Restricted Maturity Date	Rate	Face Value	2,835.71 	2,316.49 	1,295.94 - 217.25 <b>1,513.19</b>
244,000         244,226.92         244,087.84         244,119.56           Acct. Total         247,263.17         246,655.01         245,632.75           GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS         10,966,271.80         10,978,197.33         9,623,377.42           Increase (Decrease) in Funds         (11,925.53)         10,978,197.33         9,623,377.42           1-00-1135-000         2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)         9,718,142.15         9,699,313.20         9,718,251.77           Issuance Funds         9,718,142.15         9,699,313.20         9,718,251.77         12,260.00           9,730,473.42         9,711,620.61         9,730,511.77         12,260.00         9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct iment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit Issuer 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date	<b>Rate</b>	Face Value	2,835.71 	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 <b>1,513.19</b> 244 119.56
Acct. Total       247,263.17       246,655.01       245,632.75         GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS       10,966,271.80       10,978,197.33       9,623,377.42         Increase (Decrease) in Funds       (11,925.53)       10,978,197.33       9,623,377.42         1-00-1135-000       2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)       9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,251.77         Issuance Funds       9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,251.77         12,230.21       12,200.00       9,730,473.42       9,711,620.61       9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit Issuer 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019	<b>Rate</b> 2.500	Face Value 244,000	2,835.71 	2,316.49 250.68 <b>2,567.17</b> 244,087.84	1,295.94 
Acct. Total       247,263.17       246,655.01       245,632.75         GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS       10,966,271.80       10,978,197.33       9,623,377.42         Increase (Decrease) in Funds       (11,925.53)       10       9,623,377.42         1-00-1135-000       2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)       9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,251.77         Construction Funds       9,718,142.15       9,699,313.20       9,718,251.77       12,260.00         9,730,473.42       9,730,473.42       9,711,620.61       9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ament Portfolio Accrued interest eposit ISSUER 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019	<b>Rate</b> 2.500	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000	2,835.71 	2,316.49 250.68 2,567.17 244,087.84 - 244,087.84	1,295.94 - 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 - 244,119.56
GRAND TOTAL CASH AND INVESTMENTS         10,966,271.80         10,978,197.33         9,623,377.42           Increase (Decrease) in Funds         (11,925.53)	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit Issuer 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019	<b>Rate</b> 2.500	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 - 244,119.56
Increase (Decrease) in Funds         (11,925.53)           1-00-1135-000         2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit Issuer 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019	Rate 2.500	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 244,119.56 245,632.75
1-00-1135-000         2018A Bonds - Project Funds (BNY Mellon)           Construction Funds         9,718,142.15         9,699,313.20         9,718,251.77           Issuance Funds         12,331.27         12,307.41         12,260.00           9,730,473.42         9,711,620.61         9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019 GRAND TOTAL	Rate 2.500 CASH AND	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 247,263.17 10,966,271.80	2,316.49 - 250.68 <b>2,567.17</b> 244,087.84 - 244,087.84 246,655.01 10,978,197.33	1,295.94 - 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 - 244,119.56 - 245,632.75 9,623,377.42
Construction Funds         9,718,142.15         9,699,313.20         9,718,251.77           Issuance Funds         12,331.27         12,307.41         12,260.00           9,730,473.42         9,711,620.61         9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019 GRAND TOTAL Inv	Rate 2.500 CASH AND crease (Decc	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total INVESTMENTS rease) in Funds	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 247,263.17 10,966,271.80 (11,925.53)	2,316.49 - 250.68 <b>2,567.17</b> 244,087.84 - 244,087.84 10,978,197.33	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 244,119.56 245,632.75 9,623,377.42
Sconsulation runus         9,718,142.15         9,599,513.20         9,718,251.77           Issuance Funds         12,331.27         12,307.41         12,260.00           9,730,473.42         9,711,620.61         9,730,511.77	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019 GRAND TOTAL In	Rate 2.500 CASH AND crease (Dec	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total INVESTMENTS rease) in Funds	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 247,263.17 10,966,271.80 (11,925.53)	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 - 244,119.56 244,119.56 9,623,377.42
<u>9,730,473.42</u> <u>9,711,620.61</u> <u>9,730,511.77</u>	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De 2018A Bonds - P	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit 1 US Bank USA	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019 GRAND TOTAL Inc	Rate 2.500 CASH AND crease (Decr	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total INVESTMENTS rease) in Funds	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 247,263.17 10,966,271.80 (11,925.53)	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 244,119.56 245,632.75 9,623,377.42
	1-00-1121-000	UBS Rate Stabiliz UBS Bank USA De UBS RMA Govern Certificates of De Certificates of De 2018A Bonds - Pi Construction Fur Issuance Funds	zation Fund (SS 24016) - District ep acct ment Portfolio Accrued interest eposit 1 US Bank USA roject Funds (BNY Mellon) nds	Restricted Maturity Date 10/16/2019 GRAND TOTAL Inc	Rate 2.500 CASH AND crease (Decr	Face Value 244,000 - 244,000 Acct. Total NVVESTMENTS rease) in Funds	2,835.71 200.54 3,036.25 244,226.92 244,226.92 244,226.92 247,263.17 10,966,271.80 (11,925.53) 9,718,142.15 12,331.27	2,316.49 	1,295.94 217.25 1,513.19 244,119.56 244,119.56 245,632.75 9,623,377.42 9,718,251.77 12,260.00

#### PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

			2019 C	sch Elow Pop		12 2010 America	and Durdmath)							Budget 2020
	lanuary	Fobruary	2019 Co		May	. 15, 2018 Approv	/ed Budget)	August	Sontombor	Octobor	November	December	VTD	Carryover
= Total Cash Reginning Balance (BLIDGET)	11 758 902	11 719 239	11 701 222	9 716 957	11 223 622	11 836 793	11 371 326	11 142 978	10 898 854	8 880 124	9 040 500	9 425 377		Information
Total Cash Beginning Balance	11,758,902	11,738,165	12,039,792	9,623,377	10,972,277	10,978,197	10,966,272	10,544,504	10,250,175	8,231,241	8,326,412	8,621,068		
	,,	,,		1 000 000	2,012,500	0,474,500	2,422,022	2,404,500	2,022,502	2, 12, 1000	2,420,000	2,445,500	25 722 522	
Budgeted Water Receipts	1,857,500	1,801,000	1,717,500	1,908,000	2,013,500	2,174,500	2,428,000	2,491,500	2,638,500	2,464,000	2,129,000	2,115,500	25,738,500	
DWR Refund (Operational Related)	2,209,434	1,013,010	1,788,000	1,755,912	1,017,407	1,988,434	2,428,000	2,491,500	2,038,500	2,464,000	2,129,000	2,115,500	25,040,150	
Other				-,55 <b>5</b>		7,234							-	
Total Operating Revenue (BUDGET)													-	
Total Operating Revenue (ACTUAL)	2,209,434	1,813,810	1,788,600	1,760,905	1,817,467	1,995,728	2,428,000	2,491,500	2,638,500	2,464,000	2,129,000	2,115,500	25,652,443	
Total Operating Expenses excl GAC (BUDGET)	(1,703,728)	(1,470,089)	(1,442,592)	(1,552,592)	(1,724,092)	(1,767,092)	(1,828,092)	(1,944,092)	(1,907,092)	(1,838,092)	(1,702,091)	(1,485,497)	(20,365,141)	
GAC (BUDGET)	(2 215 791)	(160,000)	(1 660 277)	(160,000)	(2 422 704)	-	(160,000)	(160,000)	(1 608 002)	(160,000)	(1 702 001)	(1 495 407)	(800,000)	
Operating Expenses exci GAC (ACTOAL)	(2,315,781)	(1,692,032)	(1,000,277)	(1,003,933)	(2,432,794)	(1,004,550)	(1,828,092)	(1,910,392)	(1,098,092)	(1,838,092)	(1,702,091)	(1,465,497)	(21,031,023)	
Prenaid Insurance (naid)/refunded	(123,870)	-	-	(123,870)	-	-	(160,000)	(180,000)	- (209.000)	(160,000)	-	(160,000)	(887,752)	
Total Operating Expense (ACTUAL)	(2.439.657)	(1.692.032)	(1.660.277)	(1.727.809)	(2.432.794)	(1.664.550)	(1.988.092)	(2.104.092)	(1.907.092)	(1.998.092)	(1.702.091)	(1.645.497)	(22.962.075)	
												.,,,,,		
Non-Operating Revenue														
Assessments, net (BUDGET)	686.050	264.605	18.650	2,167.790	745.795	13.325	86.225	134.500	-	-	137.500	2,620.560	6,875.000	
Actual/Projected Assessments, net	649,895	302,122	33,330	1,922,586	737,077	36,359	86,225	134,500	-	-	137,500	2,620,560	6,660,154	
Asset Sale/Unencumbered Money (Taxes)													-	
RDA Pass-through (Successor Agency)	254,124					470,470						275,000	999,594	
Interest	36,770	15,070	29,281	33,027	30,415	32,419	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	251,982	
Market Adjustment	11,113	24,995	9,551	5,603	14,958	20,887							87,107	
Grant Re-imbursement	9,185								50,000			50,000	109,185	
Capital Improvement Fees - Infrastructure		1,963		2,833	3,114		25,000						32,910	
Capital Improvement Fees - Water Supply			_				50,000						50,000	
DWR Refund (Capital Related)				111,507	33,510						29,983		175,000	
Other	4,195	(3)	(19)	20,997	(4)	(11)	5,000	5,000	7,500		7,500		50,155	
Total Non-Operating Revenues (BUDGET)													-	
Iotal Non-Operating Revenues (ACIUAL)	965,283	344,147	72,142	2,096,554	819,069	560,125	1/8,/25	152,000	70,000	12,500	187,483	2,958,060	8,416,087	
Non-Operating Expenses:														
Budgeted Capital Expenditures	(80,000)	(325,000)	(235,000)	(478,000)	(291,000)	(145,000)	(195,000)	(685,000)	(179,500)	(169,500)	(126,000)	(439,785)	(3,348,785)	
Budgeted Capital Expenditures (Committed During Year)							,						-	
Actual/Projected Capital Expenditures	(34,053)	(15,749)	(507,515.89)	(106,387)	(48,125)	(64,096)	(189,295)	(135,000)	(179,500)	(184,500)	(126,000)	(89,000)	(1,679,221)	
WRB Capital Expenditures (COP - Amargosa Recharge Proj)		_		(225,626)	_			(500,000)				(395,159)	(1,120,785)	
Const. of Monitoring Wells/Test Basin (Water Supply)			(54,040)			(5,540)	(38,920)	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)	(45,000)		(293,500)	
Grade Control Structure (Water Supply)													-	
SWP Capitalized	(712,005)	(138,030)	(160,840)	(138,030)	(138,029)	(138,029)	(712,001)	(138,029)	(167,030)	(138,029)	(138,028)	(138,028)	(2,856,108)	
Investment in PRWA				(300,000)										
Butte County Water Transfer						(684,855)						(776,104)	(1,460,959)	
Bond Payments - Interest			(1,295,245)						(1,295,245)				(2,590,490)	
Principal			(588,735)						(1,117,860)				(1,706,595)	
Canital Jeases - Holman Canital (2017 Lease)							(89 477)					(89 177)	(178 952)	
Capital leases - Enterprise FM Trust (Vehicles)	(5.357)	(6.136)	(6.121)	(6.121)	(7.081)	(6.121)	(6,121)	(6.121)	(6.121)	(6 121)	(6.121)	(6.121)	(73,663)	
Capital leases - Wells Fargo (Printers)	(4,382)	(4,382)	(4,382)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(4,587)	(54,427)	
Total Non-Operating Expenses (ACTUAL)	(755,797)	(164,298)	(2,616,879)	(780,750)	(197,821)	(903,228)	(1,040,401)	(833,737)	(2,820,343)	(383,237)	(319,736)	(1,498,476)	(12,014,702)	
Total Cash Ending Balance (PUDGET)	11 710 220	11 701 222	9 716 957	11 222 622	11 836 702	11 371 226	11 1/2 079	10 809 954	8 880 124	9 0/0 500	9 425 277	11 649 020		
Total Cash Ending Balance (BODGET)	11,738.165	12,039.792	9,623.377	10,972.277	10,978.197	10,966.272	10,544.504	10,250.175	8,231.241	8,326.412	8,621.068	10,550.655		
=	,,	,	-,,	-,,	-,,	-,	-,	-,	_,,	-,,	Budget	11,649,020	Carryover	-
											Difference		Adj. Difference	#VALUE!
			42.000	44 750 500	45 005 000	40.7/7.7/0	42.055.440	42.45.575	10.070.700			44 7-0 00-		
2018 Cash Ending Balance (ACTUAL)	14,185,206	14,474,248	13,088,750	14,/53,/69	15,025,830	15,/4/,/42	12,855,412	12,404,076	10,070,582	9,788,348	9,181,313	11,758,902		

Indicates actual expenditures/revenues:

Indicates anticipated expenditures/revenues:

#### 7/9/2019

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

## BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:July 10, 2019July 22, 2019TO:Board of DirectorsBoard MeetingFROM:Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFOVIA:Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General ManagerRE:AGENDA ITEM 8.1.b - STATUS REPORT ON 2019 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS,<br/>REVENUE, AND EXPENSE AND DEPARTMENTAL BUDGET REPORTS FOR<br/>JUNE 2019

## **Discussion:**

Presented here are the Balance Sheet and Profit/Loss Statement for the period ending June 30, 2019. Also included are Year-To-Year Comparisons, Quarter-To-Quarter Comparisons, and Month-To-Month Comparisons for both revenue and expense. Finally, I have provided individual departmental budget reports through the month of June 2019.

This is the 6<sup>th</sup> month/2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of the District's Budget Year 2019. The target percentage is 50%. Revenues ideally are at or above, and expenditures ideally are below.

### **Balance Sheet:**

- Pages 1 and 2 is our balance sheet on June 30, 2019.
- There are no significant changes from May to June.

## **Profit/Loss Statement:**

- Page 4 is our profit/loss statement on June 30, 2019.
- Operating revenue is at 43% of budget.
- Cash operating expense is at 46% of budget.
- All departmental budgets are at or below the target percentage, except for Engineering and Human Resources, which was discussed in prior meetings.
- Revenues have exceeded expenses for the month by \$904K, and year-to-date revenues have exceeded expenditures by \$529K.
- Under Non-Operating Revenues, interest earnings have exceeded budget by \$114K due to bond proceeds continuing to sit and earn interest as the grade control project is on hold.
- Page 7 is showing the distribution of expense between labor and operations. Labor costs are currently at 58% of total expenses with salaries making up 40% of that.

## Year-To-Year Comparison P&L:

- Page 8 is our comparison of June 2018 to June 2019.
- Total operating revenue is up \$15K, or 1%.
- Operating expenditures are down \$970K, or 44%.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

VIA: Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager

• Page 9 is a graphic presentation of water consumption comparison for 2018

-2-

- Units billed in acre feet were down by 129, or 8%.
- Total revenue per unit sold was up \$0.27, or 9%.
- Total revenue per connection was up \$0.50, or .6%.
- Units billed per connection was down 2.13, or 8%.
- Page 10 is our comparison of June 2017 to June 2019.
- Total operating revenue was up \$56K, or 3%.
- Total operating expenses were down \$414K, or 25%.
- Page 11 is a graphic presentation of the water consumption comparison for 2017.
  - Units billed in acre feet were down by 156, or 9%.
  - Total revenue per unit sold was up \$0.37, or 13%.
  - Total revenue per connection was up \$2.02, or 3%.
  - Units billed per connection is down 2.63, or 9%.

## **Quarter-To-Quarter Comparison P&L:**

- Page 11-1 is our 1<sup>st</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter comparison
- Total operating revenue increased \$710K, or 14%.
- Total operating expense increased \$90K, or 2%.
- Units billed increased by 660K.
- Revenue per unit sold decreased \$1.58.
- Revenue per connection increased \$8.83.
- Units sold per connection increased by 8.23.
- Page 11-2 is our yearly 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter comparison
- Total operating revenue decreased \$59K, or 1%.
- Total operating expense decreased \$1.3M, or 20%.
- Units billed decreased by 108K.
- Revenue per unit sold increased \$1.76.
- Revenue per connection decreased \$9.63.
- Units sold per connection decreased 9.61.

## **Revenue Analysis Year-To-Date:**

- Page 12 is our comparison of revenue, year-to-date.
- Operating revenue through June 2019 is down \$120K, or 1%.
- Retail water revenue from all areas are down by \$71K from last year. That's shown by the combined green highlighted area.
- Retail water sales excluding meter fees, is down \$457K.
- Total revenue is up \$144K, or 1%.
- Operating revenue is at 43% of budget, last year was at 47% of budget.

## **Expense Analysis Year-To-Date:**

- Page 14 is our comparison of expense, year-to-date.
- Cash Operating Expenses through June 2019 are down \$640K, or 6%, compared to 2018, note that the 2019 budget is approximately \$1.2M less than 2018.
- Total Expenses are down \$612K, or 4%.

## **Departments:**

• Pages 17 through 27 are detailed individual departmental budgets for your review.

## **Non-Cash Definitions:**

**Depreciation:** This is the spreading of the total expense of a capital asset over the expected life of that asset.

**OPEB Accrual Expense:** Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) is the recognized annual required contribution to the benefit. The amount is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB 45. The amount represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year.

Bad Debt: The uncollectible accounts receivable that has been written off.

**Service Cost Construction:** The value of material, parts & supplies from inventory used to construct, repair and maintain our asset infrastructure.

Capitalized Construction: The value of our labor force used to construct our asset infrastructure.

## Palmdale Water District Balance Sheet Report For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019

		June	Мау
	2	2019	2019
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivelents	\$	240,435	\$ 795,587
Investments		10,723,893	10,182,610
Accrued interest receivable		-	-
Accounts receivable - water sales and services, net		1,753,716	1,571,645
Accounts receivable - property taxes and assessments		672,145	708,504
Accounts receivable - other		10,103	10,103
Materials and supplies inventory		1,476,371	1,508,282
Prepaid items and other deposits		352,672	303,734
Total Current Assets	\$	15,229,335	\$ 15,080,465
Non-Current Assets:			
Restricted - cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,730,473	\$ 9,711,621
Investment in Palmdale Recycled Water Authority		1,668,290	1,668,290
Capital assets - not being depreciated		14,812,756	14,620,616
Capital assets - being depreciated, net		142,266,903	142,115,088
Total Non-Current Assets	\$	168,478,422	\$ 168,115,615
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	183,707,757	\$ 183,196,079
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:			
Deferred loss on debt defeasence, net	\$	2,086,785	\$ 2,099,843
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions		3,364,969	3,364,969
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$	5,451,754	\$ 5,464,812
TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF			
RESOURCES	\$	189,159,511	\$ 188,660,892

## Palmdale Water District Balance Sheet Report For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019

	 June 2019	1	May 2019
LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 128,649	\$	456,313
Customer deposits for water service	3,042,331		3,038,060
Construction and developer deposits	1,623,277		1,623,277
Accrued interest payable	644,063		429,710
Long-term liabilities - due in one year:	-		-
Compensated absences	384,319		384,319
Capital lease payable	82,364		82,364
Loan payable	597,860		597,860
Revenue bonds payable	 520,000		520,000
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 7,022,862	\$	7,131,902
Non-Current Liabilities:			
Long-term liabilities - due in more than one year:			
Compensated absences	\$ 128,106	\$	128,106
Capital lease payable	429,316		429,316
Loan payable	8,688,812		8,700,410
Revenue bonds payable	53,490,000		53,490,000
Net other post employment benefits payable	14,240,495		14,133,436
Aggregate net pension liability	 9,809,458		9,809,458
Total Non-Current Liabilities	\$ 86,786,188	\$	86,690,726
Total Liabilities	\$ 93,809,050	\$	93,822,627
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:			
Unearned property taxes and assessments	\$ -	\$	550,000
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	585,837		585,837
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$ 585,837	\$	1,135,837
NET POSITION:			
Profit/(Loss) from Operations	\$ (943,672)	\$	(2,005,869)
Restricted for investment in Palmdale Recycled Water Authority	1,974,945		1,974,945
Unrestricted	93,733,350		93,733,350
Total Net Position	\$ 94,764,624	\$	93,702,427
AND NET POSITION	\$ 189,159,511	\$	188,660,892

## BALANCE SHEET AS OF JUNE 30, 2019



## Palmdale Water District Consolidated Profit and Loss Statement For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019

		Thru								Adjusted	% of
		May		June	Y	'ear-to-Date	Ac	ljustments		Budget	Budget
Operating Revenue:											
Wholesale Water	\$	103,617	\$	48 639	\$	152 256			\$	295 000	51 61%
Water Sales	Ŧ	2,298,432	Ŧ	749 595	Ψ	3 048 027			Ψ	9 653 000	31 58%
Meter Fees		5.804.549		1,165,538		6,970,087				13 719 000	50.81%
Water Quality Fees		222,182		73,107		295 289				826 500	35 73%
Elevation Fees		89,746		32,479		122 225				370,000	33.03%
Other		387,646		60 205		447 851				875,000	51 18%
Total Operating Revenue	\$	8,906,172	\$	2.129.563	\$	11.035.736	\$		\$	25.738.500	42.88%
Cash Operating Exponses:		-,,		_,,		,			+	20,100,000	1210070
Directors	¢	44 374	¢	10 095	¢	55 250			¢	140 500	20 400/
Administration-Services	φ	925 154	φ	155 121	φ	1 090 295			Φ	140,500	59.40%
Administration District		925,154		155,151		1,000,200				2,119,700	50.96%
Engineering*		605 972		90,000		040,042		(84.800)		2,166,500	39.03%
Engineering		090,072		129,873		825,745		(84,809)		1,511,741	54.62%
Operations		2,011,170		340,101		3,157,277				6,598,000	47.85%
Einanco		1,340,047		159,466		1,500,313				3,012,000	49.81%
Water Concentration		102 462		17 942		608,743				1,289,750	47.20%
Human Resources		108,462		17,843		126,305				374,150	33.76%
Information Technology*		223,372		15,923		239,494		04.000		438,600	54.60%
Customer Core		385,473		104,903		490,376		84,809		1,040,859	47.11%
Customer Care		581,293		104,744		686,036				1,347,700	50.90%
Blant Expanditures		644,336		(2,792)		641,544				1,905,000	33.68%
CAC Eilter Medie Benlessment		125,429		-		125,429				212,000	59.16%
GAC Filler Media Replacement	¢	123,876	•	4 005 000	•	123,876	•		•	800,000	15.48%
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	9,281,136	\$	1,225,288	\$	10,506,424	\$		\$	22,956,500	45.77%
Net Cash Operating Profit/(Loss)	¢	(374 963)	¢	004 275	¢	520 242	¢		¢	2 792 000	10 020/
net out operating ( Tonit (2033)	Ψ	(014,000)	Ψ	304,213	Ψ	525,512	Ψ		φ	2,702,000	19.03 /0
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:											
Depreciation	\$	2 204 034	\$	433 184	\$	2 637 218			\$	5 050 000	52 22%
OPEB Accrual Expense	Ψ	638 550	Ψ	127 710	Ψ	766 260			Ψ	1 750 000	13 70%
Bad Debts		13 485		(6 942)		6 543				50,000	13 00%
Service Costs Construction		8 645		(1,651)		6 995				100,000	6 00%
Capitalized Construction		(397 829)		(125 525)		(523 354)				(600,000)	87 23%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	2,466,885	\$	426 777	Ś	2 893 662	\$	-	\$	6 350 000	45 57%
	<u> </u>	1,100,000	*	120,111	¥	2,000,002	¥		Ŷ	0,000,000	40.01 /0
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(2,841,848)	\$	477,499	\$	(2,364,350)	\$	-	\$	(3,568,000)	66.27%
Non-Operating Revenues:											
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$	1,980,000	\$	396,000	\$	2,376,000			\$	5,125,000	46.36%
Assessments (1%)		1,024,124		624,470		1,648,594				2,300,000	71.68%
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		145,017		-		145,017				175,000	82.87%
Interest		210,784		53,306		264,090				150,000	176.06%
CIF - Infrastructure		7,910		-		7,910				18,750	42.19%
CIF - Water Supply		-		-		-				56,250	0.00%
Grants - State and Federal		9,185		-		9,185				100,000	9.19%
Other		25,166		(11)		25,155				50,000	50.31%
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	3,402,186	\$	1,073,765	\$	4,475,951	\$		\$	7,975,000	56.12%
Non-Operating Expenses:											
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	1,095,984	\$	216 636	\$	1 312 620			\$	2 648 000	49 57%
Amortization of SWP	Ŧ	1,189,032	*	237 885	Ψ	1,426,917			Ψ	2 881 000	49 53%
Change in Investments in PRWA		306.655				306 655				300 000	102 22%
Water Conservation Programs		4 645		4 436		9 080				236 500	3 84%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	2.596.316	\$	458.956	\$	3.055.273	\$	-	\$	6.065.500	50.37%
	*	_,,	*		*	5,000,210	*		¥	5,000,000	00.01 /0
Net Earnings	\$	(2,035,979)	\$	1,092,307	\$	(943,672)	\$	-	\$	(1,658,500)	56.90%

\* Budget adjustments by Board action 03/25/19







## Palmdale Water District Profit and Loss Statement Year-To-Year Comparison - June

		2018		2019			%	Consump	tion Comp	arison
		June		June		Change	Change		2018	2019
		X						Units Billed	721,065	664,724
Operating Revenue:										
Wholesale Water	\$	3,810	\$	48,639	\$	44,829	1176.71%	Active	26,686	26,710
Water Sales		817,891		749,595		(68,296)	-8.35%	Vacant	711	708
Meter Fees		1,098,935		1,165,538		66,603	6.06%			
Water Quality Fees		79,315		73,107		(6,207)	-7.83%	Rev/unit	\$ 2.93	\$ 3.20
Elevation Fees		39,047		32,479		(6,567)	-16.82%	Rev/con	\$ 79.23	\$ 79.73
Other		75,373		60,205		(15,168)	-20.12%	Unit/con	27.02	24.89
Total Operating Revenue	\$	2,114,370	\$	2,129,563	\$	15,193	0.72%			
Orah Oranting Francisco										
Cash Operating Expenses:	•	10.004	•	10.005	•	(1.100)	0.4704			
Directors	\$	12,094	\$	10,985	\$	(1,109)	-9.17%			
Administration-Services		130,231		155,131		24,899	19.12%			
Administration-District		210,022		96,533		(113,489)	-54.04%			
Engineering		117,690		129,873		12,184	10.35%			
Facilities		550,421		346,101		(204,320)	-37.12%			
Operations		358,332		159,466		(198,866)	-55.50%			
Finance		117,840		86,580		(31,260)	-26.53%			
Water Conservation		16,972		17,843		871	5.13%			
Human Resources		18,859		15,923		(2,936)	-15.57%			
Information Technology		56,311		104,903		48,592	86.29%			
Customer Care		96,627		104,744		8,117	8.40%			
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		287,791		(2,792)		(290, 583)	-100.97%			
Plant Expenditures		52,530		-		(52,530)	-100.00%			
GAC Filter Media Replacement		169,477		-		(169.477)	-100.00%			
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	2,195,197	\$	1,225,288	\$	(969,908)	-44.18%			
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:	•		•	100 101	•	(10.010)	0.000/			
Depreciation	\$	446,497	\$	433,184	\$	(13,313)	-2.98%			
OPEB Accrual Expense		127,710		127,710			0.00%			
Bad Debts		660		(6,942)		(7,602)	-1151.28%			
Service Costs Construction		(4,510)		(1,651)		2,859	-63.40%			
Capitalized Construction	-	(99,718)		(125,525)		(25,807)	25.88%			
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	470,639	\$	426,777	\$	(43,863)	-9.32%			
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(551,466)	\$	477,499	\$	1,028,964	-186.59%			
Non-Operating Revenues:										
Assessments (Debt Service)	¢	440 417	¢	206 000	¢	(11 117)	10 00%			
Assessments (1%)	φ	546,000	φ	590,000	φ	(44,417)	-10.09%			
DM/R Fixed Charge Resevent		540,909		024,470		77,501	14.1070			
Interest		45 555		-		-	040.00%			
		15,555		53,306		37,751	242.69%			
CIF - Infrastructure		4,277		-		(4,277)	-100.00%			
CIF - Water Supply		-		-						
Grants - State and Federal		-		-		-				
Other		(1)		(11)		(10)				
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	1,007,157	\$	1,073,765	\$	66,608	6.61%			
Non-Operating Expenses:										
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	185 803	\$	216 636	\$	30 833	16 59%			
Amortization of SWP	Ŧ	216 738	Ψ	237 885	Ψ	21 147	9.76%			
Change in Investments in PRWA		2.0,700		_0.,000			0.7070			
Water Conservation Programs		8 005		1 126		(4 560)	-50 60%			
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	411 537	\$	458 956	\$	47 420	11 52%			
total non operating Expenses	_Ψ	411,007	Ψ	400,000	Ψ	77,720	11.0270			
Net Earnings	\$	44,155	\$	1,092,307	\$	1,048,153	2373.81%			
					_					

## YEAR-TO-YEAR COMPARISON June 2018 - To - June 2019



	2018	2019	Change	
Units Billed (AF)	1,655	1,526	-129	-7.81%
Active Connections	26,686	26,710	24	0.09%
Non-Active	711	708	-3	-0.42%
Total Revenue per Unit	\$2.93	\$3.20	\$0.27	9.26%
Total Revenue per Connection	\$79.23	\$79.73	\$0.50	0.63%
Units Billed per Connection	27.02	24.89	-2.13	-7.90%

## Palmdale Water District Profit and Loss Statement Year-To-Year Comparison-2 Years - June

		2017		2019			%	Consum	Consumption Compariso			
	<i>.</i>	June		June		Change	Change	-	2017		2019	
Operating Revenues								Units Billed	732,76	5	664,724	
	¢	171	¢	49 620	¢	40 407		Actives	00.00	4	00 740	
	Φ	171	Ф	40,039	Ф	46,467	0.000/	Active	20,03	1	26,710	
vvater Sales		820,965		749,595		(71,369)	-8.69%	Vacant	78	1	708	
Meter Fees		1,061,105		1,165,538		104,433	9.84%					
Water Quality Fees		87,932		73,107		(14,824)	-16.86%				and the companying the	
Elevation Fees		39,260		32,479		(6,781)	-17.27%	Rev/unit	\$ 2.83	\$ \$	3.20	
Other		63,611		60,205		(3,406)	-5.36%	Rev/con	\$ 75.45	5 9	5 77.48	
Drought Surcharge		-		-				Unit/con	27.52	2	24.89	
Total Operating Revenue	\$	2,073,044	\$	2,129,563	\$	56,519	2.73%					
Cash Operating Expenses:												
Directors	\$	7,838	\$	10,985	\$	3,147	40.15%					
Administration-Services		124,329		155,131		30,801	24.77%					
Administration-District		212 448		96 533		(115,915)	-54 56%					
Engineering		108 833		129 873		21 040	19 33%					
Engliteering		462 127		246 101		(116 026)	25 110/					
Operations		402,127		150,101		(110,020)	-25.11%					
Operations		209,637		159,466		(50,171)	-23.93%					
Finance		87,515		86,580		(936)	-1.07%					
Water Conservation		16,024		17,843		1,818	11.35%					
Human Resources		30,959		15,923		(15,036)	-48.57%					
Information Technology		43,233		104,903		61,669	142.64%					
Customer Care		93,867		104,744		10,876	11.59%					
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		257,588		(2,792)		(260,380)	-101.08%					
Plant Expenditures		(14,653)		-		14,653	-100.00%					
GAC Filter Media Replacement		-		-		-						
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	1,639,747	\$	1,225,288	\$	(414,459)	-25.28%					
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:												
Depreciation	\$	465.041	\$	433,184	\$	(31,857)	-6.85%					
OPEB Accrual Expense	T	182 900	*	127 710	•	(55, 189)	-30 17%					
Bad Debts		102,000		(6 942)		(6 942)	00.1770					
Sancia Casta Construction		(21 602)		(0,342)		10,051	02 26%					
Cenitelized Construction		(21,002)		(1,001)		(61,461)	-92.30%					
Capitalized Construction	-	(64,064)	-	(125,525)	-	(61,461)	95.94%					
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	562,275	\$	426,777	\$	(135,498)	-24.10%					
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(128,977)	\$	477,499	\$	606,476	-470.22%					
Non-Operating Revenues:												
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$	440,417	\$	396,000	\$	(44,417)	-10.09%					
Assessments (1%)		142,917		624,470		481,553	336.95%					
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		46,158		-		(46,158)	-100.00%					
Interest		(250)		53,306		53,556	-21443.72%					
CIF - Infrastructure		-				-						
CIF - Water Supply		-		-		-						
Grants - State and Federal		-		-		-						
Other		21		(11)		(31)	-151 31%					
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	629,262	\$	1,073,765	\$	444,503	70.64%					
Non-Operating Expenses:												
Interest on Long-Term Debt	¢	180 607	¢	216 636	¢	36 020	10 05%					
Amerization of SMD	Ψ	216 729	Ψ	210,000	Ψ	21 147	0.76%					
Change in Investments in DDMA		210,736		237,000		21,147	9.70%					
Change in investments in PRVVA		-		-		-	· · · · · ·					
vvater Conservation Programs	-	4,912	<u>^</u>	4,436	-	(477)	-9.71%					
lotal Non-Operating Expenses	\$	402,258	\$	458,956	\$	56,699	14.10%					
Net Earnings	\$	98,027	\$	1,092,307	\$	994,280	1014.29%					

de .

# YEAR-TO-YEAR COMPARISON June 2017 -To -June 2019



	2017	2019	Change	
Units Billed (AF)	1,682	1,526	-156	-9.29%
Active Connections	26,631	26,710	79	0.30%
Non-Active	787	708	-79	-10.04%
Total Revenue per Unit	\$2.83	\$3.20	\$0.37	13.24%
Total Revenue per Connection	\$75.45	\$77.48	\$2.02	2.68%
Units Billed per Connection	27.52	24.89	-2.63	-9.55%

## Palmdale Water District Profit and Loss Statement Quarterly Comparison - June

		1st Qtr		2nd Qtr			%	Consur	nptio	on Comp	arison		
		March		June		Change	Change		1	st Qtr	2nd Qtr	1	
						v		Units Billed	1,0	12,539	1,672,105	5	
Operating Revenue:													
Wholesale Water	\$	66,088	\$	77,744	\$	11,656	17.64%	Active		80,083	80,11	4	
Water Sales		1,189,044		1,858,983		669,939	56.34%	Vacant		2,141	2,13	1	
Meter Fees		3,480,418		3,489,669		9,250	0.27%						
Water Quality Fees		111,373		183,917		72,544	65.14%						
Elevation Fees		41,404		80,821		39,417	95.20%	Rev/unit	\$	5.09	\$ 3.51	1	
Other		270,228		177,221		(93,007)	-34.42%	Rev/con	\$	64.42	\$ 73.25	5	
Total Operating Revenue	\$	5,158,554	\$	5,868,355	\$	709,800	13.76%	Unit/con		12.64	20.87	7	
Cash Operating Expenses:													
Directors	\$	23,278	\$	32,080	\$	8 802	37 81%						
Administration-Services	•	504,125	-	570,341	Ŧ	66 216	13 13%						
Administration-District		396,517		346,777		(49 740)	-12 54%						
Engineering		400 503		407 554		7 052	1 76%						
Facilities		1 394 472		1 725 028		330 556	23 70%						
Operations		658 324		825 736		167 413	25 43%						
Finance		302 804		299 097		(3 708)	-1 22%						
Water Conservation		56 424		69 881		13 457	23.85%						
Human Resources		138 451		109 313		(29 138)	-21.05%						
Information Technology		247 617		241 885		(5 732)	-2 31%						
Customer Care		339 141		334 435		(4,706)	-1 39%						
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		311 002		142 293		(168 709)	-54 25%						
Plant Expenditures		117 261		142,200		(100,703) (117,261)	-100.00%						
GAC Filter Media Replacement		123 876		_		(123 876)	-100.00%						
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	5 013 795	\$	5 104 421	\$	90.626	1 81%						
Total Ousil Operating Expenses	Ψ	5,015,755	Ψ	5,104,421	Ψ	30,020	1.0176						
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:													
Depreciation	¢	1 320 040	¢	1 316 277	¢	(4 663)	0 35%						
OPER Accrual Expanse	φ	383 130	φ	383 120	φ	(4,003)	-0.35%						
Bad Debts		17 260		(10 726)		(27.005)	162 11%						
Service Costs Construction		(6,760)		5 261		(27,995)	177 920/						
Capitalized Construction		(122,612)		(202 240)		(260,627)	-1/7.03%						
Total Non-Cash Operating Exponses	¢	1 501 068	¢	(392,249)	¢	(209,037)	19.91%						
		1,591,900	\$	1,301,094	φ •	(290,275)	-10.23 /						
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(1,447,209)	\$	(537,760)	\$	909,449	-62.84%						
Non-Operating Revenues:													
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$	1,188,000	\$	1,188,000	\$	0	0.00%						
Assessments (1%)		716,124		932,470		216,346	30.21%						
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		-		145,017		145,017							
Interest		126,780		137,309		10,529	8.30%						
CIF - Infrastructure		1,963		5,947		3,983	202.90%						
CIF - Water Supply		-		-									
Grants - State and Federal		9,185				(9,185)	-100.00%						
Other		4,172		20,982		16,810	402.92%						
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	2,046,225	\$	2,429,725	\$	383,500	18.74%						
Non-Operating Expenses:													
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	1,957,957	\$	649.908	\$	(1,308.049)	-66.81%						
Amortization of SWP	<b>T</b>	713.262		713.655		393	0.06%						
Change in Investments in PRWA		6.618		300.037		293.419	4433.33%						
Water Conservation Programs		3,887		5,193		1,306	33.61%						
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	2,681,725	\$	1,668,793	\$	(1,012,931)	-37.77%						
Net Earnings	\$	(2,082,709)	\$	223,172	\$	2,305,881	-110.72%						

### Palmdale Water District Profit and Loss Statement Quarterly Comparison

		2nd Qtr		2nd Qtr			%	Consu	mpt	ion Compa	risc	n
		2018		2019		Change	Change			2018		2019
								Units Billed		1,780,744	1,6	72,105
Operating Revenue:	¢	24 420	¢	77 744	¢	40.000	447.040/	0		00.040		
Water Sales	Ф	31,436	\$	11,144	\$	46,308	147.31%	Active		80,048		80,114
vvater Sales		1,963,541		1,858,983		(104,558)	-5.32%	Vacant		2,137		2,131
Meter Fees		3,290,605		3,489,669		199,064	6.05%					
Water Quality Fees		195,875		183,917		(11,958)	-6.10%					
Elevation Fees		91,463		80,821		(10,642)	-11.64%	Rev/unit	\$	3.33	\$	5.09
Other		354,818		177,221		(177,597)	-50.05%	Rev/con	\$	74.05	\$	64.42
Total Operating Revenue	\$	5,927,738	\$	5,868,355	\$	(59,383)	-1.00%	Unit/con		22.25		12.64
Cash Operating Expenses:												
Directors	\$	34 127	\$	32 080	\$	(2 046)	-6.00%					
Administration-Services	Ψ	476 366	Ψ	570 341	Ψ	93 975	10 73%					
Administration-District		456 005		346 777		(109 228)	-23 95%					
Engineering		400,000		407 554		(103,220)	-20.95%					
Engliteering		1 055 222		1 725 029		(40,100)	-0.90 %					
Operations		1,955,525		1,725,020		(230,294)	-11.70%					
Einenee		901,074		020,730		(135,336)	-14.08%					
Finance Water Concernation		333,670		299,097		(34,573)	-10.36%					
Water Conservation		58,800		69,881		11,081	18.85%					
Human Resources		93,774		109,313		15,540	16.57%					
Information Technology		181,644		241,885		60,240	33.16%					
Customer Care		347,153		334,435		(12,718)	-3.66%					
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		563,745		142,293		(421,452)	-74.76%					
Plant Expenditures		161,200		-		(161,200)	-100.00%					
GAC Filter Media Replacement		349,146		-		(349,146)						
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	6,419,681	\$	5,104,421	\$	(1,315,260)	-20.49%					
Non-Cash Operating Exponses:												
Depresiation	¢	1 242 052	¢	1 216 277	¢	(06 775)	1 00%					
	φ	1,343,052	φ	1,310,277	Φ	(20,775)	-1.99%					
DPED Accidal Expense		303,130		363,130		-	0.00%					
Bad Debts		14,448		(10,726)		(25,175)	-174.24%					
Service Costs Construction		63,441		5,261		(58,180)	-91.71%					
Capitalized Construction	-	(278,021)	-	(392,249)		(114,228)	41.09%					
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	1,526,051	\$	1,301,694	\$	(224,358)	-14.70%					
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(2,017,994)	\$	(537,760)	\$	1,480,234	-73.35%					
Non-Operating Revenues:												
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$	1,321,250	\$	1,188,000	\$	(133.250)	-10.09%					
Assessments (1%)	Ŧ	850 271	Ŧ	932 470	+	82 199	9.67%					
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		166 170		145 017		(21 153)	-12 73%					
Interest		47 854		137 309		89 455	186 93%					
CIF - Infrastructure		13 372		5 947		(7 425)	-55 53%					
CIF - Water Supply		20 205		5,547		(20,205)	-33.33%					
Grants - State and Federal		20,235		_		(20,295)	-100.00 %					
Other		(1)		20 082		20 083						
	-	(1)	•	20,902		20,903	- 100V					
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	2,419,210	\$	2,429,725	\$	10,515	0.43%					
Non Operating Exponence:												
Interest on Long Term Dabt	¢	E20 700	¢	640.000	¢	111 000	00.040/					
Amerization of CM/D	Ф	538,700	Ф	049,908	Ф	111,208	20.64%					
Amortization of SVVP		650,208		/13,655		63,447	9.76%					
Change in investments in PRVVA		301,363		300,037		(1,326)	-0.44%					
vvater Conservation Programs		41,262		5,193	<i>c</i>	(36,069)	-87.41%					
I otal Non-Operating Expenses	\$	1,531,533	\$	1,668,793	\$	137,260	8.96%	~				
Net Earnings	\$	(1,130,316)	\$	223,172	\$	1,353,489	-119.74%					

### Palmdale Water District

**Revenue Analysis** 

For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019 2019

### 2018 to 2019 Comparison

	Thru					1	Adjusted	% of				%
	Мау		June	Y	ear-to-Date		Budget	Budget	June	Ye	ear-to-Date	Change
Operating Revenue:												
Wholesale Water	\$ 103,617	\$	48,639	\$	152,256	\$	295,000	51.61%	\$ 44,829	\$	56,918	59.70%
Water Sales	2,298,432		749,595		3,048,027		9,653,000	31.58%	(68,296)		(397,094)	-11.53%
Meter Fees	5,804,549		1,165,538		6,970,087		13,719,000	50.81%	66,603		386,159	5.87%
Water Quality Fees	222,182		73,107		295,289		826,500	35.73%	(6,207)		(33,867)	-10.29%
Elevation Fees	89,746		32,479		122,225		370,000	33.03%	(6,567)		(26,371)	-17.75%
Other	387,646		60,205		447,851		875,000	51.18%	(15,168)		(105,574)	-19.08%
Total Water Sales	\$ 8,906,172	\$	2,129,563	\$	11,035,736	\$:	25,738,500	42.88%	\$ 15,193	\$	(119,829)	-1.08%
Non-Operating Revenues:												
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$ 1,980,000	\$	396,000	\$	2.376.000	\$	5.125.000	46.36%	\$ (44,417)	\$	(266.500)	-10.09%
Assessments (1%)	1,024,124		624,470		1.648.594		2,300,000	71.68%	77.561		369,574	28.90%
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery	145,017		-		145.017		175.000	82.87%	-		(21,153)	-12.73%
Interest	210,784		53,306		264,090		150.000	176.06%	37,751		191,997	266.32%
CIF - Infrastructure	7,910		-		7,910		18,750	42.19%	(4.277)		(5.462)	-40.85%
CIF - Water Supply	-		-		-		56,250	0.00%	-		(20.295)	-100.00%
Grants - State and Federal	9,185		-		9,185		100,000	9.19%	-		(9.335)	-50.40%
Other	25,166		(11)		25,155		50,000	50.31%	(10)		25,134	
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$ 3,402,186	\$	1,073,765	\$	4,475,951	\$	7,975,000	56.12%	\$ 66,608	\$	263,961	6.27%
Total Revenue	\$ 12,308,358	\$	3,203,329	\$	15,511,687	\$:	33,713,500	46.01%	\$ 81,801	\$	144,132	0.94%
	20	18										
	Thru						Adiusted	% of				
	 Мау		June	Ye	ear-to-Date		Budget	Budget				

Operating Revenue:					- 11 <sup>-</sup>
Wholesale Water	\$ 91,528	\$ 3,810	\$ 95,338	\$ 160,000	59.59%
Water Sales	2,627,230	817,891	3,445,121	8,320,000	41.41%
Meter Fees	5,484,993	1,098,935	6,583,928	13,006,500	50.62%
Water Quality Fees	249,842	79,315	329,156	941,000	34.98%
Elevation Fees	109,549	39,047	148,596	360,000	41.28%
Other	478,052	75,373	553,426	800,000	69.18%
Total Water Sales	\$ 8,949,666	\$ 2,110,560	\$ 11,060,226	\$ 23,587,500	46.89%
Non-Operating Revenues:					
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$ 2,202,083	\$ 440,417	\$ 2,642,500	\$ 5,125,000	51.56%
Assessments (1%)	732,112	546,909	1,279,021	2,375,000	53.85%
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery	166,170	-	166,170	175,000	94.95%
Interest	56,537	15,555	72,092	90,000	80.10%
CIF - Infrastructure	9,094	4,277	13,372	62,500	21.39%
CIF - Water Supply	20,295	-	20,295	187,500	10.82%
Grants - State and Federal	18,520	-	18,520	178,000	10.40%
Other	 22	(1)	22	60,000	0.04%
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$ 3,204,833	\$ 1,007,157	\$ 4,211,990	\$ 8,253,000	51.04%
Total Revenue	\$ 12,154,499	\$ 3,117,718	\$ 15,272,217	\$ 31,840,500	47.96%
# **REVENUE COMPARISON YEAR-TO-DATE**

# June 2018-To- June 2019



#### Palmdale Water District Operating Expense Analysis For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019 2019

2018 to 2019 Comparison

	2015													
		Thru May		luno	v	ar-to-Dato		Adjusted	% of Budget		luno	Vo	ar to Data	% Change
Cash Operating Expenses:	-	May		Julie		ear-to-Date		Duuget	Duugei	-	Julie	Te	al-lo-Dale	Change
Directors	\$	44,374	\$	10,985	\$	55,359	\$	140 500	39 40%	\$	(1 109)	\$	(8 995)	-13 98%
Administration-Services	Ŷ	925 154	Ψ	155 131	Ψ	1 080 285	Ψ	2 119 700	50.96%	Ψ	24 899	Ψ	188 521	21 14%
Administration-District		749,109		96 533		845 642		2 166 500	39.03%		(113 489)		(69 202)	-7 56%
Engineering		695.872		129.873		825.745		1.596.550	51.72%		12,184		(47,798)	-5.47%
Facilities		2.811.176		346.101		3.157.277		6.598.000	47.85%		(204,320)		(331,699)	-9.51%
Operations		1.340.847		159,466		1.500.313		3.012.000	49.81%		(198,866)		(159.645)	-9.62%
Finance		522,164		86.580		608,743		1.289.750	47.20%		(31,260)		(19,559)	-3.11%
Water Conservation		108,462		17,843		126,305		374,150	33.76%		871		12,457	10.94%
Human Resources		223,572		15,923		239,494		438,600	54.60%		(2.936)		(8,706)	-3.51%
Information Technology		385,473		104,903		490,376		956,050	51.29%		48,592		87,904	21.84%
Customer Care		581,293		104,744		686,036		1,347,700	50.90%		8,117		17.013	2.54%
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		644,336		(2,792)		641,544		1,905,000	33.68%		(290,583)		68,660	11.98%
Plant Expenditures		125,429		-		125,429		212,000	59.16%		(52,530)		(143,848)	-53.42%
GAC Filter Media Replacement		123,876				123,876		800,000	15.48%		(169,477)		(225,270)	-64.52%
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	9,281,136	\$	1,225,288	\$	10,506,424	\$	22,956,500	45.77%	\$	(969,908)	\$	(640,167)	-6.09%
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:														
Depreciation	\$	2.204.034	\$	433,184	\$	2.637.218	\$	5.050.000	52.22%	\$	(13,313)	\$	(44, 189)	-1.65%
OPEB Accrual Expense		638,550		127,710		766.260		1,750,000	43.79%		-		-	
Bad Debts		13,485		(6,942)		6,543		50,000	13.09%		(7.602)		(76.646)	-92.13%
Service Costs Construction		8,645		(1,651)		6,995		100,000	6.99%		2.859		(59.027)	-89.41%
Capitalized Construction		(397,829)		(125,525)		(523,354)		(600,000)	87.23%		(25,807)		(166,512)	46.66%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	2,466,885	\$	426,777	\$	2,893,662	\$	6,350,000	45.57%	\$	(43,863)	\$	(346,375)	-11.97%
Non-Operating Expenses:														
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	1,095,984	\$	216,636	\$	1,312,620	\$	2,648,000	49.57%	\$	30.833	\$	229,712	21.21%
Amortization of SWP		1,189,032		237,885		1,426,917		2,881,000	49.53%		21,147		186.025	14.99%
Change in Investments in PRWA		306,655		-		306,655		300,000	102.22%				4.648	1.54%
Water Conservation Programs		4,645		4,436		9,080		236,500	3.84%		(4,560)		(46,162)	-83.56%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	2,596,316	\$	458,956	\$	3,055,273	\$	6,065,500	50.37%	\$	47,420	\$	374,223	13.96%
Total Expenses	\$	14,344,337	\$	2,111,021	\$	16,455,358	\$	35,372,000	46.52%	\$	(966,351)	\$	(612,319)	-3.59%

#### Palmdale Water District Operating Expense Analysis For the Six Months Ending 6/30/2019 2018

		20	0						
		Thru						Adjusted	% of
		May		June	Y	ear-to-Date		Budget	Budget
Cash Operating Expenses:									
Directors	\$	52,259	\$	12,094	\$	64,353	\$	140,500	45.80%
Administration-Services		761,533		130,231		891,764		1,957,200	45.56%
Administration-District		704,822		210,022		914,844		1,730,000	52.88%
Engineering		755,853		117,690		873,543		1,662,900	52.53%
Facilities		2,938,555		550,421		3,488,976		7,091,750	49.20%
Operations		1,301,625		358,332		1,659,957		3,032,007	54.75%
Finance		510,463		117,840		628,303		1,291,250	48.66%
Water Conservation		96,876		16,972		113,848		300,000	37.95%
Human Resources		229,342		18,859		248,201		636,850	38.97%
Information Technology		346,161		56,311		402,472		896,350	44.90%
Customer Care		572,396		96,627		669,023		1,312,700	50.97%
Source of Supply-Purchased Water		285,093		287,791		572,884		2,100,000	27.28%
Plant Expenditures		216,747		52,530		269,277		1,144,000	23.54%
GAC Filter Media Replacement		179,669	_	169,477		349,146		840,000	41.57%
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	8,951,394	\$2	2,195,197	\$	11,146,591	\$	24,135,507	46.18%
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:									
Depreciation	\$	2 234 910	S	446 497	\$	2 681 407	s	6 000 000	44 69%
OPEB Accrual Expense	Ψ	638 550	Ψ	127 710	Ψ	766 260	Ψ	2,300,000	33 32%
Bad Debts		82 529		660		83 189		50,000	166.38%
Service Costs Construction		70,531		(4 510)		66 022		125,000	52 82%
Capitalized Construction		(257, 124)		(99,718)		(356 842)		(600,000)	59 47%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	2.769.397	\$	470.639	\$	3.240.037	\$	7.875.000	41.14%
		_, ,				-,,		.,,	
Non-Operating Expenses:	•	007 404	•	405 000	•	4 000 000	•		
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	897,104	\$	185,803	\$	1,082,908	\$	2,063,500	52.48%
Amortization of SWP		1,024,154		216,738		1,240,892		2,851,000	43.52%
Change in Investments in PRVVA		302,008				302,008		300,000	100.67%
vvater Conservation Programs	_	46,247	-	8,995	_	55,242	_	221,000	25.00%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	2,269,513	\$	411,537	\$	2,681,049	\$	5,435,500	49.32%
Total Expenses	\$	13,990,304	\$3	3,077,373	\$	17,067,677	\$	37,446,007	45.58%

#### 2018 to 2019 Comparison

# EXPENSE COMPARISON YEAR-TO-DATE June 2018-To-June 2019



#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Directors Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

			YTD		RIGINAL	\L		A	JUSTED	
			CTUAL	E	BUDGET	AD.	JUSTMENTS	E	UDGET	PERCENT
			2019		2019		2019	RE	MAINING	USED
Personnel Budge	t									
1-01-4000-000	Directors Pay	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Employee Benefit	s									
1-01-4005-000	Payroll Taxes		1,813		5,500				3,687	32.96%
Subt	otal (Benefits)		1,813		5,500		-		3,687	32.96%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	1,813	\$	5,500	\$		\$	3,687	32.96%
OPERATING EXP	PENSES:									
1-01-xxxx-006	Director Share - Dizmang, Gloria	\$	750	\$	23,010			\$	22,260	3.26%
1-01-xxxx-007	Director Share - Alvarado, Robert		14,400		27,000				12,600	53.33%
1-01-xxxx-008	Director Share - Mac Laren, Kathy		12,063		27,000				14,937	44.68%
1-01-xxxx-010	Director Share - Dino, Vincent		10,933		27,000				16,067	40.49%
1-01-xxxx-011	Director Share - Henriquez, Marco		3,990		3,990				(0)	100.01%
1-01-xxxx-012	Director Share - Wilson, Don		11,409		27,000				15,591	42.26%
Subt	otal Operating Expenses		53,546		135,000		-		81,454	39.66%
Tatal		•	55 050	•	440.500	•		-	05 4 4 4	00.400
Iotai		Þ	55,359	\$	140,500	\$	-	\$	85,141	39.40%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Administration District Wide Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

		YTD ACTUAL		ORIGINAL BUDGET		ILISTMENTS	A		PERCENT
		2019		2019	7.01	2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:									
1-02-5070-001 On-Call	\$	37,344	\$	105,000			\$	67,656	35.57%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	37,344	\$	105,000	\$	-	\$	67,656	35.57%
Employee Benefits 1-02-5070-002 PERS-Unfunded Liability	\$	315,529	\$	699,000				383,471	45.14%
1-02-5070-003 Workers Compensation		93,683		375,000				281,317	24.98%
1-02-5070-004 Vacation Benefit Expense		36,995		25,000				(11,995)	147.98%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	3,195	¢	6,500	¢		¢	3,305	49.16%
oublotal (Denents)	φ	449,402	φ	1,105,500	φ	-	Φ	000,090	40.00%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	486,746	\$	1,210,500	\$		\$	723,754	40.21%
OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-02-5070-006 Other Operating 1-02-5070-007 Consultants 1-02-5070-008 Insurance 1-02-5070-009 Groundwater Adjudication - Legal 1-02-5070-010 Legal Services 1-02-5070-011 Memberships/Subscriptions	\$	3,431 84,870 105,124 11,953 31,879 29,717	\$	25,000 234,000 280,000 40,000 125,000 125,000				21,569 149,130 174,876 28,047 93,121 95,283	13.73% 36.27% 37.54% 29.88% 25.50% 23.77%
1-02-5070-012 Elections		51,671		57,000				5,329	90.65%
1-02-50/0-014 Groundwater Adjudication - Assessment	•	40,251	•	45,000	•		-	4,749	89.45%
Subiolal Operating Expenses	\$	358,897	\$	931,000	\$	-	\$	572,103	38.55%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	845,642	\$	2,141,500	\$	-	\$ ´	1,295,858	39.49%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Administration Services Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

		YTD ACTUAL		(	ORIGINAL BUDGET	L ADJUSTMENTS			DJUSTED BUDGET	PERCENT
			2019		2019		2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget	:									
1-02-4000-000	Salaries	\$	670,337	\$	1,350,000			\$	679,663	49.65%
1-02-4000-100	Overtime	_	1,871		14,000				12,129	13.36%
Subto	tal (Salaries)	\$	672,208	\$	1,364,000	\$	-	\$	691,792	49.28%
Employee Benefit	S									
1-02-4005-000	Pavroll Taxes	\$	51,378	\$	96 500				45 122	53 24%
1-02-4010-000	Health Insurance	•	100,110	•	194,000				93 890	51 60%
1-02-4015-000	PERS		55.314		120,000				64,686	46 09%
Subto	otal (Benefits)	\$	206,802	\$	410,500	\$	-	\$	203,698	50.38%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	879,009	\$	1,774,500	\$	-	\$	895,491	49.54%
OPERATING EXP	PENSES:									
1-02-4050-000	Staff Iravel	\$	7,543	\$	14,000	\$	-	\$	6,457	53.88%
1-02-4050-100	General Manager Travel		3,598		5,000				1,402	71.96%
1-02-4060-000	Staff Conferences & Seminars		2,990		6,000				3,010	49.83%
1-02-4060-100	General Manager Conferences & Seminars		1,924		4,000				2,076	48.10%
1-02-4130-000	Bank Charges		90,997		150,000				59,003	60.66%
1-02-4150-000	Accounting Services		19,000		25,000				6,000	76.00%
1-02-41/5-000	Permits		1,495		17,500				16,005	8.54%
1-02-4180-000	Postage		4,504		25,000				20,496	18.02%
1-02-4190-100	Public Relations - Publications		21,155		30,000				8,845	70.52%
1-02-4190-700	Public Affairs - Marketing/Outreach		22,386		25,000				2,614	89.55%
1-02-4190-710	Public Affairs -Advertising		524		4,000				3,476	13.10%
1-02-4190-720	Public Affairs - Equipment		52		2,500				2,448	2.08%
1-02-4190-730	Public Affairs -Conference/Seminar/Travel		1,010		2,500				1,490	40.40%
1-02-4190-740	Public Affairs - Consultants		-		3,000				3,000	0.00%
1-02-4190-750	Public Affairs - Membership		405		700				295	57.86%
1-02-4200-000	Advertising		1,820		4,000				2,181	45.49%
1-02-4205-000			16,391		22,000				5,609	74.51%
1-02-4210-000			5,482		5,000	-			(482)	109.64%
Subto	Dial Operating Expenses	\$	201,275	\$	345,200	\$	-	\$	143,925	58.31%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$ `	1,080,285	\$	2,119,700	\$	-	\$	1,039,416	50.96%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Engineering Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

		 YTD ACTUAL	TD OF		AD	JUSTMENTS	A	DJUSTED BUDGET	PERCENT
		 2019		2019		2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget									
1-03-4000-000	Salaries*	\$ 562,637	\$	1,092,500	\$	(68,789)	\$	461,074	54.96%
1-03-4000-100	Overtime*	10,548		11,250		(1,433)		(731)	107.45%
Subto	otal (Salaries)	\$ 573,185	\$	1,103,750	\$	(70,222)	\$	460,343	55.46%
Employee Benefit	s								
1-03-4005-000	Payroll Taxes*	43,681		82,000		(7,711)		30,608	58.80%
1-03-4010-000	Health Insurance	121,743		211,000		(11-17)		89,257	57.70%
1-03-4015-000	PERS*	47,752		106,000		(6,876)		51,372	48.17%
Subto	otal (Benefits)	\$ 213,175	\$	399,000	\$	(14,587)	\$	171,238	55.45%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$ 786,361	\$	1,502,750	\$	(84,809)	\$	631,580	55.46%
OPERATING EXF	ENSES:								
1-03-4050-000	Staff Travel	\$ 213	\$	4,500			\$	4,287	4.74%
1-03-4060-000	Staff Conferences & Seminars	1,395		4,800				3,405	29.06%
1-03-4060-001	Staff Training - Auto CAD Civil 3D	-		13,000				13,000	0.00%
1-03-4155-000	Contracted Services	2,432		20,000				17,568	12.16%
1-03-4165-000	Memberships/Subscriptions	2,008		2,500				492	80.34%
1-03-4250-000	General Materials & Supplies	1,400		10,500				9,100	13.33%
1-03- <mark>8100-100</mark>	Computer Software - Maint. & Support	64		31,000				30,936	0.20%
1-03-8100-200	Computer Software - SCADAWatch	31,873		7,500				(24,373)	424.97%
Subto	otal Operating Expenses	\$ 39,385	\$	93,800	\$	-	\$	54,415	41.99%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$ 825,745	\$	1,596,550	\$	(84,809)	\$	685,996	54.62%
* Budget adjustments by Board action 03/25/19									

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Facilities Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

			YTD	ORIGINAL ADJUSTED						
			ACTUAL	BUD	GET	AD.	JUSTMENTS		BUDGET	PERCENT
			2019	20	19		2019	R	EMAINING	USED
~										
Personnel Budge	t:									
4 9 4 4999 999		•		-						
1-04-4000-000	Salaries	\$	1,122,063	\$ 2,25	51,500			\$	1,129,437	49.84%
1-04-4000-100			93,027	11	5,000				21,973	80.89%
Subt	otal (Salaries)	\$	1,215,090	\$ 2,36	6,500	\$	-	\$	1,151,410	51.35%
Employee Benefi										
	Bouroll Toxoo		05 400	40	4 000					50 500/
1-04-4005-000	Haalth Insurance		95,138	18	31,000				85,862	52.56%
1-04-4015-000			301,445	47	3,000				1/1,555	63.73%
1-04-4010-000 Subt	reno stal (Benefits)	¢	92,700	¢ 00	24 500	¢		¢	137,715	40.25%
Ouble	(Denenta)	φ	409,300	φοο	54,500	φ	-	Φ	395,152	55.33%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	1 704 458	\$ 3.25	1 000	\$		¢	1 5/6 5/2	52 120/
		<u></u>	1,704,400	ψ 0,20	1,000	Ψ	_	φ	1,040,042	52.4570
OPERATING EXI										Ĵ.
1-04-4050-000	Staff Travel	¢	4 623	¢	6 000			¢	1 277	77 06%
1-04-4060-000	Staff Confrences & Seminars	φ	4,023	φ 1	5,000			φ	12 242	11.00%
1-04-4155-000	Contracted Services		427.054	57	1 500				144 446	74 729/
1-04-4175-000	Permits-Dams		37 830	51	1,500				2 170	74.73%
1-04-4215-100	Natural Gas - Wells & Boosters		80 251	21	0,000				120 740	394.30%
1-04-4215-200	Natural Gas - Buildings		11 276	21	9,000				(2 276)	125 20%
1-04-4220-100	Electricity - Wells & Boosters		353 682	1 15	5,000				706 318	30 75%
1-04-4220-200	Electricity - Buildings		23 097	1,10	8 000				64 903	26 25%
1-04-4225-000	Maint & Repair - Vehicles		13 165		2 500				19 335	40 51%
1-04-4230-100	Maint, & Rep. Office Building		4 030	2	25,000				20 970	16 12%
1-04-4235-110	Maint, & Rep. Equipment		5,114	1	2 000				6 886	42 61%
1-04-4235-400	Maint, & Rep. Operations - Wells		19,403	. 8	30,000				60,597	24 25%
1-04-4235-405	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Boosters		8.925	5	50.000				41 075	17 85%
1-04-4235-410	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Shop Bldgs		5,139	2	5.000				19 861	20.56%
1-04-4235-415	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Facilities		13,432	5	0.000				36,568	26.86%
1-04-4235-420	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Water Lines		106.219	30	0.000				193,781	35 41%
1-04-4235-425	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Littlerock Dam		-	1	5.000				15.000	0.00%
1-04-4235-430	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Palmdale Dam		670		-				(670)	0.0070
1-04-4235-435	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Palmdale Canal		4,884	1	0,000				5.116	48.84%
1-04-4235-440	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Large Meters		7,470	2	5,000				17,530	29.88%
1-04-4235-445	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Telemetry		893		-				(893)	
1-04-4235-450	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Hypo Generators		-	1	0,000				10,000	0.00%
1-04-4235-455	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Heavy Equipment		45,559	4	2,500				(3,059)	107.20%
1-04-4235-460	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Storage Reservoirs		1,251		5,000				3,749	25.02%
1-04-4235-461	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Air Vac		14,815	1	5,000				185	98.77%
1-04-4235-470	Maint. & Rep. Operations - Meters Exchanges		54,079	5	0,000				(4,079)	108.16%
1-04-4270-300	Telecommunication - Other		3,343		5,000				1,657	66.87%
1-04-4300-100	Testing - Regulatory Compliance		-	2	0,000				20,000	0.00%
1-04-4300-200	Testing - Large Meters		7,470	1	2,500				5,030	59.76%
1-04-4300-300	Testing - Edison Testing		2,550	1	5,000				12,450	17.00%
1-04-6000-000	Waste Disposal		8,378	2	0,000				11,622	41.89%
1-04-6100-100	Fuel and Lube - Vehicle		50,027	13	4,000				83,973	37.33%
1-04-6100-200	Fuel and Lube - Machinery		12,388	2	5,000				12,612	49.55%
1-04-6200-000	Uniforms		8,757	2	8,000				19,243	31.28%
1-04-6300-100	Supplies - General		18,524	5	5,000				36,476	33.68%
1-04-6300-200	Supplies - Hypo Generators		1,096		7,500				6,404	14.61%
1 04 6200 400	Supplies - Electrical		-		3,000				3,000	0.00%
1 04 6200 900	Supplies - Leiemetry		832	_	5,000				4,168	16.64%
1-04-0300-000	Supplies - Construction Materials		15,356	3	5,000				19,644	43.87%
1-04-0400-000	Fauinment		22,643	4	5,000				22,358	50.32%
1-04-0450-000			-	1	5,500				15,500	0.00%
1-04-7000-100			13,362	1	5,000				1,638	89.08%
Subt	tal Operating Expenses	¢	43,5/3	6 2 2 4	7,000	¢		¢	26,427	62.25%
Cable	and a second sec	φ	1,402,019	φ 3,34	1,000	Φ	-	Φ	1,094,181	43.41%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$	3 157 277	\$ 6 50	8 000	\$		\$	3 440 722	17 950/
		<u> </u>	-11.	+ 0,00	5,500	Ψ	_	Ψ	0,770,120	-1.00%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Operation Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

Personnel Budget: 1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	ACTUAL 2019 505,198 59,180 564,378	BUDGET 2019 \$ 1,006,500 73,500	AD	JUSTMENTS 2019	R	BUDGET EMAINING	PERCENT USED
Personnel Budget: 1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	2019 505,198 59,180 564,378	2019 \$ 1,006,500 73,500		2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget: 1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	505,198 59,180 564,378	\$ 1,006,500 73,500			•		
Personnel Budget: 1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	505,198 59,180 564,378	\$ 1,006,500 73,500					
1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	505,198 59,180 564,378	\$ 1,006,500 73,500			•		
1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$	59,180 564,378	73,500			5	501.302	50,19%
Cubtotal (Calarian)	\$	564,378	¢ 1 090 000				14,320	80.52%
Subtotal (Salaries)			φ 1,000,000	\$	-	\$	515,622	52.26%
Employee Benefits								
1-05-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		43.928	81.000				37.072	54.23%
1-05-4010-000 Health Insurance		80.226	179.000				98,775	44.82%
1-05-4015-000 PERS		42.013	102.000				59,987	41.19%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	166,166	\$ 362,000	\$	-	\$	195,834	45.90%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	730,544	\$ 1,442,000	\$	-	\$	711,456	50.66%
OPERATING EXPENSES:	•	0 4 5 0	• • • • • •			_		
1 05 4050-000 Staff Conferences & Cominers	\$	2,158	\$ 3,000			\$	842	71.92%
1 05 4155 000 Contracted Convince		-	3,000				3,000	0.00%
1.05 4175 000 Contracted Services		40.005	5,000				5,000	0.00%
1.05-4175-000 Permits		49,995	97,000				47,005	51.54%
1.05-4215-200 Natural Gas - WTP		5,559	68,000				62,441	8.17%
1.05-4220-200 Electricity - WTP		//4	3,000				2,226	25.82%
1.05-4230-110 Maint. & Rep Onice Equipment		101,638	200,000				98,362	50.82%
1.05-4235-110 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Equipment		1,609	5,000				3,391	32.19%
1 05 4225-410 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Shop Blogs		7,699	20,000				12,301	38.49%
1-05-4235-415 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Facilities		5,985	6,000				15	99.75%
1-05-4235-450 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Hypo Generator		/6,84/	70,000				(6,847)	109.78%
1-05-4235-500 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Wind Turbine		2,790	10,000				7,210	27.90%
1-05-4236-000 Paimdale Lake Management		//,686	100,000				22,314	77.69%
		1,384	20,000				18,616	6.92%
1-05-6200-000 Uniforms		5,403	16,000				10,597	33.77%
1-05-6300-100 Supplies - General		3,449	15,000				11,551	23.00%
1-05-6300-600 Supplies - Lab		20,035	60,000				39,965	33.39%
1-05-6300-700 Outside Lab Work		39,023	100,000				60,978	39.02%
1-05-6400-000 Tools		1,762	6,000				4,238	29.36%
1-05-6500-000 Chemicals		355,430	760,000				404,570	46.77%
1-05-7000-100 Leases -Equipment		10,542	3,000				(7,542)	351.41%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	769,768	\$ 1,570,000	\$	-	\$	800,232	49.03%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	1,500,313	\$ 3,012,000	\$	-	\$	1,511,687	49.81%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Finance Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

			YTD	RIGINAL				DJUSTED		
			ACTUAL	1	BUDGET	AD.	JUSTMENTS		BUDGET	PERCENT
			2019		2019		2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget										
1-06-4000-000	Salaries	\$	340,769	\$	711.750			\$	370.981	47.88%
1-06-4000-100	Overtime		330	Ċ.	3,000			•	2.670	10.98%
Subto	otal (Salaries)	\$	341,099	\$	714,750	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	373,651	47.72%
Employee Benefit	S									
1-06-4005-000	Payroll Taxes		25,320		55,000				29,680	46.04%
1-06-4010-000	Health Insurance		57,428		101,250				43,822	56.72%
1-06-4015-000	PERS		30,109		72,500				42,391	41.53%
Subto	otal (Benefits)	\$	112,857	\$	228,750	\$	-	\$	115,893	49.34%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	453,956	\$	943,500	\$	-	\$	489,544	48.11%
	PENSES.							20		
1-06-4050-000	Staff Travel	¢	347	¢	2 000			¢	1 65 4	17 220/
1-06-4060-000	Staff Conferences & Seminars	φ	547	φ	1 500 00			Φ	1,004	0.00%
1-06-4155-000	Contracted Services		10 750		12 250				1,500	87 76%
1-06-4155-100	Contracted Services - Infosend		113 279		270,000				156 721	41 96%
1-06-4165-000	Memberships/Subscriptions		220		500				280	44.00%
1-06-4230-110	Maintenance & Repair - Office Equipment				500				500	0.00%
1-06-4250-000	General Material & Supplies		-		3.000				3 000	0.00%
1-06-4260-000	Business Forms		-		4.000				4,000	0.00%
1-06-4270-100	Telecommunication - Office		16,201		25,000				8,799	64.80%
1-06-4270-200	Telecommunication - Cellular Stipend		12,740		24,500				11,760	52.00%
1-06-7000-100	Leases - Equipment		1,251		3,000				1,749	41.69%
Subto	otal Operating Expenses	\$	154,787	\$	346,250	\$	-	\$	191,463	44.70%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$	608,743	\$	1,289,750	\$	-	\$	681,007	47.20%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Water Conservation Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

	YTD		ORIGINAL		L		ADJUSTED		
	A	CTUAL	В	UDGET	AD.	JUSTMENTS	В	UDGET	PERCENT
		2019		2019		2019	RE	MAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:									
1-07-4000-000 Salaries	\$	78,135	\$	156,750			\$	78,615	49.85%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	81,356	\$	159,750			\$	78.394	50.93%
Employee Benefits 1-07-4005-000 Pavroll Taxes		6 4 1 2		12 500				6.088	51 29%
1-07-4010-000 Health Insurance		21.972		40.400				18,428	54.39%
1-07-4015-000 PERS		7,352		16,000				8.648	45.95%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	35,736	\$	68,900	\$	-	\$	33,164	51.87%
			-						
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	117,092	\$	228,650	\$		\$	111,779	51.21%
OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-07-4050-000 Staff Travel 1-07-4060-000 Staff Confrences & Seminar 1-07-4190-300 Public Relations - Landscape Workshop/Training	\$	1,386 750	\$	2,500 3,000			\$	1,114 2,250	55.44% 25.00%
1-07-4190-400 Public Relations - Contests		300		3,000				4,911	12 010/
1-07-4190-500 Public Relations - Education Programs		1 177		120 000				118 823	0.98%
1-07-4190-900 Public Relations - Other		1.522		5,000				3 478	30 44%
1-07-6300-100 Supplies - Misc.		3,899		7,000				3,101	55.70%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	9,213	\$	145,500	\$	-	\$	136,287	6.33%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	126,305	\$	374,150	\$	-	\$2	248,066	33.76%

-----

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Human Resources Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

	YTD ORIGINAL			ADJUSTED		
	ACTUA	L	BUDGET	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	PERCENT
	2019		2019	2019	REMAINING	USED
Demonstral Budents						
Personnel Budget:						
1-08-4000-000 Salaries	\$ 118.0	97	\$ 222,750		\$ 104 653	53.02%
1-08-4000-100 Salaries - Overtime	+	-	1,000		1 000	0.00%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$ 118,0	97	\$ 222,750	\$ -	\$ 104,653	53.02%
Employee Depetite						
1 02 4005 000 Devrell Texas		00	47.000		7 074	54.000/
1.08.4010.000 Hagith Insurance	9,3	29	17,000		7,671	54.88%
	18,3	40	31,750		13,410	57.76%
	8,7	49	18,000		9,251	48.61%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$ 36,4	19	\$ 66,750	\$ -	\$ <u>3</u> 0,331	54.5 <mark>6</mark> %
Total Personnel Expenses	\$ 154,5	16	\$ 289,500	\$ -	\$ 134,984	53.37%
OPERATING EXPENSES:						
1-08-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$ 7	77	\$ 1.500		\$ 723	51.83%
1-08-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars	7	49	1.500		751	49.93%
1-08-4070-000 Employee Expense	47.6	14	50,000		2 386	95 23%
1-08-4090-000 Temporary Staffing	22.14	_	-		_,	00.2070
1-08-4095-000 Employee Recruitment	2.0	53	3.000		947	68.44%
1-08-4100-000 Employee Retention	2.4	46	5,000		2 554	48 92%
1-08-4105-000 Employee Relations		-	3,500		3,500	0.00%
1-08-4120-100 Training-Safety	1.5	39	35,000		33,461	4 40%
1-08-4120-200 Training-Speciality	6.3	76	15,000		8 6 2 4	42 51%
1-08-4121-000 Safety Program	-,-	-	1 000		1 000	0.00%
1-08-4165-000 Membership/Subscriptions	9	91	1,600		609	61 95%
1-08-4165-100 HR/Safety Publications	· ·	-	1,000		1 000	0.00%
1-08-6300-500 Supplies - Safety	22 4	32	30,000		7 568	74 77%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$ 84.9	78	\$ 148 100	\$ -	\$ 63 122	57 38%
	φ 0-7,0 		φ 140,100	¥ -	Ψ 00,122	57.5076
Total Departmental Expenses	\$ 239,4	94	\$ 437,600	\$-	\$ 198,106	54.73%

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Information Technology Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

		YTD ORIGINAL					ADJUSTED			
			ACTUAL		BUDGET	AD.	JUSTMENTS	1	BUDGET	PERCENT
			2019		2019	_	2019	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budge	t:									
1-09-4000-000	Salaries*	\$	127 112	\$	213 500	\$	68 789	\$	155 177	45 03%
1-09-4000-100	Overtime*	*	26	Ψ	3,000	Ψ	1 433	Ψ	4 407	0.58%
Subt	otal (Salaries)	\$	127,137	\$	216,500	\$	70,222	\$	159,585	44.34%
Employee Benefit	S									
1-09-4005-000	Pavroll Taxes*		9 681		17 000		7 711		15 030	39 18%
1-09-4010-000	Health Insurance		14 675		24 750		7,711		10,000	59 29%
1-09-4015-000	PERS*		10,926		21,750		6 876		17 700	38 17%
Subt	otal (Benefits)	\$	35,282	\$	63,500	\$	14,587	\$	42,805	45.18%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	162,420	\$	280,000	\$	84.809	\$	202.389	44.52%
OPERATING EXE	PENSES									
1-09-4050-000	Staff Travel	¢	277	¢	3 000			¢	2 6 2 2	10 570/
1-09-4060-000	Staff Confrences & Seminars	φ	650	Φ	10,000			Φ	2,023	12.57%
1-09-4155-000	Contracted Services		100 137		200,850				9,300	54 240/
1-09-4165-000	Membershins/Subscriptions		200		200,650				2200	9,00%
1-09-4270-000	Telecommunications		46 037		2,500				53 463	46 27%
1-09-7000-100	Leases - Equipment		26 906		33,300				55,405	40.27 70
1-09-8000-100	Computer Equipment - Computers		34 098		45 000				10 002	75 77%
1-09-8000-200	Computer Equipment - Lantons		6 312		45,000				38 688	14 03%
1-09-8000-300	Computer Equipment - Monitors		215		2 000				1 785	10.74%
1-09-8000-500	Computer Equipment - Toner Cartridges		72		3,000				2 928	2 41%
1-09-8000-550	Computer Equipment - Telephony				3,000				3,000	0.00%
1-09-8000-600	Computer Equipment - Other		4,114		25,000				20,886	16 46%
1-09-8000-650	Computer Equipment - Warranty & Support		3 420		15,000				11 580	22 80%
1-09-8100-100	Computer Software - Maint, and Support		59.512		145,200				85 688	40.99%
1-09-8100-150	Computer Software - Dynamics GP Support		29.076		55.000				25 924	52 87%
1-09-8100-200	Computer Software - Software and Upgrades		7.830		20.000				12,170	39.15%
Subt	otal Operating Expenses	\$	327,956	\$	674,050	\$	-	\$	373,000	48.65%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$	490,376	\$	954,050	\$	84.809	\$	575.390	47.20%

\* Budget adjustments by Board action 03/25/19

#### Palmdale Water District 2019 Customer Care Budget For the Six Months Ending Sunday, June 30, 2019

			YTD			Δ٦		A		DEDCENT
			2019		2019	AD	2019	R	EMAINING	USED
							2010			UULD
Personnel Budge	<b>:</b>									
1-10-4000-000	Salaries	\$	469,173	\$	897,000			\$	427,827	52.30%
1-10-4000-100	Overtime		1,498		7,500				6,002	19.97%
Subt	otal (Salaries)	\$	470,671	\$	904,500	\$	-	\$	433,829	52.04%
Employee Benefit	s									
1-10-4005-000	Payroll Taxes		35,390		68,500				33,110	51.66%
1-10-4010-000	Health Insurance		122,527		181,500				58,973	67.51%
1-10-4015-000	PERS	_	39,982		121,500				81,518	32.91%
Subt	otal (Benefits)	\$	197,898	\$	371,500	\$	-	\$	173,602	53.27%
Total	Personnel Expenses	\$	668,569	\$	1,276,000	\$	-	\$	607,431	52.40%
1 10 4050 000	PENSES:	•								
1-10-4050-000	Staff Canferences & Cominent	\$	536	\$	2,000			\$	1,464	26.81%
1 10 4155 000	Stall Conferences & Seminars		954		3,000				2,046	31.80%
1 10 4220 110	Maintonanaa & Ronair Office Equipment		14,767		22,000				7,233	67.12%
1-10-4250-000	Conoral Material & Supplies		-		200				200	0.00%
1-10-4250-000	Business Forma		338		7,000				6,662	4.83%
1-10-4200-000 Subt	Dusiness Forms	¢	17 467	¢	2,500	¢		•	1,629	34.85%
Subl	Stal Operating Expenses	Ф	17,407	Ф	30,700	Ф	-	\$	19,233	47.59%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$	686,036	\$	1,312,700	\$	-	\$	626,664	52.26%

#### Palmdale Water District 2018 Capital Projects - Contractual Commitments and Needs

#### New and Replacement Capital Projects

							Payments															
Budget Year	Project	Project Title	Project Type	Estimated Expense	Contractor	Approved Board / N Contract Amount Appro	Nanager Approved to oval Date	Contract Balance	Through Dec. 2018	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	v Dec	2019 Tota	2020 Carryover
2017	12-400	PRGRRP - Construction of Monitoring Wells / Test Basin	Water Supply		Environmental Const.	427,490 04/26/	/2017 232,192	195,298	232,192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017	12-400	PRGRRP - Construction of Monitoring Wells / Test Basin - Auxiliary Items	Water Supply		Various Vendors	· · ·	133.922	-	74,342	-	-	54.040	-	-	5.540	-	-	-	-	-	- 59.58	0
2017	15-611	WM Replacement - Camares & Avenue S14 (Spec 1502)	Replacement Cap.	110,000			27,171	-	10,584	-	-	-	-	6,722	9,864	-	-	-	-	-	- 16,58	7
2017	15-614	WTP - Drainage Improvements	New Capital	80,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017	16-411	6MG Clearwell - Piping Replacement	Replacement Cap.				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017	16-605	WTP - Additional Brine Tank/Salt Silo	New Capital	90,000			81,009	-	59,389	14,293	4,664	340	1,516	-	808	-	-	-	-	-	- 21,62	1
2017	16-611	CL2 Monitoring @ Well Sites	Regulatory	110,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017	17-613	Avenue T8 Booster #2 - Emergency Repair	Replacement Cap.		Best Drilling & Pump, Inc.		36,540	-	36,540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	12-611	WM Replacement - Avenue P8/20th	Replacement Cap.	410,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	15-613	WM Replacement - Avenue V5 (Spec 1504)	Replacement Cap.	45,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	/-	-
2018	16-602	WM Replacement - Avenue P & 25th ST (Spec 1601)	Replacement Cap.	152,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	17-602	WM Replacement - 13th ST E/Avenue R (Spec 1703)	Replacement Cap.	170,000			43,657	-	26,422	6,150	11,085	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 17,23	5
2018	17-608	Replace PRV - Avenue S14/Camares	Replacement Equip.				492	-	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-408	Water Meter Replacement Program (Qty. 3,400)	Replacement Cap.	550,000			499,132	-	487,830	11,302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 11,30	2
2018	18-410	PRV Replacement - 40th ST E (Bypass)	General Project				9,165	-	9,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-601	6MG Clearwell - Curtain Repairs	General Project	94,000	Garrett Paint & Sndblsting		85,169	-	85,169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-603	Well 29 - Rehabilitation	Replacement Cap.	65,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-605	Well 14 - Rehabilitation	Replacement Cap.				15,962	-	15,962	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-606	45th ST Tank Site - Altitude Valve Replacement	Replacement Cap.	70,000			72,141	-	72,141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-609	WTP Replacement Sodium Hypochlorite Unit	Replacement Cap.	68,000	DeNora Water Tech		68,290	-	68,290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-611	WTP - MPS6120-ZETASIZER Water Testing Equipment	New Equipment				72,862	-	72,862	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	18-613	WTP - Ferric Chloride Tank	General Project				52,661	-	8,636	2,308	-	-	-	39,566	2,152	-	-	-	-	-	- 44,02	5
2018	18-414	Well # 25 - Emergency Rehabilitation	General Project				118,070	-	-	-	-	-	88,341	-	29,729	-	-	-	-	-	- 118,07	0
2018	18-615	Install/Construction - Water Fill Station	General Project				19,942	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,942	-	-	-	-	-	- 19,94	2
2019	19-403	2019 Canal Repair-Bentonite	General Project	12,000			7,763	-	-	-	-	-	7,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 7,76	3
2019	19-404	2019 Meter Exchange Project	General Project	750,000			507,808	-	-	-	-	507,176	632	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 507,80	8
2018		45th ST - Booster #3	Replacement Cap.	23,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Well 3 - Booster	Replacement Cap.	15,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Well 14 - Booster	Replacement Cap.	8,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Ave. P-12, Division, 2nd, 3rd, Stanridge Water Main Repl.	Replacement Cap.	750,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Sierra Hwy. Tie-In and Abandonment	Replacement Cap.	15,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Ave. Q-14 and 17th Street East Water Main Replacement	Replacement Cap.	45,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Ave. Q-10 and 12th Street East Water Main Replacement	Replacement Cap.	15,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Protective Coatings on WTP Structures	Replacement Cap.	100,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		WTP Infrastructure and Process/Equipment Repairs	Replacement Cap.	75,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		PRV Replacements 37th St; 40th St	Replacement Equip.	26,667			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Altitude Valve - 25th St East (Body Only)	Replacement Equip.	22,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Littlerock - Insertion Mag Meter	Replacement Equip.	32,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		T-8 Booster Station Pump Skids	Replacement Equip.	35,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018		Intellispark @ Well 11 & 15	Replacement Equip.	13,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018-2020		Replacement of Structural Support Beams - WTP Sed. Basins	Replacement Cap.	300,000			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2019		Ancillary costs related to all project over and above the main contractor			Various Vendors		2,358		-	-	-	-	2,358	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 2,35	8
			Sub-Totale:	4 140 667		427 490	2 086 207	195 209	1 260 016	34 052	15 7/10	561 556	100 610	46 289	68 035		_	_	_	_	. 826.20	1
			Jub-Totals.	4,140,007		727,450	2,000,307	133,230	1,200,010	54,055	13,749	301,330	100,010	40,200	00,000						020,29	

# AGENDA ITEM NO. 8.1.c

#### Palmdale Water District 2018 Capital Projects - Contractual Commitments and Needs

#### Consulting and Engineering Support

								Payments																
Budget Vez	r Project	Droject Title	Droject Tumo	Estimated Exponse	Contractor	Approved	Board / Manager	Approved to	Contract	Through Dec.	lan	Eab	Mar	A-1-	May	lun	1.1	Aug	Ean	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019 Total	2020
2017	12-400	PRGRRP - CEOA Permitting Pre-Design and Pilot	Water Supply	Lounated Expense	Kennedy/lenks	1 627 000	05/12/2016	Date	1 627 000	2018	Jali	reu .	IVIAI	Арі	ividy	Jun	Jui	Aug	- Sep	000	1100	Det	2019 10(8)	carryover
2017	12 400	Paid by General Fund	water supply		Kennedy/Jenks	1,027,000	03/12/2010	432 840	1,027,000	432 840		_	_	-	-	-	_		_	-	-	_	-	-
2017	14-603	Unner Amargosa Recharge Project	Water Supply		City of Palmdale	1 250 000	12/04/2013	244 431	1 005 569	18 806			_	225 626					_				225.62	6
2017	04-501	Littlerock Sediment Removal Project (FIR/FIS/Permitting)	Water Supply		Asnen	869.023	09/14/2016	-	869 023			-	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	_	223,02	-
2017	01.501	Paid by General Fund	Trace suppry		Asnen	000,020	03/11/2010	208 383	-	206 782			_	_		1 601			_				1.60	1
		Paid by 2018A Water Revenue Bonds			Aspen	1 238 287	07/18/2018	543 693	694 594	184 515		223 960	78 799	49.460	_	6 959							359 17	8
		Paid by 2010A Water Revenue Bonds			ΔSI	9 275 808	07/18/2018	4 276 589	4 999 219	1 777 841	_	2 159 848	338 899			-				-			2 498 74	7
2017	04-501	Littlerock Sediment Removal (Cost Recovery Agreement)	Permitting		Forest Service	100.000	04/26/2017	4,270,505	100 000	1,777,041		2,135,040	-			-			_	_	_	_	2,450,74	-
2017	04-501	Littlerock Sediment Removal Project - Design Grade Control Structure	Water Supply	350.000	TOTEST SETVICE	100,000	04/20/2017	146 954	100,000	146 954					_	-								
2017	14-404	Water System Master Plan - CFOA	Facilities Planning	350,000	FSΔ	174 715	11/09/2016	133 778	131 242	133 778		_												-
2017	14 404	Water System Master Plan - CEOA (Amendment No. 1)	Facilities Planning		ESA	69.985	01/24/2018	135,770	151,242	155,770														
		Water System Master Plan - CEOA (Amendment No. 2)	Facilities Planning		ESA	20,220	05/14/2018																	
		Water System Master Plan - CLCA (Amendment No. 2)	Facilities Planning		Stantor	20,520	05/14/2018		9.510	_														
2017	17 405	WTD Process Evaluation (As Needed)	Bogulatory		Carollo	3,510	01/11/2017	2 500	3,510	2 500		-			-	-		-		-	-	-		-
2017	17-405	Sanitary Support Undate	Regulatory	50.000	Rlack & Voatch	40 772	01/11/2017	3,500	16 010	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2017	04 501	Littlereck Sediment Removal Preject State Permits	Description	153,000	Diack & Veatch	49,775	07/20/2017	55,705	10,010	55,705	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2017	18 402	Encreace Action Plan	Planning	132,000	Plack & Veatch	179.070	01/24/2018	122.196	46 794	124 572	-	-	-	-	1 0 2 7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.61	2
2010	10-402	Energency Action Plan	Financial Diapping	20,000	DIACK & VEALCH	178,970	01/24/2018	152,100	40,784	124,575	-	-	-	5,770	1,057	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,01	·
2010		System valuation study		30,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2018		Electrical Engineering (As-Needed)	Facilities Design	10,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2018		Energy Storage - Feasibility and Pliot Study	Savings/Efficiency	50,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
						-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
			Sub-Totals:	817,000		14,898,391		6,156,118	9,530,450	3,063,352	-	2,383,808	417,699	280,862	1,837	8,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,092,76	δ -

#### New and Replacement Equipment

						A	Decid / Margaret	Payments	Contract	Through Dee														2020
Budget Yea	Project	Project Title	Project Type	Estimated Expense	Contractor	Approved Contract Amount	Approval	Approved to Date	Balance	2018	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019 Total	2020 Carryover
2018	17-402	WTP - Security Improvements - Additional Cameras (Blind Spots) Spec. No. 1702	Safety		Siemens	20,000		10,236	9,764	10,236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018	18-405	Replace and Upgrade VMWare Servers (EOL)	Replacement Equip.					81,721	-	81,721	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Replace Firewall and VPN Appliances (EOL)	Replacement Equip.					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Upgrade Microsoft GP & SQL Databases	Replacement Equip.					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Water Meter Calibration Bench	New Equipment	10,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Online Forms (Add-In Functionality)	New Equipment	5,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Customer Texting / Mass Communication	New Equipment	15,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Conference Bridge - ShoreTel	New Equipment	25,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2018		Data Center UPS - Whole Room UPS	New Equipment	25,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			Sub-Totals:	80,000		20,000		91,957	9,764	91,957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		

#### Water Quality Fee Funded Projects

								Payments																
	Work					Approved	Board / Manager	Approved to	Contract	Through Dec.														2020
<b>Budget Yea</b>	r Order	Project Title	Project Type	Estimated Expense	Vendor/Supplier	Contract Amount	t Approval	Date	Balance	2018	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019 Total	Carryover
2019	19-401	GAC Replacements @ WTP	Water Quality	760,000	Calgon Carbon	-	07/09/2014	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2019	19-401	GAC Replacement @ Underground Booster Station	Water Quality	40,000	Evoqua	-	03/10/2017	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
											-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			Sub-Totals:	800,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-



	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019 Total
595	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,919,057
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
595	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,919,057

# Water Revenue Bond - Series 2018A

Updated: July 9, 2019

Project	Project #	Description	Bon	d Allocation	C C	Contractual ommitment	Pay	yout to Date	Remaining Contract	U	ncommitted Bond \$
LGCS-ASI	04-501	Littlerock Dam - Grade Control Structure (Construction)	\$	8,160,257	\$	9,500,808	\$	3,559,884	\$ 5,940,924	\$	(1,340,551)
LGCS-ASP	04-501	Littlerock Dam - Grade Control Structure (Monitoring)				1,238,287		495,055	743,232		(1,238,287)
WTP		Water Treatment Plant Improvements		2,375,000				-	-		
6MG		6 M.G. Reservoir Renovations		1,050,000				-	-		
WMR		Various W.M. Replacements		1,789,612				-	-		
PWD		Design, Engineering and Other Preconstruction Costs		173,000				-	-		
WRB		Bond Issuance Costs		226,303				226,303	-		
ISS		Issuance Funds						(12,092)			
		Interest Earned through April 30						(225,451)			
		Totals:	\$	13,774,172	\$	10,739,095	\$	4,043,698	\$ 6,684,156	\$	(2,578,838)
		2018A Water Revenue Bonds - Unallocated Funds:			\$	3,035,077					
		2018A Water Revenue Bonds - Remaining Funds to payout:					Ś	9.730.474			

Requisition No.	Рауее	Date Approved	Invoice No.	Project	Payment Amount
	Issuance Costs	Jun 27, 2018	N/A	WRB	\$ 226,302.82
	Interest - Jul 2018		N/A	INT	1,384.72
	Interest - Aug 2018		N/A	INT	20,900.39
1	Aspen Environmental Group	Sep 12, 2018	1116.007-01	LGCS-ASP	28,105.88
2	ASI Construction LLC	Sep 18, 2018	01	LGCS-ASI	60,027.00
	Interest - Sep 2018		N/A	INT	21,047.68
3	ASI Construction LLC	Oct 2, 2018	02	LGCS-ASI	156,655.00
4	Aspen Environmental Group	Oct 8, 2018	1116.007-02	LGCS-ASP	51,072.42
5	Aspen Environmental Group	Oct 30, 2018	1116.007-03	LGCS-ASP	56,698.38
	Interest - Oct 2018		N/A	INT	20,838.36
6	ASI Construction LLC	Nov 7, 2018	03	LGCS-ASI	844,455.00
	Interest - Nov 2018		N/A	INT	22,998.40
7	Aspen Environmental Group	Dec 10, 2018	1116.007-04	LGCS-ASP	99,711.66
7	ASI Construction LLC	Dec 10, 2018	04	LGCS-ASI	665,631.99
	Interest - Dec 2018		N/A	INT	21,673.24
8	Aspen Environmental Group	Jan 3, 2019	1116.007-05	LGCS-ASP	67,719.03
9	ASI Construction LLC	Jan 7, 2019	05	LGCS-ASI	1,494,216.00
10	Aspen Environmental Group	Jan 29, 2019	1116.007-06	LGCS-ASP	56,529.35
	Interest - Jan 2019		N/A	INT	22,085.33
11	ASI Construction LLC	Feb 14, 2019	06	LGCS-ASI	338,899.30
	Interest - Feb 2019		N/A	INT	20,485.96
12	Aspen Environmental Group	Feb 28, 2019	1116.007-07	LGCS-ASP	78,799.25
	Interest - Mar 2019		N/A	INT	17,656.62
13	Aspen Environmental Group	Apr 1, 2019	1116.007-08	LGCS-ASP	34,790.67
13	Aspen Environmental Group	Apr 1, 2019	1116.008-01	LGCS-ASP	7,731.53
14	Aspen Environmental Group	Apr 22, 2019	1116.007-09	LGCS-ASP	6,938.12
	Interest - Apr 2019		N/A	INT	19,042.25
15	Aspen Environmental Group	May 15, 2019	1116.007-10	LGCS-ASP	6,958.75
	Interest - May 2019		N/A	INT	18,485.68
	Interest - June 2019		N/A	INT	18,852.79

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

# BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 10, 2019	July 22, 2019
TO:	Board of Directors	<b>Board Meeting</b>
FROM:	Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFO	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM 8.1.d – PRESENTATION O TIMELINE	F PROPOSITION 218 PROCESS AND

#### **Discussion:**

Presented here is the 2019 rate study schedule and Proposition 218 process.

#### **Timeline:**

July 17 - Presentation of 2019 Rate Study Schedule to the Financial Health & Stability Committee

July 24 – Presentation to the Organizational Excellence Committee

July 31 – Presentation to Leadership & Outreach Committee

August 6 – Presentation to the Resource Reliability & Operational Efficiency Committee

August 12 – RDN's Presentation on Findings/Models/Proposed Rates to Board of Directors, 6 p.m. @ PWD

August 15 – Mail Rate Notice

August 22 – Workshop for Elected Officials, Community Leaders, Water Ambassadors, 5:30-8 p.m. @ PWD

August 28 – Community Workshop, 5:30-8 p.m. @ Palmdale Learning Plaza, 38043 Division Street, Palmdale

September 3 – Deadline for Mailing Rate Notice

September 7 – Open House, 9 a.m.- noon @ Water Treatment Plant

September 24 – Community Workshop, 5:30-8 p.m. @ Buena Vista Elementary School, 37005 Hillcrest Drive, Palmdale

October 28 – Board of Directors Public Hearing for 2019 Rate Study

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

# BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 10, 2019	July 22, 2019
то:	Board of Directors	<b>Board Meeting</b>
FROM:	Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFO	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO. 8.1.e – OTHER FINANCIAL II	TEMS

#### **Discussion:**

Presented here are financial related items for your review:

- 1. Payment Transactions by Type January June:
  - a. Note that electronic forms of payment continue to increase while counter and mail decrease.
- 2. Billing & Collection Statistics:
  - a. Billing and collection cycle complete through January shows slight decrease in late fee notices and shut off notices from December but higher percentage of off & lock after shut off notice.
- 3. Accounts Receivable Aging Report June 30, 2019:
  - a. Aging report shows we are consistent with collection and amount of outstanding receivables in relation to time of year.
- 4. Revenue Projections:
  - a. Revenue projections for 2019 based on selling 17,250 AF shows as of June 30<sup>th</sup> revenue is down approximately \$600K.
- 5. Rate Assistance Program:
  - a. As of June 30<sup>th</sup>, there are 696 participants, 352 are Seniors and 344 are Low Income.



Payment Type	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Electronic	21,945	25,387	46,116	52,106	59,928	69,074	75,724	82,325	88,583	92,037
Front Counter	40,535	41,675	39,764	37,217	35,841	32,128	29,161	27,916	26,560	24,136
Mail & Drop Box	79,698	75,028	55,317	48,366	43,690	39,680	36,024	33,116	30,331	27,609
Telephone	9,519	11,311	12,633	12,881	13,324	10,642	9,842	9,361	8,324	8,634
Total	151,695	153,401	153,830	150,570	152,783	151,524	150,751	152,718	153,798	152,416
Detail of Electronic Payments	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
META - ACH Pymt	3,644	4,304	3,754	3,811	1,633	1,617	801	849	842	865
WES - ACH Pymt	0	466	439	495	493	488	497	521	508	462
INF - Website Pymts	18,301	20,617	31,206	34,534	36,779	38,452	41,039	44,351	47,806	51,135
IVR - Automated Pay ##	0	0	0	0	4,091	10,984	13,816	15,996	17,444	18,411
KIOSK - Automated Pay \$\$	0	0	0	0	0	0	547	457	1,284	696
PNM - Automated Pay %%	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1,260	1,989	2,309
VAN - ACH Pymt &&	0	0	10,717	13,266	16,932	17,533	19,003	18,891	18,710	18,159
Total	21,945	25,387	46,116	52,106	59,928	69,074	75,724	82,325	88,583	92,037

##- IVR service started March 13, 2014

\$\$ - Kiosk service started July 1, 2015

%% - PNM - Pay Near Me service started June 9, 2016

&& - Vanco ACH service started Sept 2011

<b>Billing Stati</b>	stics									Based on
										Shut
	Bills	LF Notice	Shut Notice	Off & Lock	Based	l on Bills Iss	ued	Based on	Late Notices	Notice
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	B/A	C/A	D/A	С/В	D/B	D/C
Jan-18	26,582	6,358	2,262	427	23.9%	8.5%	1.6%	35.6%	6.7%	18.88%
Feb-18	26,586	5,945	2,324	394	22.4%	8.7%	1.5%	39.1%	6.6%	16.95%
Mar-18	26,584	5,419	2,148	444	20.4%	8.1%	1.7%	39.6%	8.2%	20.67%
Apr-18	26,593	5,896	2,250	408	22.2%	8.5%	1.5%	38.2%	6.9%	18.13%
May-18	26,588	5,713	2,118	387	21.5%	8.0%	1.5%	37.1%	6.8%	18.27%
Jun-18	26,599	5,996	2,283	439	22.5%	8.6%	1.7%	38.1%	7.3%	19.23%
Jul-18	26,619	6,047	2,316	371	22.7%	8.7%	1.4%	38.3%	6.1%	16.02%
Aug-18	26,628	6,052	2,338	381	22.7%	8.8%	1.4%	38.6%	6.3%	16.30%
Sep-18	26,628	6,272	2,518	419	23.6%	9.5%	1.6%	40.1%	6.7%	16.64%
Oct-18	26,621	6,197	2,429	345	23.3%	9.1%	1.3%	39.2%	5.6%	14.20%
Nov-18	26,632	5,769	2,094	316	21.7%	7.9%	1.2%	36.3%	5.5%	15.09%
Dec-18	26,632	6,485	2,401	423	24.4%	9.0%	1.6%	37.0%	6.5%	17.62%
Jan-19	26,627	5,834	1,989	378	21.9%	7.5%	1.4%	34.1%	6.5%	19.00%

	0-30 days	31-60 days	61-90 days	91-120 days	121+ days	Balance
6/30/2019	\$1,867,456	\$4,978	-\$8,295	-\$6,641	-\$47,257	\$1,810,241
5/31/2019	\$1,699,266	-\$3,601	-\$12,522	-\$22,616	-\$34,356	\$1,626,172
3/31/2019	\$1,396,553	-\$10,972	-\$11,317	-\$5,758	-\$42,112	\$1,326,394
12/31/2018	\$1,871,921	\$11,096	-\$5,439	-\$3,721	-\$26,118	\$1,847,739
9/30/2018	\$2,282,443	\$10,683	-\$5,391	-\$5,897	-\$25,729	\$2,256,110
6/30/2018	\$1,875,467	-\$9,241	-\$11,326	-\$4,097	-\$31,338	\$1,819,900
3/31/2018	\$1,437,029	\$11,627	-\$5,872	-\$2,367	-\$29,520	\$1,410,896
12/31/2017	\$1,821,145	\$48,908	\$3,517	-\$765	\$16,405	\$1,889,209
9/30/2017	\$2,079,393	\$55,984	-\$169	-\$4,034	\$90,222	\$2,221,396
6/30/2017	\$1,594,748	\$197,398	\$19,539	\$3,049	\$94,475	\$1,909,209





# MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY STATE WATER CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION, APRIL 11, 2019.

A regular meeting of the Commissioners of the Antelope Valley State Water Contractors Association was held Thursday, April 11, 2019, at the Palmdale Water District at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale. Chair Parris called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

## 1) Pledge of Allegiance.

At the request of Chair Parris, Commissioner Dino led the pledge of allegiance.

## 2) Roll Call.

Attendance:	Others Present:
Robert Parris, Chair	Matt Knudson, General Manager
Vincent Dino, Vice Chair	Peter Thompson II, Asst. General Manager
Leo Thibault, Treasurer-Auditor	Dennis Hoffmeyer, Controller
Kathy Mac Laren, Secretary	James Chaisson, LCID General Manager
Keith Dyas, Commissioner	Danielle Henry, Management Analyst
John Tenerelli, Alt. Commissioner	1 member of the public

EXCUSED ABSENCES--Barbara Hogan, Commissioner

## 3) Adoption of Agenda.

It was moved by Commissioner Mac Laren, seconded by Commissioner Dino, and unanimously carried by all members of the Board of Commissioners present at the meeting to adopt the agenda, as written.

## 4) Public Comments for Non-Agenda Items.

There were no public comments.

5) Consideration and Possible Action on Minutes of Regular Meeting Held March 14, 2019.

It was moved by Commissioner Dyas, seconded by Commissioner Thibault, and carried by a 5-0-1 vote, with Chair Parris abstaining, to approve the minutes of the regular meeting held March 14, 2019, as written.

#### 6) Payment of Bills.

Commissioner Thibault reviewed the bills received for payment and then moved to pay the bills received from PWD in the amount of \$597.44 for staff services, from AVEK in the amount of \$771.43 for staff services, from Raftelis in the amount of \$1,732.50 for professional services associated with the Financial Analysis for Replacement Water Assessment for the period of March 1, 2019 through March 31, 2019, and from Streamline Audio Visual, Inc. in the amount of \$2,635.66 for audio and visual rentals for the 2019 Home Show and SMART Water Expo to be invoiced to the member agencies according to State Water Project Table A allocations. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Mac Laren, and after a brief discussion of the applicable sales tax for the labor included on the invoice from Streamline Audio Visual, Inc., the motion unanimously carried by all members of the Board of Commissioners present at the meeting.

# 7) Consideration and Possible Action on Position of ACWA/JPIA Representative. (General Manager Knudson)

After a brief discussion of attendance at the Spring and Fall ACWA Conferences, Commissioner Dyas nominated Commissioner Mac Laren as the ACWA/JPIA Representative for the Association. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Thibault and unanimously carried by all members of the Board of Commissioners present at the meeting.

## 8) Consideration and Possible Action on Resolution No. 2019-2 Adopting Replacement Water Assessments for 2019. (General Manager Knudson/Assistant General Manager Thompson II)

General Manager Knudson stated that the Board of each member agency approved the Financial Analysis Study for Replacement Water Assessment prepared by Raftelis and then reviewed Resolution No. 2019-2 Adopting Replacement Water Assessments for 2019 after which it was moved by Commissioner Mac Laren, seconded by Commissioner Thibault, and unanimously carried by all members of the Board of Commissioners present at the meeting to approve Resolution No. 2019-2 Adopting Replacement Water Assessments for 2019.

A copy of Resolution No. 2019-2 is hereby made a portion of the minutes of this meeting.

# 9) Discussion and Possible Action on Frequency of Association Meetings. (General Manager Knudson/Assistant General Manager Thompson II)

Assistant General Manager Thompson II reviewed the benefits of holding regular Association meetings every other month, including the increased efficiency of meetings and the reduction in staff time and overtime charges from the General Program Funds, after which it was moved by Commissioner Mac Laren, seconded by Alternate Commissioner Tenerelli, and unanimously carried by all members of the Board of Commissioners present at the meeting that regularly scheduled Association meetings will now be held every other month beginning in June, 2019 and that written project update reports will be provided by the General Manager and Assistant General Manager during non-meeting months.

## 10) Report of General Manager and Assistant General Manager.

## a) Update on Proposed East Side Recycled Water Line Project.

General Manager Knudson stated that this proposed project has made a transition into discussions within the Antelope Valley IRWMP Advisory Group.

# b) Up date on Big Rock Creek Joint Groundwater Recharge Project.

General Manager Knudson stated that natural recharge continues to occur from the outflow of melted snowpack from Big Rock Creek; that staff will continue to monitor the flow for the restart of the Pilot Project test flow; and that staff is working with the Department of Water Resources to extend the Pilot Project testing schedule.

# c) Update on Antelope Valley Watermaster Meetings.

General Manager Knudson stated that the next Watermaster meeting will be held on April 24, 2019 at 10 a.m. at AVEK and that discussions will include transfer of production rights, replacement water assessments, and small pumper qualifiers.

# d) Update on Antelope Valley and Fremont Basin IRWMP Stakeholder meetings.

General Manager Knudson stated that he has no report for the Antelope Valley IRWMP Stakeholders.

He then stated that the Fremont Basin IRWMP has been adopted by Mojave and Cal City and that staff anticipates adoption of the Plan by AVEK's Board at their next meeting.

## e) Update on 2019 Home Show and SMART Water Expo.

Assistant General Manager Thompson II stated that attendance for the 2019 Home Show and SMART Water Expo increased over 2018; that different types of vendors were mixed throughout the Expo building creating additional traffic; and that PWD staff recommends sponsorship of the 2020 Home Show and SMART Water Expo be considered by June, 2019 to allow sufficient planning time.

## 9) Report of Controller.

# a) Update on Revenue, Expenses and Change in Net Position.

Controller Hoffmeyer provided a brief update on the Association's revenue, expenses, and change in net position for month ending March 31, 2019 and stated that Nigro & Nigro has begun the Association's audit process for 2017/2018.

## 10) Reports of Commissioners.

Commissioner Mac Laren stated that she attended AG Day at the Antelope Valley Fairgrounds on April 10, 2019 and that it was a great experience for local students.

There were no further reports.

# 11) Report of Attorney.

There was no report.

#### 12) Commission Members' Requests for Future Agenda Items.

It was determined that "Update on the Big Rock Creek Joint Groundwater Recharge Project," "Update on Antelope Valley Watermaster Meetings" and "Update on Antelope Valley and Fremont Basin IRWMP Stakeholder meetings" will remain on the next meeting agenda and that "Presentation on USGS Groundwater Monitoring and Quality Program" and "Consideration and Possible Action on Sponsorship of 2020 Home Show and SMART Water Expo" be added to the next meeting agenda.

There were no further requests for future agenda items.

# 13) Consideration and Possible Action on Scheduling the Next Association Meeting.

It was determined that the next regular meeting of the Association will be held on June 13, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. at Palmdale Water District.

#### 14) Adjournment.

There being no further business to come before the Commissioners, the regular meeting of the Commissioners of the Antelope Valley State Water Contractors Association was adjourned at 6:29 p.m.

Latty Maddaren Secretary

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

# BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 17, 2019	July 22, 2019
то:	BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<b>Board Meeting</b>
FROM:	Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO. 8.3.a – JULY 2019 GENERAL	MANAGER REPORT

The following is the July 2019 report to the Board of activities through June 2019. It is organized to follow the District's six strategic initiatives adopted in January 2018 and is intended to provide a general update on the month's activities. A summary of the initiatives is as follows:



## Water Resource Reliability

Complete the 2018 phase of the Upper Armagosa Creek Recharge Project Ensure Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (PRWA) to be fully operational by year 2020 Adopt new state-of-the-art water treatment technologies Implement the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication agreement Complete the grade-control structure for the Littlerock Reservoir Sediment Removal Project Continue the next phase towards the completion of Palmdale Regional Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Project Identify and pursue opportunities to increase the reliability of water supply



## **Organizational Excellence**

Offer competitive compensation and benefits package to promote employee retention Focus Succession Planning Program on ensuring an overlap of training for key positions Continue providing transparency to our ratepayers

Promote and support leadership training and professional development programs to enhance the District's customers' experience



## Systems Efficiency

Implement 2016 Water System Master Plan Develop a five-year Infrastructure Revitalization Plan to continue the reinvestment and preventative maintenance for aging infrastructure Explore energy independence Continue being the industry's leader on the use of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Research and test new technologies to increase efficiencies Improve safety and training for Directors, employees and customers Develop a crisis communications plan



# Financial Health and Stability

Pursue additional grant funding for all District projects Adopt a sustainable and balanced rate structure to meet short and long-term needs Create a five-year financial plan in conjunction with the 2019 Water Rate Plan Maintain adequate reserve levels, high-level bond rating, and financial stability



# <u>Regional Leadership</u>

Enhance relationships with Antelope Valley partnerships, including local water agencies, Antelope Valley State Water Contractors Association and the Palmdale Recycled Water Authority

Expand school water education programs

Engage elected officials in water-related issues

Continue offering career opportunities through the Internship Program

Provide opportunities for local businesses to contract with the District



# **Customer Care, Advocacy and Outreach**

Increase Customer Care accessibility through communication and feedback to enhance customers' experience
Evaluate, develop, and market additional payment options
Be point of communication for customers' water-related public health concerns
Develop the District's Public Outreach Plan

Increase public awareness of the District's history and promote centennial anniversary

This report also includes charts that show the effects of the District's efforts in several areas. They are organized within each strategic initiative and include status of the State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB) long-term conservation orders, 20 x 2020 status, the District's total per capita water use trends, 2019 water production and customer use graph, mainline leaks, and the water loss trends for both 12- and 24-month running averages.



# Water Resource Reliability

This initiative includes conservation efforts, water supply projects, and water

planning. Recent highlights are as follows:

#### State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Activities

• The 20 x 2020 per capita reduction goals passed by the legislature in 2009 with new longterm water budgeting requirements have now been replaced with new requirements and water agency water budgets. These follow through on the "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life" plan. The District expects to easily comply with the new requirements as they are based on the same philosophy as the District water budget rate structure.

The District's compliance with the former 20 x 2020 law is evident from the chart titled "PWD 12-Month Running Average Total Per Capita Water Use.":



The District's customers have cut their water use by **48.1%** from the baseline number of 231 and met the 2020 Goal in early 2010. The current Total-GPCD is 120.

#### Water Supply Information

- The AV Adjudication is now in its fourth year, and the reduction to the native safe yield is in its second year. The District's native groundwater right is 2,769.63 AF. Other groundwater rights for 2018 were 1,452.27 AF of unused Federal Reserve Rights, 3,828.41 AF of Return Flow Rights, and 3,911.94 AF of Carryover Rights. These groundwater rights total 11,962.55 AF. The District used approximately 6,073 AF. This leaves a total carryover amount of approximately 5,890 AF going into 2019. The District's 2019 groundwater rights are expected to be similar to 2018 and will be calculated in the next couple months. A more detailed description of the District adjudicated groundwater production rights is provided below.
- The 2019 water resources plan is finalized. The 2019 State Water Project (SWP) allocation is 75% and provides 23,475 AF. The District will be using a higher amount of surface water than normal due to the SWP and Littlerock Reservoir supplies. SWP supplies beyond our customers' needs will be banked or exchanged to help provide water during dry years. The following graph shows actual amounts through June 2019 and

monthly projections for both production and consumption, based on the prior five years of actual monthly information, for the entire year. Water use is 14% less than anticipated so far this year due to the rainy weather and appears to be recovering. The 2018 chart is added in this report for comparison.





The precipitation index for the area contributing to the State Water Project is currently at 136% of average and has leveled off as a significantly wet year. This is shown in the following graph.



#### Groundwater Production Rights Summary

Director Wilson requested an overview of the District's adjudicated groundwater rights. A native safe yield of 82,300 acre-feet per year (AFY) was established by the Court for the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication and the adjudication Parties were divided into various classes to establish respective water rights among groundwater producers.

• The <u>Production Right</u> is the portion of the Native Safe Yield assigned to each Party. Production Rights for specific Parties are defined in the Judgment in Exhibit 3 (Non-Overlying Production Rights), Exhibit 4 (Overlying Production Rights), and in Paragraphs 5.1.3, 5.1.4, and 5.1.5 for the Small Pumper Class, Federal Reserved Water Rights, and State of California, respectively. The District's Production Right is 2,769.63 AF/Year.

- <u>Unused Federal Reserved Water Rights</u> are the portion of water rights, 7,600 AF/Y, left unused by the Federal government. This amount has been approximately 6,000 AF/Y and is divided amongst the Non-Overlying Producers (Public Water Suppliers). The District's share of Unused Federal Reserve Water Rights is approximately 1,400 AF/Year.
- <u>Imported Water Return Flows</u> represent water brought into the basin from outside of the watershed that provides a net increase in groundwater supply (i.e., does not include consumed or evaporated imported water). Return flows for agriculture were established in the Judgment at 34 percent of imported water use and at 39 percent for municipal and industrial uses. Each year's amount is determined based on an average of the five (5) prior years of imported water. **The District's typical Imported Water Return Flow Right is approximately 4,000 AF/Year.**
- <u>Carry Over Water</u> is the right to an unused portion of an annual Production Right or a right to Imported Water Return Flows in a year after the year in which the right was originally available. **The District is building Carry Over Rights for years when surface water supplies are low.**
- <u>Stored Water</u> is water held in storage in the basin as a result of direct spreading or other methods for subsequent withdrawal and use pursuant to an agreement with the Watermaster. It does not include Imported Water Return Flows. The District currently has approximately 1,500 AF stored in the Antelope Valley and is participating in projects (Upper Amargosa, Big Rock Creek, and Palmdale Regional Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Project) for more storage in the future.

#### Other Items

The Littlerock Reservoir Sediment Removal Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) was fully approved in 2017. All required permits are in place, and a construction contract for the Grade Control Structure was awarded in July 2018 to ASI Construction, LLC (ASI) of Colorado Springs.

ASI installed dewatering wells around the construction area and began pumping out water in early November. Dewatering, excavation, and constructing a water bypass continued through December and early January. A series of storms during the week of January 14<sup>th</sup> overwhelmed the partially completed water bypass and flooded the construction site.

ASI will be back on site in mid-July to begin work again. The first activity will be reestablishing a dewatering network, so excavation can be done. The tentative plan is to place RCC in September. A citizen's committee, Friends of Littlerock Dam (FOLD), was formed in the Littlerock, Pearblossom, and Juniper Hills area to find a way to reopen the Littlerock Reservoir Recreation area. They worked with the District and the USFS on this issue. The USFS has issued an eviction notice to the former operator living at the Reservoir. They also plan to issue a request for proposals for a recreational operator. This process is expected to take a year.

The public review of the Draft California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) EIR for the Palmdale Regional Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Project is complete. The Final EIR was certified by the Board on July 13, 2016, and the Notice of Determination was filed on July 14, 2016. The comments from the SWRCB Recycled Water Division on the Title 22 Engineering Report were addressed and returned for further review. Another set of comments was received in 2018 and information is being collected to address them.

The soil column tests were completed and reported on late last year. The District reviewed additional geotechnical work done to verify the proposed location is suitable. The result is a recommendation to drill an additional well to better understand the aquifer in the area.

- The Upper Amargosa Creek Recharge Project is now under construction. One contract is for the California Aqueduct turnout and transmission water main. The other is for the recharge basins. They are higher than original estimates and will result in a request from the City of Palmdale to the District, LA County Waterworks, and AVEK for additional funding. A successful groundbreaking was held on November 15, 2018. Construction is expected to be completed late this year.
- California Water Fix: There have been recent regulatory approvals moving this project forward. However, the current Governor has only stated support for one of the proposed tunnels. The State Water Contractors and the Department of Water Resources are continuing discussions about the Project's financing and operations. These discussions will result in a clearer picture of the effect on individual contractors. Staff is directly involved in these discussions and will be able to update the Board in the future.



This initiative includes efforts to restructure staff duties and activities to more efficiently provide service to our customers. Recent highlights are as follows:
- Workshops were held to discuss the District's direction and begin to update the Strategic Plan for 2019. This process reset the District's standing committees to align better with the Strategic Plan and give them clear direction.
- The District and other members of the Public Water Agencies Group (PWAG) have hired and share the services of an Emergency Preparedness Coordinator. This has already resulted in a successful training held at the District office. More activities, including drills and a review of the Emergency Response Plan, are planned for 2019. This approach also kept the District in a good position when responding to the July 4 and 5 earthquakes near Ridgecrest.
- The Board of Directors and staff completed a cultural survey in 2018. The results show continuing overall improvements in the District's operations. The Mathis Group will assist the Board and staff in following up on the survey and improving the District's operations. The staff Communications Committee has started working with the overall staff to reinforce strong areas from the Survey and help improve the other areas.



This initiative largely focuses on the state of the District's infrastructure. Recent highlights are as follows:

- are as follows.
  - The effects of the District's past efforts in replacing failing water mains and meters can be seen in the reduced number of mainline leaks. This is illustrated in the chart titled "Mainline Leak History." The mainline leaks through June 2019 are 56, and there were 31 service line leaks. This sharp increase is due to water main replacement work near old mains.



- The 2019 Budget includes replacing approximately 2,800 meters. Staff is doing this replacement project and will evaluate how best to do it in future years.
- Facilities staff is focusing on maintenance activities to incorporate pressure reducing valves, air-vacuum release valves, and other facilities as their efforts can continue to be more preventative due to a lower number of emergency repairs.
- District staff's replacement work for 2019 includes Avenue V-5 west of 47<sup>th</sup> Street East, and East Avenue P-8 from 20<sup>th</sup> Street East to 25<sup>th</sup> Street East. Camares Drive south of Barrel Springs Road is now completed.
- The positive effect of both water main and water meter replacement programs is shown on the chart titled "PWD Water Loss History." The running average for water losses is now under 10%.



Director Alvarado recently asked for a summary of the District's water main ages. This information has been included as additional in annual budgets in past in a tabular form. Staff used the information to create the following graph. This shows that 2.6%, 10.33 miles, of the water distribution system is nearly seventy years or is of unknown age.



## **Active Water Main Ages**

Summary of Data from Auxilary DB: (MLpipeLab.mdb/MainLinePipe2019)

Decade Installed	Total Pipe Length	Percent of Total Pipe
Unknown	15,104	0.7%
1950's	39,233	1.8%
1960's	120,177	5.6%
1970's	89,234	4.1%
1980's	884,224	41.0%
1990's	598,566	27.7%
2000's	316,952	14.7%
2010's	94,247	4.4%
TOTAL	2,157,737	



## Financial Health and Stability

Engineering staff has successfully applied for planning grant funding for the Palmdale Regional Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Project and for the Phase II pipeline for the Palmdale Recycled Water Authority. Application packages for further funding have been determined to be complete by the State. A comment letter was also submitted to raise the priority of both projects in the State's funding plan for 2017/2018. The State is satisfied with resolutions from the City and the District related to the PRWA Phase II funding application for compliance with their repayment requirements. An amendment to the JPA was also completed to tie these into PRWA. The outstanding financing issue is the State's approach to determining the District's Debt Coverage Ratio. They continue to include non-operating expenses into the calculation. Staff and our financial advisor are still working on this issue. PRWA is also trying to obtain completed booster station plans being held by Los Angeles County Waterworks District 40 to complete the Phase II design plans and financing.

Staff is also working with the California Infrastructure Bank, Holman Capital, and considering a public bond issue for this project. Early discussions show this as a strong possibility to fund the work.

A new water rate study conducted in accordance with Proposition 218 is started for 2019. Three proposals were received in March and a recommendation made to the Board to award a contract to RDN. The first staff meetings with RDN were held in April. Staff is providing all the needed information to project revenue needs over the next five years.

The Board authorized obtaining better information for irrigated property that will help make the District's water rate structure more accurate. RDN has completed a financial forecast for the next five years with assistance from staff. A presentation of RDN's recommendation is scheduled for August 12<sup>th</sup>. A program of public outreach will follow and a public hearing to consider water rates for the next five years is anticipated in October.

- Engineering/Grant Manager Riley has worked with the Bureau of Reclamation for the acceptance of a Feasibility Report for the Palmdale Regional Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Project and having it eligible for funding. The 2017 competition effort did not result in an award of funds from the Bureau. However, lessons from this submittal were used in the current funding competition.
- Water-Wise Landscape Conversion Program (Cash-for-Grass Program): The District received a \$75,000 Grant from the Bureau of Reclamation in 2017 to assist in funding the Program. The District has fully used the grant funds. The Board approved an application for additional funds in February.



## <u>Regional Leadership</u>

This initiative includes efforts to involve the community, be involved in regional activities, and be a resource for other agencies in the area. Recent highlights are as follows:

- Activities of the Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (PRWA) and Antelope Valley State Water Contractors Association have continued.
- The District staff continues to share the administration of the Antelope Valley Watermaster Board (AVWB) with AVEK and related meetings.
- District staff is active in the local chambers, GAVEA, and area human resources and public information groups.
- The first "PWD Water Ambassador Academy" was conducted on September 19 and 26, October 3 and a tour/graduation on October 6, 2018. The response from them was overwhelmingly positive. The next Academy was successfully completed in March. A high school version of the Academy was successfully held as a one-day event on May 16, 2019.
- The District has joined with other water districts to express concerns with the proposed Statewide water tax over the last two years. The State Senate also refused the water tax approach. Instead, the State has created a \$130M fund using Greenhouse Gas Funds.

The District cooperative use of Reeb Government Relations has been highly effective. AGM Ly and I are in communication with Mr. Reeb several times a week and have helped amend proposed legislation as needed.

## <u>Customer Care and Advocacy</u>

This initiative includes efforts to better serve our customers. Recent highlights are as follows:

- The ability to make payments at 7-Eleven and Family Dollar Store is also continuing to grow.
- Customer Care office and field staff are crosstraining to better understand the other's interaction with customers and to improve communication.
- Customers are continuing to take advantage of the District's electronic payment options.
  59% of all payments made by customers were done electronically in 2018.