

**Board of Directors** 

ROBERT E. ALVARADO Division 1 JOE ESTES Division 2 GLORIA DIZMANG Division 3 KATHY MAC LAREN Division 4 VINCENT DINO Division 5 Fax (661) 947-8604 www.palmdalewater.org

ALESHIRE & WYNDER LLP



April 3, 2014

# Agenda for a Meeting of the Finance Committee of the Palmdale Water District Committee Members: Gloria Dizmang-Chair, Vincent Dino to be held at the District's office at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale

# Monday, April 7, 2014

# 9:30 a.m.

<u>NOTE</u>: To comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, to participate in any Board meeting please contact Dawn Deans at 661-947-4111 x1003 at least 48 hours prior to a Board meeting to inform us of your needs and to determine if accommodation is feasible.

Agenda item materials, as well as materials related to agenda items submitted after distribution of the agenda packets, are available for public review at the District's office located at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale. Please call Dawn Deans at 661-947-4111 x1003 for public review of materials.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT GUIDELINES:</u> The prescribed time limit per speaker is threeminutes. Please refrain from public displays or outbursts such as unsolicited applause, comments, or cheering. Any disruptive activities that substantially interfere with the ability of the District to carry out its meeting will not be permitted and offenders will be requested to leave the meeting.

Each item on the agenda shall be deemed to include any appropriate motion, resolution, or ordinance to take action on any item.

- 1) Roll call.
- 2) Adoption of agenda.
- 3) Public comments.
- 4) Action Items: (The public shall have an opportunity to comment on any action item as each item is considered by the Committee prior to action being taken.)

- 4.1) Consideration and possible action on approval of minutes of meeting held March 3, 2014.
- 4.2) Presentation, consideration, and possible action on acceptance and filing of 2013 Audit and Management Report. (Charles Z. Fedak & Company, CPAs/Financial Advisor Egan/Finance Manager Williams)
- 4.3) Discussion and overview of Cash Flow Statement and Current Cash Balances as of February 28, 2014. (Financial Advisor Egan)
- 4.4) Discussion and overview of Financial Statements, Revenue and Expense and Departmental Budget Reports for February 28, 2014. (Finance Manager Williams)
- 4.5) Discussion and overview of committed contracts issued. (Assistant General Manager Knudson)
- 4.6) Discussion and review of the District's Bid Procurement and Change Order Policy - Rules and Regulations Appendix M. (General Manager LaMoreaux)
- 4.7) Discussion and review of Low Income Assistance Program. (Finance Manager Williams)
- 5) Information items.
  - 5.1) Status on development of financial calendar. (Finance Manager Williams)
  - 5.2) Other.
- 6) Board members' requests for future agenda items.
- 7) Adjournment.

Many

DENNIS D. LaMOREAUX, General Manager

DDL/dd

# PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 2, 2014	April 7, 2014
то:	BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<b>Board Meeting</b>
FROM:	Mr. Michael Williams, Finance Manager	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4.2 – PRESENTATION, CON POSSIBLE ACTION ON ACCEPTANCE AND FILL AND MANAGEMENT REPORT. (CHARLES Z. FE CPAS/FINANCIAL ADVISOR EGAN/FINAT WILLIAMS)	NG OF 2013 AUDIT DAK & COMPANY,

Attached is the final 2013 Audit and Management Report. Mr. Paul Kaymark, of Charles Z. Fedak & Company, will present the audit at the Board meeting.

Staff recommends the Committee accept and file the 2013 Audit and Management Report.

# **Supporting Documents:**

• Final 2013 Audit and Management Report



# Palmdale Water District Annual Financial Report December 31, 2013 and 2012



# **Mission Statement**

The Mission of the Palmdale Water District is to provide high quality water to our current and future customers at a reasonable cost.

# **Vision Statement**

The District will strive for excellence in providing high quality, reasonably priced water in a growing Antelope Valley by being a strong advocate for our customers in local water issues, public education, asset management, water conservation, planning and securing additional water supplies, continuing our commitment to operate efficiently with the help of emerging technologies, challenging, motivating and rewarding our employees and offering premium customer service in all that we do.

# **Palmdale Water District**

Name	Division	Title	Elected/ Appointed	Term Expires
Robert Alvarado	1	Vice President	Elected	12/15
Joe Estes	2	Secretary	Elected	12/17
Gloria Dizmang	3	Treasurer	Elected	12/15
Kathy Mac Laren	4	President	Elected	12/15
Vincent Dino	5	Director	Elected	12/17

# **Board of Directors as of December 31, 2013**

Palmdale Water District Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager 2029 East Avenue Q Palmdale, California 93550 (661) 947-4111 – www.palmdalewater.org Palmdale Water District

**Annual Financial Report** 

For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

# Palmdale Water District Annual Financial Report For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

# **Table of Contents**

	<u>Page No.</u>
Table of Contents	i
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-7
Basic Financial Statements: Statements of Net Position Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position Statements of Cash Flows Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	8-9 10 11-12 13-39
Required Supplementary Information Section	
Schedule of Funding Progress	40
Report on Internal Controls and Compliance	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	41-42

**Financial Section** 



# Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants An Accountancy Corporation 6081 Orange Avenue Cypress, California 90630 (714) 527-1818 (562) 598-6565 FAX (714) 527-9154 EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com WEB www.czfcpa.com

# **Independent Auditor's Report**

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Palmdale Water District (District), which comprises the statements of net position as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the changes in its net position and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Independent Auditor's Report, continued

#### **Other-Matters**

#### **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 7 and the schedule of funding progress on page 40 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquires of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquires, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated March 31, 2014, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. This report can be found on pages 41 and 42.

Clark 7 Jell: Company CPA's - An Accounting Company Time

**Charles Z. Fedak and Company, CPA's – An Accountancy Corporation** Cypress, California March 31, 2014

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of activities and financial performance of the Palmdale Water District (District) provides an introduction to the financial statements of the District for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the basic financial statements and related notes, which follow this section.

# **Financial Highlights**

- In 2013, the District's net position decreased 0.69% or \$760,535 from \$109,432,705 to \$108,672,170. In 2012, the District's net position decreased 2.77% or \$3,115,637 from \$112,548,342 to \$109,432,705.
- The District's 2013 operating revenues increased 6.76% or \$1,528,043 due to an increase in water service revenues, while in 2012 the District's operating revenues increased 4.32% or \$936,524 due to an increase in water service revenues.
- The District's 2013 non-operating revenues increased 14.54% or \$1,012,878 due primarily to a \$1,217,741 increase in property tax collections. In 2012, non-operating revenues increased 12.92% or \$797,332 due primarily to a \$797,301 increase in the property tax assessment for the State Water Project and a one-time fixed-charge recovery of \$549,374 from the Dept. of Water Resources.
- The District's 2013 total expenses decreased 2.44% or \$827,050 due primarily to a \$1.55 million decrease in operating expenses and a \$1.183 million increase in non-operating expenses, while in 2012 the District's total expenses increased 8.48% or \$2,654,323 due primarily to a \$1.73 million increase in operating expenses, a \$434,327 decrease in overhead absorption, a \$482,956 increase in depreciation expense, and a \$2,260 increase in non-operating expenses.

# **Required Financial Statements**

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Position, Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position and Statement of Cash Flows provide information about the activities and performance of the District using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector companies.

The Statement of Net Position includes all of the District's investments in resources (assets), deferred outflows of resources, the obligations to creditors (liabilities), deferred inflows of resources and net position. It also provides the basis for computing a rate of return, evaluating the capital structure of the District and assessing the liquidity and financial flexibility of the District. All of the current year's revenue and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position. This statement measures the success of the District's operations over the past year and can be used to determine if the District has successfully recovered all of its costs through its rates and other charges. This statement can also be used to evaluate profitability and credit worthiness. The final required financial statement is the Statement of Cash Flows, which provides information about the District's cash receipts, cash payments during the reporting period. The Statement of Cash Flows reports cash cash come from, what was cash used for, and what was the change in cash balance during the reporting period.

# **Financial Analysis of the District**

One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is, "Is the District better off or worse off as a result of this year's activities?" The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position report information about the District in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the *accrual basis of accounting*, which is similar to the accounting used by most private sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when the cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the District's *net position* and changes in them. You can think of the District's net position – the difference between assets plus deferred outflows of resources less liabilities and deferred inflows of resources – as one way to measure the District's financial health, or *financial position*. Over time, *increases or decreases* in the District's net position are one indicator of whether its *financial health* is improving or deteriorating. However, one will need to consider other non-financial factors such as changes in economic conditions, population growth, zoning and new or changed government legislation, such as changes in Federal and State water quality standards.

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the basic financial statements. The notes to the basic financial statements can be found on pages 13 through 39.

1.04.4

Condensed Statements of Net Position						
	_	2013	2012	Change	2011	Change
Assets:						
Current assets	\$	28,344,627	16,911,413	11,433,214	16,298,271	613,142
Capital assets, net	_	154,293,310	156,809,338	(2,516,028)	158,808,157	(1,998,819)
Total assets	_	182,637,937	173,720,751	8,917,186	175,106,428	(1,385,677)
Deferred outflows of resources	_	3,076,540	1,582,279	1,494,261	1,427,144	155,135
Total assets and deferred						
outflows of resources	\$ _	185,714,477	175,303,030	10,411,447	176,533,572	(1,230,542)
Liabilities:						
Current liabilities	\$	11,013,657	10,619,967	393,690	10,066,614	553,353
Non-current liabilities	_	62,862,380	55,012,002	7,850,378	53,669,301	1,342,701
Total liabilities	_	73,876,037	65,631,969	8,244,068	63,735,915	1,896,054
Deferred inflows of resources	_	3,166,270	238,356	2,927,914	249,315	(10,959)
Net position:						
Net investment in capital assets		106,048,979	109,085,439	(3,036,460)	110,700,986	(1,615,547)
Restricted for debt service		-	-	-	1,494,920	(1,494,920)
Unrestricted	_	2,623,191	347,266	2,275,925	352,436	(5,170)
Total net position		108,672,170	109,432,705	(760,535)	112,548,342	(3,115,637)
Total liabilities, deferred inflows						
of resources and net position	\$ _	185,714,477	175,303,030	10,411,447	176,533,572	(1,230,542)

# **Statement of Net Position**

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the District, assets plus deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$108,672,170 and \$109,085,439 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A portion of the District's net position, 98% and 99% as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, reflects the District's investment in capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation) less any related debt or related deferred outflow of resources or deferred inflow of resources used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The District uses these capital assets to provide services to customers within the District's service area; consequently, these assets are7 *not* available for future spending.

At the end of year 2013 and 2012, the District showed a positive balance in its unrestricted net position of \$2,623,191 and \$347,266, respectively.

#### Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position

Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Postion

	_	2013	2012	Change	2011	Change
Revenues:						
Operating revenues	\$	24,125,011	22,596,968	1,528,043	21,660,444	936,524
Non-operating revenues	_	7,980,183	6,967,305	1,012,878	6,169,973	797,332
Total revenues		32,105,194	29,564,273	2,540,921	27,830,417	1,733,856
Expenses:						
Operating expenses		20,665,315	22,215,659	(1,550,344)	20,480,879	1,734,780
Overhead absorption		(217,332)	(42,639)	(174,693)	(476,966)	434,327
Depreciation expense		7,483,036	7,768,448	(285,412)	7,285,492	482,956
Non-operating expenses	_	5,179,659	3,996,260	1,183,399	3,994,000	2,260
Total expenses	_	33,110,678	33,937,728	(827,050)	31,283,405	2,654,323
Net loss before capital contributions		(1,005,484)	(4,373,455)	3,367,971	(3,452,988)	(920,467)
Capital contributions	_	244,949	1,257,818	(1,012,869)	1,301,719	(43,901)
Change in net position		(760,535)	(3,115,637)	2,355,102	(2,151,269)	(964,368)
Net position, beginning of year		109,432,705	112,548,342	(3,115,637)	115,884,972	(3,336,630)
Prior period adjustment	_	-			(1,185,361)	1,185,361
Net position, end of year	\$	108,672,170	109,432,705	(760,535)	112,548,342	(3,115,637)

The statement of revenues, expenses and changes of net position shows how the District's net position changed during the years. In the case of the District, net position decreased by \$760,535 and \$3,115,637 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A closer examination of the sources of changes in net assets reveals that:

The District's 2013 operating revenues increased 6.76% or \$1,528,043 due to an increase in water service revenues, while in 2012 the District's operating revenues increased 4.32% or \$936,524 due to an increase in water service revenues.

The District's 2013 non-operating revenues increased 14.54% or \$1,012,878 due primarily to a \$1,217,741 increase in property tax collections. In 2012, non-operating revenues increased 12.92% or \$797,332 due primarily to a \$797,301 increase in the property tax assessment for the State Water Project and a one-time fixed-charge recovery of \$549,374 from the Dept. of Water Resources.

The District's 2013 total expenses decreased 2.44% or \$827,050 due primarily to a \$1.55 million decrease in operating expenses and a \$1.183 million increase in non-operating expenses, while in 2012 the District's total expenses increased 8.48% or \$2,654,323 due primarily to a \$1.73 million increase in operating expenses, a \$434,327 decrease in overhead absorption, a \$482,956 increase in depreciation expense, and a \$2,260 increase in non-operating expenses.

# **Capital Asset Administration**

At the end of year 2013 and 2012, the District's investment in capital assets amounted to \$154,293,310 \$156,809,338 (net of accumulated depreciation), respectively. This investment in capital assets includes land, land rights, transmission and distribution systems, wells, tanks, reservoirs, pumps, buildings and structures, equipment, vehicles, State Water Project entitlement, and construction-in-process. There were numerous capital asset additions in the years 2013 and 2012 (See Note 5).

Changes in capital asset amounts for 2013 were as follows:

		Balance 2012	Additions	Transfers/ Deletions	Balance 2013
	-	2012	Additions	Deletions	2015
Capital assets:					
Non-depreciable assets	\$	5,728,926	2,920,947	(2,420,690)	6,229,183
Depreciable assets		267,119,035	6,204,257	(620,156)	272,703,136
Accumulated depreciation		(116,038,623)	(9,220,542)	620,156	(124,639,009)
Total capital assets, net	\$	156,809,338	(95,338)	(2,420,690)	154,293,310
Changes in capital asset amounts for 2012 we		as follows:			
		Balance		Transfers/	Balance
		2011	Additions	Deletions	2012
Capital assets:					
Non-depreciable assets	\$	6,325,459	4,997,254	(5,593,787)	5,728,926
Depreciable assets		262,196,234	7,945,102	(3,022,301)	267,119,035
Accumulated depreciation		(109,713,536)	(9,347,388)	3,022,301	(116,038,623)
Total capital assets, net	\$	158,808,157	3,594,968	(5,593,787)	156,809,338

# **Debt Administration**

In 2013, long-term debt increased by \$6,791,241, due primarily to a refunding and issuance of new debt during the year. In 2012, long-term debt decreased by \$261,498, due to regular principal payments on the District's outstanding debts (See Notes 8, 9 and 10).

Changes in long-term debt amounts for 2013 were as follows:

		Balance 2012	Additions/ Deletions	Principal Payments	Balance 2013
Long-term debt:					
2004 – COP's	\$	35,560,000	(35,560,000)	-	-
2012 - Refunding COP's		12,765,208	-	(1,038,719)	11,726,489
2013 – Bonds payable		-	44,350,000	(740,000)	43,610,000
Capital lease payable		742,614	-	(163,486)	579,128
Pension-related debt		1,141,041	-	(56,554)	1,084,487
Total long-term debt	\$	50,208,863	8,790,000	(1,998,759)	57,000,104
Changes in long-term debt amounts	for 2012	2 were as follows:			
		Balance	Additions/	Principal	Balance
		2011	Deletions	Payments	2012
Long-term debt:					
1998 – COP's	\$	13,345,000	(12,505,000)	(840,000)	-
2004 – COP's		35,940,000	-	(380,000)	35,560,000
2012 - Refunding COP's		-	12,765,208	-	12,765,208
Capital lease payable		-	863,876	(121,262)	742,614
Pension-related debt		1,185,361		(44,320)	1,141,041
Total long-term debt	\$	50,470,361	1,124,084	(1,385,582)	50,208,863

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide the District's funding sources, customers, stakeholders and other interested parties with an overview of the District's financial operations and financial condition. Should the reader have questions regarding the information included in this report or wish to request additional financial information, please contact the District's Finance Manager at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, CA 93550 or by phone (661) 947-4111.

< Page Intentionally Left Blank >

**Basic Financial Statements** 

# Palmdale Water District Statements of Net Position December 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (note 2) \$	8,481,773	7,240,103
Restricted – cash and cash equivalents (note 2)	7,761,016	-
Investments (note 2)	3,734,701	2,019,050
Accrued interest receivable	6,750	5,416
Accounts receivable – water sales and services, net (note 3)	1,784,916	2,053,085
Accounts receivable – property taxes and assessments	5,191,580	3,835,818
Accounts receivable – other	13,397	419,161
Note receivable – property taxes from state (note 4)	-	167,267
Materials and supplies inventory	832,364	938,813
Prepaid expenses and other deposits	538,130	232,700
Total current assets	28,344,627	16,911,413
Non-current assets:		
Capital assets – not being depreciated (note 5)	6,229,183	5,728,926
Capital assets – being depreciated, net (note 5)	148,064,127	151,080,412
Total non-current assets	154,293,310	156,809,338
<b>Total assets</b>	182,637,937	173,720,751
Deferred outflows of resources:		
Deferred charges, net (note 6)	-	786,956
Discount on certificates-of-participation, net (note 6)	127,947	-
Deferred loss on debt defeasance, net (note 6)	2,948,593	795,323
Total deferred outflows of resources	3,076,540	1,582,279
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources \$	185,714,477	175,303,030

Continued on the following page

# Palmdale Water District Statements of Net Position, continued December 31, 2013 and 2012

	_	2013	2012
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	631,476	2,097,670
Customer deposits for water service		2,597,134	2,339,642
Construction and developer deposits		1,742,137	1,700,426
Unearned property taxes and assessments		3,500,000	2,500,000
Accrued interest payable		563,062	491,010
Long-term liabilities – due in one year:			
Compensated absences (note 7)		271,874	244,437
Bonds payable (note 8)		455,000	-
Capital lease payable (note 9)		170,198	163,487
Certificates of participation (note 8)		1,017,774	1,027,277
Pension-related debt (note 10)	_	65,002	56,018
Total current liabilities	_	11,013,657	10,619,967
Non-current liabilities:			
Long-term liabilities – due in more than one year:			
Compensated absences (note 7)		90,625	81,479
Bonds payable (note 8)		43,155,000	-
Capital lease payable (note 9)		408,930	579,127
Certificates of participation (note 8)		10,708,715	47,297,931
Other post employment benefits payable (note 11)		7,479,625	5,968,442
Pension-related debt (note 10)	_	1,019,485	1,085,023
Total non-current liabilities	_	62,862,380	55,012,002
Total liabilities	_	73,876,037	65,631,969
Deferred inflows of resources:			
Premium on certificates-of-participation, net (note 6)	_	3,166,270	238,356
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	3,166,270	238,356
Net position:			
Net investment in capital assets (note 12)		106,048,979	109,085,439
Unrestricted (note 13)	_	2,623,191	347,266
Total net position	_	108,672,170	109,432,705
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position	\$	185,714,477	175,303,030

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

# Palmdale Water District Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Operating revenues:		
Water sales – commodity charge \$	9,217,809	8,782,455
Monthly water service charge	11,059,700	10,318,032
Water quality fees	1,650,551	1,658,418
Elevation fees	553,632	563,499
Other water service charges	1,643,319	. 1,274,564
Total operating revenues	24,125,011	22,596,968
Operating expenses:		
Source of supply – purchased water	3,205,345	4,770,143
Operations and production	5,685,515	5,181,634
Facilities	3,408,556	3,786,537
Engineering	1,460,236	1,346,680
Water conservation	396,176	322,307
Administration	3,111,692	3,542,508
Finance and customer service	3,397,795	3,265,850
Total operating expenses	20,665,315	22,215,659
Operating income before overhead absorption	3,459,696	381,309
Overhead absorption	217,332	42,639
Operating income before depreciation expense	3,677,028	423,948
Depreciation expense	(7,483,036)	(7,768,448)
Operating loss	(3,806,008)	(7,344,500)
Non-operating revenue(expense):		
Property taxes – ad valorum	1,765,553	1,518,943
Property tax assessment for State Water Project	4,899,758	4,394,572
Successor agency component of property taxes	651,377	185,432
Investment earnings	34,920	24,866
Change-in-market value of investments	(39,707)	-
Rental income	154,972	146,420
Legal and insurance refunds/settlements	197,811	68,811
State Water Project amortization expense	(1,737,506)	(1,578,940)
Interest expense – long-term debt	(1,964,145)	(2,323,207)
Amortization of deferred resources – inflows/(outflows), net (note 6)	(1,478,008)	(94,113)
Dept. of Water Resources fixed-charge recovery	233,833	549,374
Other non-operating revenues/(expenses), net	81,666	78,887
Total non-operating revenues, net	2,800,524	2,971,045
Net loss before capital contributions	(1,005,484)	(4,373,455)
Capital contributions:		
Capital improvement fees	244,949	1,257,818
Total capital contributions	244,949	1,257,818
Change in net position	(760,535)	(3,115,637)
Net position, beginning of year	109,432,705	112,548,342
Net position, end of year \$	108,672,170	109,432,705

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

# Palmdale Water District Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

	_	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Cash receipts from customers for water sales and services Cash receipts from others Cash paid to vendors and suppliers for materials and services Cash paid to employees for salaries and wages	\$	24,650,672 1,115,757 (14,018,204) (6,547,188)	22,250,266 432,246 (13,370,958) (6,614,075)
Net cash provided by operating activities		5,201,037	2,697,479
Cash flows from non-capital financing activities:			
Proceeds from property taxes Proceeds from note receivable – property taxes from state	_	6,960,926 167,267	6,098,977 -
Net cash provided by non-capital financing activities	_	7,128,193	6,098,977
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:			
Acquisition and construction of capital assets Proceeds from capital improvement fees Principal paid on long-term debt Proceeds from refunding issuance Cost of refunding security Cost of refunding issuance Interest paid on long-term debt Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	_	(6,704,514) 244,949 (1,998,759) 44,986,800 (35,540,000) (629,800) (1,963,447) (1,604,771)	(6,460,470) 1,257,818 (1,385,582) 12,765,208 (12,642,208) (123,000) (2,435,745) (9,023,979)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales(puchases) of investments, net Interest and investment earnings	_	(1,755,358) 33,585	1,885,691 23,425
Net cash provided by(used in) investing activities	_	(1,721,773)	1,909,116
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		9,002,686	1,681,593
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	_	7,240,103	5,558,510
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	16,242,789	7,240,103
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to statements of net position:	_	2013	2012
Cash and cash equivalents Restricted – cash and cash equivalents	\$	8,481,773 7,761,016	7,240,103
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	16,242,789	7,240,103

Continued on the following page

# Palmdale Water District Statements of Cash Flows, continued For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

	_	2013	2012
Reconciliation of operating loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
	\$	(3,806,008)	(7,344,500)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	:		
Deprecation expense		7,483,036	7,768,448
Rental income		154,972	146,420
Legal and insurance refunds/settlements		197,811	68,811
Dept. of Water Resources fixed-charge recovery		233,833	549,374
Other non-operating revenues/(expenses), net		81,666	78,887
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase)Decrease in assets:			
Accounts receivable - water sales and services, net		268,169	(292,318)
Accounts receivable – other		405,764	(408,865)
Materials and supplies inventory		106,449	(226,069)
Prepaid expenses and other deposits		(305,430)	87,201
Increase(Decrease) in liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(1,466,194)	808,586
Customer deposits for water service		257,492	(54,384)
Construction and developer deposits		41,711	(2,381)
Compensated absences		36,583	(91,189)
Other post employment benefits payable	-	1,511,183	1,609,458
Total adjustments	-	9,007,045	10,041,979
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	5,201,037	2,697,479

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements

# (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# A. Organization and Operations of the Reporting Entity

The Palmdale Water District (District) was formed as an Irrigation District under Division 11 of the California Water Code in 1918. The District provides potable water service to a portion of the City of Palmdale, California, and surrounding unincorporated areas of the County of Los Angeles. The District is operated under the direction of a five-member board of directors. The board members are elected by the public for staggered four-year terms.

The Palmdale Water District Public Facilities Corporation (Corporation) was organized on August 22, 1991 pursuant to the Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law of the State of California (Title 1, Division 2, Part 2 of the California Corporations Code), solely for the purpose of acquiring and or constructing various public facilities and providing financial assistance to the District.

The criteria used in determining the scope of the reporting entity is based on the provisions of GASB Statement 14, as amended by GASB Statement 39. The District is the primary government unit. Component units are those entities which are financially accountable to the primary government, either because the District appoints a voting majority of the component unit's board or because the component unit will provide a financial benefit or impose a financial burden on the District. The District has accounted for the Corporation as a blended component unit. Despite being legally separate, this entity is so intertwined with the District that it is in substance part of the District's operations. Accordingly, this component unit is included within the financial statements of the District.

#### **B.** Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The District reports its activities as an enterprise fund, which is used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise, where the intent of the District is that the costs of providing water to its service area on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges (water sales), capital grants and similar funding. Revenues and expenses are recognized on the full accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned and expenses are recognized in the period incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place.

Operating revenues and expenses, such as water sales and water purchases, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the District. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Management, administration and depreciation expenses are also considered operating expenses. Other revenues and expenses not included in the above categories are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

# C. Financial Reporting

The District's basic financial statements are prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America (GAAP) issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) applicable to governmental entities that use proprietary fund accounting, including GASB Statement No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements.* Revenues are recognized when earned, and costs and expenses are recognized when incurred.

# (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

#### D. Assets, Deferred Outflows, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Net Position

#### 1. Use of Estimates

The preparation of the basic financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported changes in net assets during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### 2. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Substantially all of the District's cash is invested in interest bearing accounts. The District considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### 3. Investments and Investment Policy

The District has adopted an investment policy directing management to deposit funds in financial institutions.

Changes in fair value that occur during a fiscal year are recognized as investment income reported for that fiscal year. Investment income includes interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation or sale of investments.

#### 4. Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

The District extends credit to customers in the normal course of operations. When management deems customer accounts uncollectible, the District uses the allowance method for the reservation and write-off of those accounts.

#### 5. Property Taxes and Assessments

The County of Los Angeles Assessor's Office assesses all real and personal property within the County each year. The County of Los Angeles Tax Collector's Office bills and collects the District's share of property taxes and assessments. The County of Los Angeles Treasurer's Office remits current and delinquent property tax collections to the District throughout the year. Property tax in California is levied in accordance with Article 13A of the State Constitution at one percent (1%) of countywide assessed valuations.

Property taxes receivable at year-end are related to property taxes collected by the County of Los Angeles, which have not been credited to the District's cash balance as of December 31. The property tax calendar is as follows:

Lien date	March 1
Levy date	July 1
Due dates	November 1 and March 1
Collection dates	December 10 and April 10

# (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

#### D. Assets, Deferred Outflows, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Net Position, continued

#### 6. Materials and Supplies

Materials and supplies consist primarily of water meters, pipe and pipefittings for construction and repair to the District's water transmission and distribution system. Materials and supplies are valued at cost using a weighted average method. Materials and supplies items are charged to expense at the time that individual items are consumed.

#### 7. Prepaid Expenses

Certain payments to vendors reflects costs or deposits applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in the basic financial statements.

#### 8. Restricted Assets

Certain assets of the District are restricted for use by ordinance or debt covenant and, accordingly are shown as restricted assets on the accompanying statement of net assets. The District uses restricted resources, prior to using unrestricted resources, to pay expenditures meeting the criteria imposed on the use of restricted resources by a third party.

#### 9. Capital Assets

Capital assets acquired and/or constructed are capitalized at historical cost. District policy has set the capitalization threshold for reporting capital assets at \$5,000. Donated assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Upon retirement or other disposition of capital assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective balances and any gains or losses are recognized. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Littlerock Dam	50 years
Buildings, wells and distribution system	40 years
Machinery and equipment	3-20 years

#### **10. State Water Project – Participation Rights**

The District participates in the State Water Project (Project) entitling it to certain participation rights. The District's participation in the Project is through cash payments. Monies used for the construction of capital assets, such as pipelines, pumping facilities, storage facilities, etc., are recorded as participation rights and amortized over the life of the agreements. Certain projects also require payments for on-going maintenance; those payments are charged to expense as incurred.

#### **11. Deferred Charges**

The deferred charges are from debt issuance costs that have been expensed as incurred under GASB No. 65.

# (1) Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued

#### D. Assets, Deferred Outflows, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Net Position, continued

#### **12.** Compensated Absences

The District's personnel policies provide for accumulation of vacation and sick leave. Liabilities for vacation and sick leave are recorded when benefits are earned. Cash payment of unused vacation is available to those qualified employees when retired or terminated.

#### **13.** Customer Deposits

Based on a customer's credit, the District may require a deposit deemed reasonable by the District. These deposits are held to pay off close out bills or to cover delinquent payments.

#### 14. Net Position

The financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as follows:

- Net Investment in Capital Assets Component of Net Position- This component of net position consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by any debt outstanding against the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets or related debt is included in this component of net position
- **Restricted Component of Net Position** This component of net position consists of restricted assets reduced by liabilities and deferred inflows of resources related to those assets.
- Unrestricted Component of Net Position This component of net position is the net amount of the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that are not included in the determination of the net investment in capital assets or restricted component of net position.

#### 15. Water Sales

Most water sales are billed on a monthly cyclical basis. Estimated unbilled water revenue through year-end has been accrued.

#### **16. Capital Improvement Fees**

Capital improvement fees represent cash and capital asset additions contributed to the District by property owners, granting agencies or real estate developers desiring services that require capital expenditures or capacity commitment.

#### **17. Budgetary Policies**

The District adopts an annual non-appropriated budget for planning, control, and evaluation purposes. Budgetary control and evaluation are affected by comparisons of actual revenues and expenses with planned revenues and expenses for the period. Encumbrance accounting is not used to account for commitments related to unperformed contracts for construction and services.

# (2) Cash and Investments

Cash

Cash and investments as of December 31, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

	 2013	2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,481,773	7,240,103
Restricted – cash and cash equivalents	7,761,016	-
Investments	 3,734,701	2,019,050
Total cash and investments	\$ 19,977,490	9,259,153
n and investments as of December 31, consist of the following:		
	 2013	2012
Cash on hand	\$ 3,700	3,700
Deposits with financial institutions	1,378,439	259,404
Deposits in money market funds	14,848,945	6,965,326
Deposits with the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	11,705	11,673
Investments	 3,734,701	2,019,050
Total cash and investments	\$ 19,977,490	9,259,153

#### Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the District's Investment Policy

The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized by the District in accordance with the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive). The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees that are governed by the provisions of the District, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	<b>Of Portfolio</b>	in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
District Issued Bonds	5 years	None	None
Federal Agency Obligations	5 years	None	None
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None

#### Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. The table below identifies the **investment types** that are authorized for investments held by bond trustee. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address **interest rate risk**, **credit risk**, and **concentration of credit risk**.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	Of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Investment Contracts	None	None	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	None	None

# (2) Cash and Investments, continued

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as LAIF).

The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure District deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits. Of the bank balances, federally insured is unlimited for non-interest bearing accounts through December 31, 2013 and the remaining balance is collateralized in accordance with the Code; however, the collateralized securities are not held in the District's name.

#### Investment in State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

#### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the District manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio matures or comes close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide requirements for cash flow and liquidity needed for operations. Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the District's investments by maturity date:

# (2) Cash and Investments, continued

#### Interest Rate Risk, continued

Investment maturities as of December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	,				
Investment Type		Amount	12 Months Or Less	13 to 24 Months	25-60 Months
Certificates-of-deposit Government sponsored agency obligations	\$	1,739,716 1,994,985	479,602	542,937 510,925	717,177 1,484,060
Total	\$	3,734,701	479,602	1,053,862	2,201,237
Investment maturities as of December 31, 2012	2, were as	follows:	Remain	ing Maturity (in Mo	nths)
Investment maturities as of December 31, 2012 Investment Type	2, were as	follows: Amount	Remain 12 Months Or Less	ing Maturity (in Mo 13 to 24 Months	nths) 25-60 Months
	2, were as		12 Months	13 to 24	25-60

**Remaining Maturity (in Months)** 

# Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the District's investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of year end for each investment type.

Credit ratings as of December 31, 2013, were as follows:		Minimum		Rating as of year-end		
Investment Type		Amount	Legal Rating		Moody's Aaa	Not Rated
Certificates-of-deposit Government sponsored agency obligations	\$	1,739,716 1,994,985	Aaa Aaa	\$	- 1,994,985	1,739,716
Total	\$	3,734,701		\$	1,994,985	1,739,716
Credit ratings as of December 31, 2012, were a	s follows	:	Minimum	_	Rating as of	year-end
Investment Type		Amount	Legal Rating		Moody's Aaa	Not Rated
Government sponsored agency obligations	\$	2,019,050	Aaa	\$	2,019,050	
Total	\$	2,019,050		\$	2.019.050	-

# **Concentration of Credit Risk**

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. Investments in any one issuer (other than U.S. Treasury securities, mutual funds, and external investment pools) that represent 5% or more of total District investments are as follows:

	Investment	 Invested A	Amount
Issuer	Туре	 2013	2012
Certificates-of-deposit	Certificates-of-deposit	\$ 1,739,716	-
Government sponsored agency obligations	Government sponsored agency	 1,994,985	2,019,050
Total		\$ 3,734,701	2,019,050

# (3) Accounts Receivable – Water Sales and Services, Net

The balance at December 31, consists of the following:

	 2012	2011
Accounts receivable – water sales and services Allowance for uncollectible accounts	\$ 2,011,316 (226,400)	2,102,402 (49,317)
Accounts receivable - water sales and services, net	\$ 1,784,916	2,053,085

# (4) Note Receivable – Property Taxes from State

Under the provisions of the State of California Proposition 1A and as part of the 2010 fiscal year State of California budget package passed by the California State Legislature on July 28, 2009, the State of California borrowed 8.0% of the amount of property tax revenue apportioned to cities, counties and special districts. The State of California is required to repay this borrowing plus interest by June 30, 2013. After repayment of this initial borrowing, the California State Legislature may consider only one additional borrowing within a ten-year period. The borrowing was paid in full by the State of California on June 14, 2013.

The balance at December 31, consists of the following:

	 2013	2012
Property taxes from state	\$ -	161,817
Accrued interest	 -	5,450
Note receivable - property taxes from state	\$ _	167,267

# (5) Capital Assets

Changes in capital assets for 2013, were as follows:		Balance 2012	Additions/ Transfers	Deletions/ Transfers	Balance 2013
Non-depreciable assets:					
Land and land rights	\$	1,784,358	-	-	1,784,358
Construction-in-process		3,944,568	2,920,947	(2,420,690)	4,444,825
Total non-depreciable assets	_	5,728,926	2,920,947	(2,420,690)	6,229,183
Depreciable assets:					
Buildings, wells and distribution system		201,710,783	2,314,137	(620,156)	203,404,764
SWP – participation rights		55,038,127	3,835,620	-	58,873,747
Machinery and equipment		10,370,125	54,500		10,424,625
Total depreciable assets		267,119,035	6,204,257	(620,156)	272,703,136
Accumulated depreciation & amortization:					
Buildings, wells and distribution system		(90,734,769)	(6,296,963)	620,156	(96,411,576)
SWP – participation rights		(18,408,786)	(1,737,506)	-	(20,146,292)
Machinery and equipment		(6,895,068)	(1,186,073)		(8,081,141)
Total accumulated depreciation		(116,038,623)	(9,220,542)	620,156	(124,639,009)
Total depreciable assets, net		151,080,412	(3,016,285)		148,064,127
Total capital assets, net	\$	156,809,338	(95,338)	(2,420,690)	154,293,310

# (5) Capital Assets, continued

Changes in capital assets for 2012, were as follows:		Balance 2011	Additions/ Transfers	Deletions/ Transfers	Balance 2012
Non-depreciable assets:					
Land and land rights	\$	1,156,263	628,095	-	1,784,358
Construction-in-process		5,169,196	4,369,159	(5,593,787)	3,944,568
Total non-depreciable assets	_	6,325,459	4,997,254	(5,593,787)	5,728,926
Depreciable assets:					
Buildings, wells and distribution system		198,936,518	3,212,474	(438,209)	201,710,783
SWP – participation rights		51,704,834	3,333,293	-	55,038,127
Machinery and equipment	_	11,554,882	1,399,335	(2,584,092)	10,370,125
Total depreciable assets	_	262,196,234	7,945,102	(3,022,301)	267,119,035
Accumulated depreciation & amortization:					
Buildings, wells and distribution system		(85,225,386)	(5,947,592)	438,209	(90,734,769)
SWP – participation rights		(16,829,846)	(1,578,940)	-	(18,408,786)
Machinery and equipment	_	(7,658,304)	(1,820,856)	2,584,092	(6,895,068)
Total accumulated depreciation	_	(109,713,536)	(9,347,388)	3,022,301	(116,038,623)
Total depreciable assets, net	_	152,482,698	(1,402,286)		151,080,412
Total capital assets, net	\$	158,808,157	3,594,968	(5,593,787)	156,809,338

# Construction-In-Process

The District has been involved in various construction projects throughout the year. The balances of the various construction projects that comprise the construction-in-process balances at December 31 are as follows:

The balance at December 31, consists of the following projec	2011	2012	2013
10 M.G.D. Treatment plant (New Facility)	913,674	-	-
Sediment removal – Littlerock Dam	707,859	946,305	1,033,185
Well 24A drill and equipment	619,129	-	-
12th Street/Avenue Q4 main replacement	598,923	-	-
Distribution master plan	532,335	532,335	-
Recycled facilities master plan	270,739	291,543	296,111
Well 2 rehabilitation	161,143	-	-
Avenue S10/40th Street waterline replacement	150,011	-	-
Well 36 drill and equipment	149,602	-	-
Well 37 drill and equipment	148,634	-	-
Garden bar study	148,069	162,630	162,630
Division Street/Avenue Q3 waterline replacement	108,756	1,030,925	-
Well 11A rehabilitation project	70	323,627	361,528
3600' Zone tank replacement project	-	100,904	-
20th/22nd/Pld Bl/Ave Q Vicinity waterline replacement	-	69,207	163,998
Avenue Q5/16th St. E. waterline replacement	-	47,824	506,895
Ave Q/Ave Q3/Division/Sumac waterline replacement	-	13,363	863,956
Avenue P14 waterline replacement	-	2,909	191,418
Localized GAC Contractor	-	-	408,617
Various other minor projects >\$100,000	660,252	422,996	456,487
Construction-in-process \$	5,169,196	3,944,568	4,444,825

#### (5) Capital Assets, continued

#### State Water Project – Participation Rights

In 1963, the District contracted with the State of California (State) for 1,620 acre-feet per year of water from the State Water Project (SWP). In subsequent years, the annual entitlement increased to 21,300 acre feet. The SWP distributes water from Northern California to Southern California through a system of reservoirs, canals, pumps stations and power generation facilities.

The District is one of many participants contracting with the State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR) for a system to provide water throughout California. Under the terms of the State Water Contract, as amended, the District is obligated to pay allocable portions of the cost of construction of the system and ongoing operations and maintenance costs through at least the year 2035, regardless of the quantities of water available from the project. The District and the other contractors may also be responsible to the State for certain obligations by any contractor who defaults on its payments to the State.

Management's present intention is to exercise the District's option to extend the contractual period to at least 2052, under substantially comparable terms. This corresponds to an estimated 80-year service life for the original facilities. The State is obligated to provide specific quantities of water throughout the life of the contract, subject to certain conditions.

In addition to system on-aqueduct power facilities, the State has, either on its own or though joint venture, financed certain off-aqueduct power facilities (OAPF). The power generated is utilized by the system for water transportation and distribution purposes. Power generated in excess of system needs is marketed to various utilities and California's power market. The District is entitled to a proportionate share of the revenues resulting from sales of excess power. The District and the other water providers are responsible for repaying the capital and operating costs of the OAPF regardless of the amount of power generated.

The District capitalizes its share of system construction costs as participation rights in the State water facilities when such costs are billed by the DWR. Unamortized participation rights essentially represent a prepayment for future water deliveries through the State system. The District's share of system operations and maintenance costs is charged to expense as incurred.

The District amortizes a portion of capitalized participation rights each year using a formula that considers the total estimated cost of the project, estimated useful life and estimated production capacity of the assets based upon information provided by the State of California. GASB Statement No. 51, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets*, requires that all intangible assets not specifically excluded by their scope provisions be classified as capital assets. Accordingly, the participation rights have been included with the District's capital assets as shown in the schedule of changes in capital assets.

#### **Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources** (6)

Changes in deferred resources outflows and inflows for 2013, were as follows:

	Balance 2012	Additions	Transfers	Amortization	Balance 2013
Deferred outflows of resources:					
Deferred charges, net \$	786,956	629,800	-	(1,416,756)	-
Discount on bond payable, net, net	-	130,456	-	(2,509)	127,947
Deferred loss on debt defeasance, net	795,323	2,044,873	233,790	(125,393)	2,948,593
Total deferred outflows of resources \$	1,582,279	2,805,129	233,790	(1,544,658)	3,076,540
Deferred inflows of resources:					
Premium on certificates-of-participation, n \$	238,356	3,228,354	(233,790)	(66,650)	3,166,270
Total deferred inflows of resources \$	238,356	3,228,354	(233,790)	(66,650)	3,166,270
Amortization of deferred resources - inflows/(outflo	ows), net		\$	(1,478,008)	

Changes in deferred resources outflows and inflows for 2012, were as follows:

	Balance 2011	Additions	Transfers	Amortization	Balance 2012
— Deferred outflows of resources:					
Deferred charges, net \$	704,158	123,000	-	(40,202)	786,956
Discount on certificates-of-participation, net	188,216	-	(174,868)	(13,348)	-
Deferred loss on debt defeasance, net	534,770	137,207	174,868	(51,522)	795,323
Total deferred outflows of resources \$	1,427,144	260,207		(105,072)	1,582,279
Deferred inflows of resources:					
Premium on certificates-of-participation, n \$	249,315			(10,959)	238,356
Total deferred inflows of resources \$	249,315			(10,959)	238,356
Amortization of deferred resources – inflows/(outflows	), net		\$	(94,113)	

resources – inflows/(outflows),

#### **Compensated Absences** (7)

Changes in compensated absences for 2013, were as follows:

	Balance 2012	Earned	Taken	Balance 2013	Current Portion	Long-term Portion
\$	325,916	476,883	(440,300)	362,499	271,874	90,625
Chang	ges in compensated	l absences for 2012, we	ere as follows:			
	Balance			Balance	Current	Long-term
	2011	Earned	Taken	2012	Portion	Portion
\$	417,105	541,774	(632,963)	325,916	244,437	81,479

# (8) Long-term Debt

Changes in long-term debt amounts for 2013, were as follows:

		Balance 2012	Additions/ Deletions	Principal Payments	Balance 2013
Long-term debt:					
2004 - Certificates of participation	\$	35,560,000	(35,560,000)	-	-
2012 - Refunding certificates of participation		12,765,208	-	(1,038,719)	11,726,489
2013 – Bonds payable		-	44,350,000	(740,000)	43,610,000
Capital lease payable		742,614	-	(163,486)	579,128
Pension-related debt	_	1,141,041	-	(56,554)	1,084,487
Total long-term debt		50,208,863	8,790,000	(1,998,759)	57,000,104
Less: current portion	_	(1,246,782)			(1,707,974)
Total non-current portion	\$	48,962,081		-	55,292,130

Changes in long-term debt amounts for 2012, were as follows:

		Balance 2011	Additions/ Deletions	Principal Payments	Balance 2012
Long-term debt:		2011	Detetions	<u>i ayments</u>	2012
1998 – Certificates of participation	\$	13,345,000	(12,505,000)	(840,000)	-
2004 - Certificates of participation		35,940,000	-	(380,000)	35,560,000
2012 - Refunding certificates of participation		-	12,765,208	-	12,765,208
Capital lease payable		-	863,876	(121,262)	742,614
Pension-related debt		1,185,361	-	(44,320)	1,141,041
Total long-term debt		50,470,361	1,124,084	(1,385,582)	50,208,863
Less: current portion	_	(1,264,320)			(1,246,782)
Total non-current portion	\$	49,206,041		:	48,962,081

# Certificates of Participation

#### **1998** Certificates of Participation

In April 1998, the District issued \$21,925,000 of Refunding Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 1998 (1998 COP's), with maturities from 1998 through 2023 and an average interest rate of 4.73%. The net proceeds of approximately \$21,150,000 (plus an additional \$2.9 million of District funds) were used to accomplish an advance refunding (an in-substance defeasance) of \$23,210,000 aggregate principal amount of the District's Certificates of Participation (Refunding and Water Facilities and Improvement Project) Series 1991 and Certificates of Participation (Littlerock Dam Project) Series 1993A, with an average interest rate of 5.78%. The initial escrow deposit was used to purchase state and local government securities. These securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the Series 1991 and Series 1993A Certificates of Participation.

The advance refunding resulted in a different between the reacquisition price and the net carrying value amount of the old debt of \$1,160,564. This difference is being amortized through 2023 (the life of the debt) using the straight-line method as a deferred loss on debt defeasance. The District completed the advance refunding to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 25 years by approximately \$6.5 million and to obtain an economic gain (the difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of approximately \$3.4 million.

In 2012, the District advanced refunded the remaining \$12,505,000 of the 1998 COP's into the 2012 Refunding Certificates of Participation issuance.

### (8) Long-Term Debt, continued

#### Certificates of Participation, continued

#### 2004 Certificates of Participation

In August 2004, the District issued \$38,285,000 of Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2004 (2004 COP's), with maturities from 2008 through 2034 and an average interest rate of 4.90%. The net proceeds are to be used to finance the acquisition, construction and improvement of certain water facilities and to pay issuance costs of the debt. Issuance of the 2004 COP's resulted in a premium of \$328,767 which is being amortized over the life of the issue using the straight-line method.

In 2013, the District advanced refunded the remaining \$35,560,000 of the 2004 COP's into the 2013 Bonds payable issuance.

#### 2012 Refunding Certificates of Participation

In November 2012, the District issued \$12,765,208 of Refunding Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2012 (2012 COP's), with maturities from 2013 through 2023 and an interest rate of 3.100%. The net proceeds of the issuance were used to accomplish an advance refunding (an in-substance defeasance) of \$12,505,000 aggregate principal amount of the District's 1998 COP's with an average interest rate of 4.73%. The initial escrow deposit was used to purchase government sponsored agency obligation securities. These securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the Series 1998 Certificates of Participation.

The advance refunding resulted in a different between the reacquisition price and the net carrying value amount of the old debt of \$312,075. This difference is being amortized through 2023 (the life of the debt) using the straight-line method as a deferred loss on debt defeasance. The District completed the advance refunding to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 11 years by approximately \$1.293 million and to obtain an economic gain (the difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of approximately \$1.154 million.

Year	 Principal	Interest	Total
2014	1,017,774	355,694	1,373,468
2015	1,048,421	323,907	1,372,328
2016	1,082,553	291,144	1,373,697
2017	1,115,453	257,332	1,372,785
2018	1,147,084	222,509	1,369,593
2019-2023	 6,315,204	550,772	6,865,976
Total	\$ 11,726,489	2,001,358	13,727,847
Less: current	 (1,017,774)		
Total non-current	\$ 10,708,715		

Annual debt service requirements for the 2012 Refunding Certificates of Participation are as follows:

#### (8) Long-Term Debt, continued

#### **Bonds** Payable

#### 2013 Bonds Payable

The District's Public Financing Authority issued \$44,350,000 in Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A (2013 Bonds) with maturities from 2013 through 2043 with an interest rate range between 2.00% to 5.00% pursuant to an Indenture of Trust, dated as of May 1, 2013, by and between the Authority and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The 2013 Bonds are being issued: (i) to prepay the District's outstanding Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, (ii) to finance certain improvements to the District's Water System; (iii) to purchase a municipal bond insurance policy to guarantee payment of the principal of and interest on the 2013 Bonds; (iv) to purchase a municipal bond debt service reserve insurance policy for deposit in the Reserve Fund; and (v) to pay the costs of issuing the 2013 Bonds. Principal and interest payments are due in April and October each year.

Year		Principal	Interest	Total
2014	\$	455,000	1,888,725	2,343,725
2015		470,000	1,879,625	2,349,625
2016		475,000	1,870,225	2,345,225
2017		495,000	1,855,975	2,350,975
2018		510,000	1,841,125	2,351,125
2019-2023		2,835,000	8,900,225	11,735,225
2024-2028		11,675,000	7,584,725	19,259,725
2029-2033		14,550,000	4,714,600	19,264,600
2034-2038		6,865,000	1,723,950	8,588,950
2039-2043	_	5,280,000	650,000	5,930,000
Total	\$	43,610,000	32,909,175	76,519,175
Less: current	_	(455,000)		
Total non-current	\$	43,155,000		

Annual debt service requirements for the 2013 Bonds payable are as follows:

# (9) Capital Lease Payable

The District has leased \$863,876 in equipment under a capital lease agreement, upon which the District will take ownership of the equipment at the end of the lease-term. Capital lease payments of \$15,868 are due on a monthly-basis from April 2013 to March 2017 at an annual interest rate of 4.030%. Annual debt service requirements for the capital lease payable are as follows:

Year		Principal	Interest	Total
2014	\$	170,198	20,218	190,416
2015		177,185	13,231	190,416
2016		184,459	5,957	190,416
2017	_	47,286	318	47,604
Total	\$	579,128	39,724	618,852
Less: current		(170,198)		
Total non-current	\$	408,930		
#### (10) Pension-Related Debt – CalPERS Side-Fund

As of June 30, 2003, CalPERS implemented risk-pooling for the District's agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. As a result, the District's defined benefit pension plan with CalPERS converted from an agent multiple-employer plan to a cost sharing multiple-employer plan. This change in the type of the plan created the CalPERS Side-Fund, which CalPERS financed at a 7.75% interest rate. CalPERS actuarially calculated the amount needed to bring the District into the cost sharing multiple-employer plan on an equal basis with other governmental agencies who had less than 100 active and retired employees combined. The reason that CalPERS switched these governmental agencies into the cost sharing multiple-employer plan was to smooth the annual costs related to the pension benefit over a longer period of time resulting in a lower cost of service to the governmental agencies.

A portion of the District's annual required contributions to CalPERS are actuarially determined and shared by all governmental agencies within the cost sharing risk pool. Also, the District is required to make annual payments to pay-down the CalPERS Side-Fund, as well. The responsibility for paying-down the District's CalPERS Side-Fund is specific to the District and is not shared by all governmental agencies within the cost sharing risk pool. Therefore, the Side Fund falls under the definition of pension-related debt, as described in GASB Statement No. 27 and recorded as liability on the District's financial statements.

Annual payments on the CalPERS Side-Fund represent principal and interest payments on the pensionrelated debt. Debt principal and interest expense is blended into the CalPERS pension benefit rate by individual class of District employee and repaid to CalPERS each payroll period throughout the fiscal year. The following is a pay-down schedule of the remaining payments of the District's CalPERS Side-Fund at a 7.50% interest rate, which was reduced by CalPERS in fiscal year 2012 for fiscal years 2012 and beyond as follows:

Year	 Principal	Interest	Total
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	\$ 65,002	80,531	145,533
January 1, 2015 to June 30, 2015	34,718	39,244	73,962
July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016	79,100	68,425	147,525
July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017	89,620	62,330	151,950
July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018	101,068	55,441	156,509
July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019	113,517	47,688	161,205
July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020	127,045	38,966	166,011
July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021	141,737	29,284	171,021
July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022	157,688	18,465	176,153
July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023	 174,992	6,562	181,554
Total	\$ 1,084,487	446,936	1,531,423
Less: current	 (65,002)		
Total non-current	\$ 1,019,485		

#### (11) Other Post Employment Benefits Payable

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the District implemented GASB Statement No. 45, which changed the accounting and financial reporting used by local government employers for post employment benefits. Previously, the costs of such benefits were generally recognized as expenses of local government employers on a pay-as-you-go basis. The new reporting requirements for these benefit programs as they pertain to the District are set forth below.

#### Plan Description – Eligibility

The District administers its post-employment benefits plan, a single-employer defined benefit plan (Plan). The following requirements must be satisfied in order to be eligible for post employment medical, dental and vision benefits: (1) Attainment of age 55, and 20 years for full-time service, and (2) Retirement from the District (the District must be the last employer prior to retirement).

Membership in the OPEB plan consisted of the following members as of December 31:

	2013	2012	2011
Active plan members	90	90	90
Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits	10	10	10
Separated plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	<u> </u>	_	
Total plan membership	100	100	100

#### Plan Description – Benefits

The District offers post employment medical, dental and vision benefits to retired employees who satisfy the eligibility rules. Spouses and surviving spouses are also eligible to receive benefits. Retirees may enroll in any plan available through the ACWA-JPIA medical, dental and vision programs. The contribution requirements of Plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the Board of Directors.

#### Funding Policy

The District is required to contribute the *Annual Required Contribution (ARC) of the Employer*, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The current ARC rate is 30.58% for 2013 and 29.97% for 2012 of the annual covered payroll.

The District will pay 100% of the cost of the post-employment benefit plan. The District funds the plan on a pay-as-you-go basis and maintains reserves (and records a liability) for the difference between pay-as-you-go and the actuarially determined ARC cost.

#### Annual Cost

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the District's ARC cost was \$1,892,506 and \$1,902,341, respectively. The District's net OPEB payable obligation amounted to \$7,479,625 and \$5,968,442 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The District contributed \$381,323 and \$292,883 in age adjusted contributions for current retiree OPEB premiums for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### (11) Other Post Employment Benefits Payable, continued

#### Annual Cost, continued

The balance at December 31, consists of the following:	 2013	2012	2011
Annual OPEB expense:			
Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$ 2,002,499	1,982,672	1,982,672
Interest on net OPEB obligation	122,950	89,796	55,498
Adjustment to annual required contribution	 (232,943)	(170,127)	(105,146)
Total annual OPEB expense	1,892,506	1,902,341	1,933,024
Change in net OPEB payable obligation:			
Age adjusted contributions made	 (381,323)	(292,883)	(268,096)
Total change in net OPEB payable obligation	1,511,183	1,609,458	1,664,928
OPEB payable – beginning of year	 5,968,442	4,358,984	2,694,056
OPEB payable – end of year	\$ 7,479,625	5,968,442	4,358,984

The District's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of the annual OPEB cost contributed to the Plan, and the net OPEB obligation for 2013 and the two preceding years were as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	 Annual OPEB Cost	Age Adjusted Contribution	Percentage of Annual OPE <u>Cost Contribut</u>	 Net OPEB Obligation Payable
2013	\$ 1,892,506	381,323	20.15%	\$ 7,479,62
2012	\$ 1,902,341	292,883	15.40%	\$ 5,968,44
2011	\$ 1,933,024	268,096	13.87%	\$ 4,358,98

#### Funded Status and Funding Progress of the Plan

The most recent valuation (dated December 31, 2010) includes an Actuarial Accrued Liability and Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability of \$16,234,820. There are no plan assets because the District funds on a pay-as-you-go basis and maintains no reserves equal to the remaining net post-employment benefits payable obligation. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$6,547,188 The ratio of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to annual covered payroll was 247.97% as of December 31, 2010.

See Page 40 for the Schedule of Funding Progress.

#### (11) Other Post Employment Benefits Payable, continued

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan at the time of each valuation and the pattern of sharing of costs between the employer and plan members to that point. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The following is a summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods:

#### (12) Net Investment in Capital Assets

The balance at December 31, consists of the following:		2013	2012
Capital assets – not being depreciated	\$	6,229,183	5,728,926
Capital assets – being depreciated, net		148,064,127	151,080,412
Restricted – cash and cash equivalents		7,761,016	-
Deferred outflows of resources		3,076,540	1,582,279
Bonds payable – current portion		(455,000)	-
Capital lease payable – current portion		(170,198)	(163,487)
Certificates of participation – current portion		(1,017,774)	(1,027,277)
Bonds payable – non-current portion		(43,155,000)	-
Capital lease payable – non-current portion		(408,930)	(579,127)
Certificates of participation – non-current portion		(10,708,715)	(47,297,931)
Deferred inflows of resources:		(3,166,270)	(238,356)
Net investment in capital assets	\$	106,048,979	109,085,439
(13) Designations of Unrestricted Net Position			
The balance at December 31, consists of the following:		2013	2012
Prepaid assets:			
Materials and supplies inventory	\$	832,364	938,813
Prepaid expenses and other deposits		538,130	232,700
Total non-spendable unrestricted net position		1,370,494	1,171,513
Rate stabilization reserve		480,000	-
Undesignated net position(deficit)	_	772,697	(824,247)
Total unrestricted net position	\$	2,623,191	347,266

#### (14) Deferred Compensation Savings Plan

For the benefit of its employees, the District participates in a 457 Deferred Compensation Program (Program). The purpose of this Program is to provide deferred compensation for public employees that elect to participate in the Program. Generally, eligible employees may defer receipt of a portion of their salary until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency. Until the funds are paid or otherwise made available to the employee, the employee is not obligated to report the deferred salary for income tax purposes.

Federal law requires deferred compensation assets to be held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants. Accordingly, the District is in compliance with this legislation. Therefore, these assets are not the legal property of the District, and are not subject to claims of the District's general creditors. Market value of all plan assets held in trust by the Lincoln Financial Group at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$3,554,426 and \$3,002,661, respectively.

The District has implemented GASB Statement No. 32, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans*. Since the District has little administrative involvement and does not perform the investing function for this plan, the assets and related liabilities are not shown on the statement of net assets.

#### (15) Defined Benefit Pension Plan

#### **Plan Description**

The District contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public agencies within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and the District. Copies of CalPERS annual financial report may be obtained form their executive Office: 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.

#### **Funding Policy**

The contribution rate for plan members in the CalPERS 2.0% at 55 Risk Pool Retirement Plan is 7% of their annual covered salary. The District makes these contributions required of District employees on their behalf and for their account. Also, the District is required to contribute the actuarially determined remaining amounts necessary to fund the benefits for its members. The required employer contribution rates for years 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

Time Period	2012	2011	2010
Jan. 1 - June 30	11.913%	11.004%	10.392%
July 1 - Dec. 31	12.385%	11.913%	11.004%

The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State statute, and the employer contribution rate is established and may be amended by CalPERS. For fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, the District's annual contribution was \$1,065,484, \$1,190,148 and \$1,086,497, respectively, for CalPERS and was equal to the District's required and actual contributions for each year.

See Page 40 for the Schedule of Funding Progress.

#### (15) Defined Benefit Pension Plan, continued

#### California Public Employees Pension Reform Act of 2013

On September 12, 2012, the California Governor signed the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) into law. PEPRA took effect January 1, 2013.

Key components of the legislation are as follows:

- Establishes PEPRA which will apply to all public employers and public pension plans on and after January 1, 2013 (Except specific exemptions);
- Establishes new retirement tiers/benefits for new public employees;
- Prohibits certain cash payments from being counted as compensation; and
- Increases retirement age for all new public employees.

#### Second-Tier – Beginning January 1, 2013

The contribution rate for plan members in the CalPERS 2.0% at 62 Retirement Plan under PEPRA is 6.25% of their annual covered wages. District employees contribute 6.25% of their annual covered wages to their account. Also, the District is required to contribute the actuarially determined remaining amounts necessary to fund the benefits for its members. The employer contribution rate (6.25%) and member contribution rate (6.25%) is a combined rate of 13.20% which will be in effect until June 30, 2015.

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan at the time of each valuation and the pattern of sharing of costs between the employer and plan members to that point. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The following is a summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods:

Valuation date	June 30, 2012
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal cost method
Amortization method	Level percent of payroll, open
Average remaining amortization period	19 years as of the valuation date
Asset valuation method	15 year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:	
Discount rate	7.50% (net of administrative expenses)
Projected salary increase	3.30% to 14.20% depending on age, service, and type of emplyment
Inflation	2.75%
Payroll growth	3.00%
Individual salary growth	A merit scale varying by duration of emplyment coupled with an assumed annual inflation growth of 2.75% and an annual production growth of 0.25%

#### (16) Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District is a member of the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Authority (ACWA/JPIA), an intergovernmental risk sharing joint powers authority created to provide self-insurance programs for California water agencies. The purpose of the ACWA/JPIA is to arrange and administer programs of self-insured losses and to purchase the appropriate amount of insurance coverage. At December 31, 2013, the District participated in the liability and property programs of the ACWA/JPIA as follows:

• General and auto liability: The District has a zero deductible for general and auto liability. The District purchased additional excess coverage layers: \$60 million for general, auto and public officials liability, which increases the limits on the insurance coverage noted above.

In addition to the above, the District also has the following insurance coverage:

- Employee dishonesty coverage up to \$100,000 per loss includes public employee dishonesty, forgery or alteration and theft, computer fraud coverage's.
- Property loss is paid based on the replacement cost or actual cash value for the property on file. If the property is replaced within two years after the loss or otherwise paid on an actual cash value basis, to a combined total of \$100 million per occurrence it is subject to a \$2,500 deductible per occurrence.
- Boiler and machinery coverage for the replacement cost up to \$50 million per occurrence, subject to various deductibles depending on the type of equipment.
- Public officials' liability coverage up to \$1 million for each occurrence subject to the policy term.
- Workers' compensation insurance provides coverage with a self-insured retention limit of \$2 million for all work related injuries/illnesses covered by California law. The District has purchased an excess insurance policy for an additional \$2 million to statutory limits.

In addition, the District in August 2013 continued a separate policy from ACWA/JPIA with underwriters at Lloyd's for commercial earthquake/business income interruption insurance. This insurance was purchased to safeguard the District in case of a major earthquake until disaster relief funds are made available by state and federal agencies. This policy has provisions as follows:

- The loss limit is \$5,000,000 per occurrence and in the annual aggregate.
- Deductible is 20% of values per unit of insurance subject to \$25,000 minimum per occurrence.
- Coverage for 2029 East Avenue Q location is \$2,780,625 building limit and \$378,000 contents, including \$6,000,000 business income.

Settled claims have not exceeded any of the coverage amounts in any of the last three fiscal years and there were no reductions in the District's insurance coverage during the years ending December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated net of the respective insurance coverage. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). There were no IBNR claims payable as of December 31, 2012, 2012 and 2011.

#### (17) Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements Issued, Not Yet Effective

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued several pronouncements prior to December 31, 2013, that have effective dates that may impact future financial presentations.

#### Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27. The primary objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for pensions that is provided by other entities. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for pensions with regard to providing decisionuseful information, supporting assessments of accountability and inter-period equity, and creating additional transparency.

This Statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers*, as well as the requirements of Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to pensions that are provided through pension plans administered as trusts or equivalent arrangements (hereafter jointly referred to as trusts) that meet certain criteria. The requirements of Statements 27 and 50 remain applicable for pensions that are not covered by the scope of this Statement. The provisions of Statement 68 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2014. The impact of the implementation of this Statement to District's financial statements has not been assessed at this time.

#### Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 69

In January 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 69 – *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations*. The objective of this Statement is to provide new accounting and financial reporting standards for government mergers and acquisitions and for government operations that have been transferred or sold. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The impact of the implementation of this Statement to District's financial statements has not been assessed at this time.

#### Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 70

In April 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 70 - Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Guarantees. Provisions of this Statement require that governments that extend non-exchange financial guarantees to recognize a liability when qualitative factors and historic data, if any, indicate that it is more likely than not that the government will be required to make a payments on the guarantee. The amount of the liability to be recognized should be the discounted present value of the best estimate of the future outflows related to the guarantee expected to be incurred. When there is no best estimate but a range of the estimated future outflows can be established, the amount of the liability to be recognized should be the discounted present value of the minimum amount within the range. The impact of the implementation of this Statement to District's financial statements has not been assessed at this time.

#### Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 71

In November 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 71 – Pension Transition for Contributions made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68. The objective of this Statement is to address an issue regarding application of the transition provisions of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. The issue relates to amounts associated with contributions, if any, made by a state or local government employer or non-employer contributing entity to a defined benefit pension plan after the measurement date of the government's beginning net pension liability. The impact of the implementation of this Statement to District's financial statements has not been assessed at this time.

#### (18) Commitments and Contingencies

#### State Water Contract

Estimates of the District's share of the project fixed costs of the State Water Project (SWP) are provided annually by the State. The estimates are subject to future increases or decreases resulting from changes in planned facilities, refinements in cost estimates and inflation. During the next five years payments under the State Water Contract, exclusive of variable power costs, are currently estimated by the State to be as follows:

Fiscal Year	 Amount
2014	\$ 5,132,599
2015	5,115,557
2016	4,754,579
2017	4,638,456
2018	4,655,058

As of December 31, 2013, the District has expended approximately \$88,898,398 since the District started participating in the State Water Contract. According to the State's latest estimates, the District's long-term obligations under the contract, for capital and minimum operations and maintenance costs, including interest to the year 2035, are as follows:

Type of Long-Term Obligation		Amount
State Water Project Contract:		
Transportation facilities	\$	73,139,308
Delta water charges		20,602,252
Off-aqueduct power facilities		769,007
Revenue bond surcharge		4,670,110
Total	\$	99,180,677

The amounts shown above do not contain any escalation for inflation and are subject to significant variation over time because the amounts are based on a number of assumptions and are contingent on future events. Accordingly, none of the estimated long-term obligations are recorded as liabilities in the accompanying basic financial statements.

#### Bay/Delta Regulatory and Planning Activities

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) is the agency responsible for setting water quality standards and administering water rights throughout California. Decisions of the State Board can affect the availability of water to the District and other water users by means of public proceedings leading to regulations and decisions. In 1995, the State Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan establishing water quality standards and flow improvements in the Bay/Delta watershed. In August 2000, the California Federal (CALFED) Bay/Delta Program Record of Decision (Decision) was approved with mandates to improve water quality, enhance water supply reliability, augment ecosystem restoration, and assure long-term protection for Delta levees. During its first three years, CALFED has invested more than \$2.0 billion in hundreds of local and regional projects to meet these program goals. In May 2004, a Delta Improvement Package was proposed to facilitate implementation of the Decision. Funding is expected to be provided by state and federal appropriations and contributions from local users, including the District. CALFED's objective is to allocate project costs based on a beneficiaries pay policy, that is new costs commensurate with benefits received. At this time, the exact allocation of costs between the federal, state, and local users has not been determined, and therefore, the District cannot estimate the extent of timing of its contributions at this time.

#### (18) Commitments and Contingencies, continued

#### **Construction Contracts**

The District has a variety of agreements with private parties relating to the installation, improvement or modification of water facilities and distribution systems within its service area. The financing of such construction contracts is being provided primarily from the District's replacement reserves and advances for construction. The District has committed to approximately \$6,954,500 to complete the open construction contracts as of December 31, 2013. These include the following:

Project Name	Cost of Project to Date	Estimated Costs to Complete	Total Expected Project Cost
Sediment removal – Littlerock Dam	1,033,185	516,000	1,549,185
Ave Q/Ave Q3/Division/Sumac waterline replace	863,956	40,000	903,956
Avenue Q5/16th St. E. waterline replacement	506,895	1,000	507,895
Localized GAC Contractor	408,617	4,500	413,117
Well 11A rehabilitation project	361,528	-	361,528
Recycled facilities master plan	296,111	-	296,111
Avenue P14 waterline replacement	191,418	100,000	291,418
20th/22nd/Pld Bl/Ave Q Vicinity waterline replace	163,998	1,243,000	1,406,998
Garden bar study	162,630	-	162,630
Frontier/31st St. E. waterline replacement	46,804	1,200,000	1,246,804
10th Street E. waterline replacement	45,147	1,400,000	1,445,147
Upper Amargosa recharge project	-	1,250,000	1,250,000
Littlerock recharge and recovery project	-	900,000	900,000
Various other minor projects >\$100,000	364,536	300,000	664,536
Total \$	4,444,825	6,954,500	11,399,325

#### (18) Commitments and Contingencies, continued

#### City of Palmdale v. Palmdale Water District

On May 13, 2009, the District's Board of Directors adopted a new water rate structure, known as a water budget rate structure. Under this new rate structure, District customers are provided with a monthly allocation of water, as determined by a mathematical formula that applies various factors, including, but not limited to, lot size, number of persons in the household and actual weather data. A customer's monthly usage is then measured against that customer's water allocation for that month and the percentage of usage that exceeds that allocation is then placed in tiers, with increasing water rates applied to each higher tier of usage.

Also at the May 13, 2009 Board meeting, the District and the Palmdale Water District Public Facilities Corporation (Corporation) adopted resolutions approving the issuance of Certificates of Participation (COP's) to finance various District capital projects and to replenish the District's reserves, which had been expended to pay for substantial improvements to the District's water treatment plant. Those COP's were to be partially secured by the revenue stream derived from the District's new water rate structure.

On May 14, 2009, the City of Palmdale (City) filed a lawsuit, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC413432 (Rate Litigation), to challenge the District's adoption of the new rate structure. The City contended the District violated Article XIIID, Section 6 of the California Constitution both procedurally and substantively.

Among other things, the City contended that the new water rate structure results in rates that exceed the reasonable cost of the service the District provides, and that the resulting rates charged to a parcel are not proportional to the cost attributable to providing water service to that parcel.

The City also filed a separate lawsuit under Code of Civil Procedure Section 863, known as a reverse validation action (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC413907 (Validation Action), seeking to invalidate the District's new rate structure and the actions taken by the District and the Corporation to approve the COP's. The City based the Validation Action on the same alleged constitutional violations on which it based the Rate Litigation.

Trial of the Validation Action occurred on February 26, 2010 (the Rate Litigation was stayed, pending the outcome of the Validation Action). On that date, the court issued its tentative ruling, heard oral arguments from the parties and took the matter under submission. On March 12, 2010, the court issued its ruling that rejected the City's challenge in the Validation Action and validated the District's new rate structure and the actions taken in noticing, adopting and implementing that structure.

The City appealed the court's ruling in the Validation Action. On August 9, 2011, the Court of Appeal issued its decision that reversed the trial court's judgment and held that the District violated Article XIIID, Section 6 of the California Constitution. That ruling was based on the court's determination that the different widths of tiers among customer classes in the District's water budget rate structure violated the "proportionality of costs" requirement of Article XIIID, Section 6.

Based on the Court of Appeal's decision, the District cured the defect noted by the court by revising its water budget rate structure so that the tiers among the three customer classes are now equal. District staff has recalculated water charges using those equalized tiers from when the new structure took effect in June 2009 and has been issuing credits back to impacted District customers, including the City.

The City maintains the District's action to cure the defect in its rate structure is not permissible and that the District should apply the rate structure in effect prior to its action in May 2009 to adopt the new structure. The City has submitted a form of judgment in that regard for the trial court to consider.

#### (18) Commitments and Contingencies, continued

#### City of Palmdale v. Palmdale Water District, continued

The District subsequently filed a supplemental complaint in the action seeking monetary damages for the taking of its facilities that would have resulted from the City providing recycled water service to existing District accounts.

This case was settled in September 6, 2012 in connection with the global settlement of all existing litigation between the District and the City. As part of that settlement, the District and the City agreed to form a joint powers agency, the Palmdale Recycled Water Authority, to provide recycled water service within the District's service area.

#### Palmdale Recycled Water Authority – Joint Powers Authority

The City and the District have formed a Joint Powers Authority the Palmdale Recycled Water Authority (Authority) to be the recycled water supplier within the boundaries of the District (both inside and outside City boundaries). While the Joint Powers Agreement is in force, neither the City nor District will individually supply recycled water within the boundaries of the Authority.

The City and the District expect to accomplish a reallocation of the recycled water supply produced by the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 such that the effluent generated within the City that is tributary to the Palmdale Treatment Plant (Sanitation District No. 20) and to the Lancaster Treatment Plant (Sanitation District No. 14), less that previously allocated for environmental projects by both Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 and 4,000 acre-feet for the Palmdale Power Plant, is available to the Authority for purchase. The City and the District agree to use their best efforts to obtain this result.

The Authority's allocation will be approximately 6,000 acre-feet. The City, District and Sanitation Districts have calculated the overall "Palmdale" allocation to be 12,800 acre-feet, with 2,800 acre-feet allocated to the above-referenced environmental projects, leaving 10,000 acre-feet available. The City has already committed to 4,000 acre-feet for its Palmdale Power Plant, leaving the entire remaining estimated allocation of 6,000 feet for the Authority's uses. The City and District acknowledge that this reallocation is a significant factor in the decision of both the City and the District to enter into this Agreement. Future recycled water, when available, will be allocated between the West Palmdale-area projects and Authority projects approximately in proportion to the effluent generated by the respective areas.

Prior to the execution of this Agreement, the City's principal focus was on the direct use of recycled water for its Palmdale Power Plant and for the irrigation of parks and landscaped areas, and on the use of recycled water for groundwater recharge. The District's principal focus was on providing recycled water to agricultural users in exchange for the right to produce groundwater for potable uses, and on the use of recycled water for groundwater recharge. By this Agreement, the parties hereby commit themselves to support all three uses of recycled water, and agree that all available recycled water should be put to beneficial use as soon as practicable.

#### **Other Litigation**

In the ordinary course of operations, the District is subject to claims and litigation from outside parties. Nevertheless, after consultation with legal counsel, the District believes that these actions, when finally concluded and determined are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the District's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

#### (19) Subsequent Events

Events occurring after December 31, 2013, have been evaluated for possible adjustment to the financial statements or disclosure as of March 31, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The District is not aware of any subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

< Page Intentionally Left Blank >

# **Required Supplementary Information**

#### Palmdale Water District Schedule of Funding Progress For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

#### (1) Defined Benefit Pension Plan

#### Development of the Actuarial Value of Assets Calculation in a Risk Pool

The District is part of the CalPERS Miscellaneous 2.0% at 55 yrs. Risk Pool	 June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013
1. Plan's accrued liability	\$ 24,831,715	26,605,702	-
2. Plan's side fund	(1,203,412)	(1,167,310)	-
3. Pool's accrued liability	3,619,835,876	4,175,139,166	-
4. Pool's side fund	(115,840,552)	(132,335,224)	-
5. Pool's actuarial value of assets (AVA) including receivables	3,203,214,899	3,686,598,343	-
6. Plan's actuarial value of assets (AVA) including receivables $[(1+2)/(3+4) \ge 5]$	21,600,067	23,197,052	-
7. Pool's market value of assets (MVA) including receivables	2,867,303,802	3,120,110,130	-
8. Plan's market value of assets (MVA) including receivables $[(1+2)/(3+4) \times 7]$	19,334,935	19,632,558	-

#### Funding History

The Funding History below shows the actuarial accrued liability, the actuarial value of assets, the market value of assets, funded ratios and the annual covered payroll. The actuarial value of assets is used to establish funding requirements and the funded ratio on this basis represents the progress toward fully funding future benefits for current plan participants. The funded ratio based on the market value of assets is an indicator of the short-term solvency of the plan in the risk pool.

Actuarial		Actuarial Accrued	Actuarial Value of	Market Value of	Funded	Ratio	Annual
Valuation Date		Liability (a)	Assets (AVA) (b)	Assets (MVA) (c)	AVA (b/a)	MVA (c/a)	Covered Payroll
June 30, 2011	\$	24,831,715	21,600,067	19,334,935	86.99%	77.86%	\$ 5,967,838
June 30, 2012		26,605,702	23,197,052	19,632,558	87.19%	73.79%	6,154,498
June 30, 2013	*	-	-	-	0.00%	0.00%	-
		Actuarial		Actuarial	Market		
Actuarial							
Valuation		Accrued Liability	Plan's Side Fund	Accrued Liability, net	Value of Assets (MVA)	Unfunded Obligation	Funded
Valuation Date		Accrued Liability (a)	Plan's Side Fund (b)	Accrued Liability, net (a+b) = (c)	Value of Assets (MVA) (d)	Unfunded Obligation (c-d)	Funded Ratio
		Liability	Side Fund	Liability, net	Assets (MVA)	Obligation	
Date		Liability (a)	Side Fund (b)	Liability, net (a+b) = (c)	Assets (MVA) (d)	Obligation (c-d)	Ratio

\* CalPERS has not provided the information for these periods as of the date of the audit report.

#### (2) Other Post-Employment Benefits Payable

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Plan Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (b)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio		Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	
12/31/2010	 (a)	16.234.820	16,234,820	( <b>a/b</b> ) 0.00%		6,547,188	(( <b>b-a</b> )/c) 247.97%	
12/31/2008	\$ -	4,497,022	4,497,022	0.00%	\$	6,311,893	71.25%	

Funding progress is presented for the year(s) that an actuarial study has been prepared since the effective date of GASB Statement 45. Actuarial review and analysis of the post-employment benefits liability and funding status is performed every three years or annually if there are significant changes in the plan. The next scheduled actuarial review and analysis of the post-employment benefits liability and funding status will be performed in 2014 based on the year ending December 31, 2013.

< Page Intentionally Left Blank >

# **Report on Internal Controls and Compliance**



Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants An Accountancy Corporation 6081 Orange Avenue Cypress, California 90630 (714) 527-1818 (562) 598-6565 FAX (714) 527-9154 EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com WEB www.czfcpa.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Palmdale Water District (District), which comprise the statement of net position as of December 31, 2013, and the related statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency* in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards, continued*

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Charle 7 Jell : Company CPAis - An Accounting Company Time

**Charles Z. Fedak & Company, CPA's – An Accountancy Corporation** Cypress, California March 31, 2014 Palmdale Water District

**Management Report** 

December 31, 2013



Certified Public Accountants An Accountancy Corporation

### Palmdale Water District

### **Management Report**

## **Table of Contents**

Item	Page No.
General Introduction	1
Summary of Current Year Comments and Recommendations	2
Appendix:	
Finance Committee Letter	1-4
Schedule of Audit Adjusting Journal Entries	



Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants An Accountancy Corporation 6081 Orange Avenue Cypress, California 90630 (714) 527-1818 (562) 598-6565 FAX (714) 527-9154 EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com WEB www.czfcpa.com

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

#### **Dear Members of the Board:**

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Palmdale Water District (District) as of and for the year ending December 31, 2013, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. In addition, because of inherent limitations in internal control, including the possibility of management override of controls, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected by such controls. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, the finance committee, the board of directors and others within the organization, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this letter, which is a matter of public record.

Our other observations, comments and recommendations, all of which have been discussed with the appropriate members of management, are summarized as follows:

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Page 2

#### **Summary of Current and Prior Year Comments and Recommendations**

#### Disclosure of Audit Adjustments and Reclassifications

As your external auditor, we assume that the books and records of the District are properly adjusted before the start of the audit. In many cases, however, audit adjustments and reclassifications are made in the normal course of the audit process to present the District's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or for comparison purposes with the prior year. For the Board of Directors to gain a full and complete understanding and appreciation of the scope and extent of the audit process we have noted here that there are no audit adjustments this year: however, certain reclassification adjustments were needed for financial reporting purposes. There can be very reasonable explanations for situations of having numerous adjustments as well as having no adjustments at all. However, the issue is simply disclosure of the adjustments and reclassifications that were made and to provide the Board of Directors with a better understanding of the scope of the audit.

#### Management's Response

We have reviewed and approved all of the reclassification entries provided by the auditor and have entered those entries into the District's accounting system to close-out the District's year-end trial balance, if necessary.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, the finance committee, the board of directors and others within the organization, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this letter, which is a matter of public record.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during our examination. We would be pleased to discuss the contents of this letter with you at your convenience. Please do not hesitate to contact us.

Clark 7 Jell: Company CPA'S - An Accounting CORPORTION

**Charles Z. Fedak and Company, CPA's – An Accountancy Corporation** Cypress, California March 31, 2014

## APPENDIX

Palmdale Water District

**Finance Committee Letter** 

December 31, 2013



## Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants An Accountancy Corporation 6081 Orange Avenue Cypress, California 90630 (714) 527-1818 (562) 598-6565 FAX (714) 527-9154 EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com WEB www.czfcpa.com

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Palmdale, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Palmdale Water District (District) for the year ended December 31, 2013 and have issued our report thereon dated March 31, 2014. Generally accepted auditing standards require that we provide the Governing Board and management with the following information related to our audit of the District's basic financial statements.

#### Auditor's Responsibility under United States Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our Audit Engagement Letter dated February 3, 2014, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express opinions about whether the basic financial statements prepared by management with oversight of the Governing Board are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve the Governing Board or management of its responsibilities of oversight in the District's external financial reporting process or any other processes.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Governmental Auditing Standards*.

We are responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures specifically to identify such matters.

#### Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing requirements as noted in our Audit Planning Letter dated March 3, 2014.

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Page 2

#### **Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices**

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in Note 1 to the basic financial statements.

We noted no transactions entered into by the District during year 2013 for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

#### Management's Judgments, Accounting Estimates and Financial Disclosures

Accounting estimates play an integral part in the preparation of basic financial statements by management and are based upon management's knowledge, experience and current judgment(s) about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the basic financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate(s) affecting the position in the basic financial statements is (are):

Management's estimate of the fair value of cash and investments is based on information provided by financial institutions. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the fair value of cash and investments in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of the allowance for delinquent/doubtful accounts is based on historical write-offs of past due delinquent/doubtful customer accounts, customer creditworthiness, and calculated assumptions of expected future write-offs. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the allowance for delinquent/doubtful accounts in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of the property tax receivable account is based on the District's expected property tax receipts from the County of Los Angeles' Treasurer-Tax Collector's Office during the period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the property tax receivable account balance in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of the State Water Project – participation rights account is based on the District's continued annual capital payment participation in the State of California Department of Water Resources State Water Project for capital upgrades to the State Water Project system. The District amortizes the capitalized portion of the participation rights each year using a formula that considers the total estimated cost of the project, estimated useful life and estimated production capacity of the assets based upon information provided by the State of California Department of Water Resources. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the State Water Project – participation rights balance in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of capital assets depreciation is based on historical estimates of each capitalized item's useful life expectancy or cost recovery period. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the capital asset depreciation calculations in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of the other post-employment benefits payable is based on an actuarial evaluation of this liability that was conducted by a third-party actuary. We evaluated the basis, actuarial methods and assumptions used by the actuary to calculate the annual required contribution for the District to determine that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The disclosures in the basic financial statements are neutral, consistent and clear. Certain basic financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosure(s) affecting the basic financial statements is (are):

The disclosure of fair value of cash and investments in Note 2 to the basic financial statements represents amounts susceptible to market fluctuations.

The disclosure of the District's allowance for delinquent/doubtful accounts in Note 3 to the basic financial statements represents amounts susceptible to external factors the District has no control over, such as, the state of the economy in the District's service area.

The disclosure of the District's expected accounts receivable – property tax represents amounts susceptible to external factors the District has no control over, such as, the state of the economy in the District's service area, the assessment of the property by the County of Los Angeles' Assessor's Office and the collection of the property tax receipts by the County of Los Angeles' Treasurer-Tax Collector's Office.

The disclosure of the District's State Water Project – participation rights in Note 5 to the basic financial statements represents amounts susceptible to external factors the District has no control over, such as, legal and impairment issues the State Water Project is facing.

The disclosure of capital assets, net in Note 5 to the basic financial statements is based on historical information which could differ from actual useful lives of each capitalized item.

The disclosure of the District's other post-employment benefits payable in Note 11 to the basic financial statements is based on actuarial assumptions which could differ from actual costs.

#### **Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements**

Generally Accepted Auditing Standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, except those that are considered trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management as follows:

There were three (3) audit adjustment/reclassification entries, made to the original trial balance presented to us to begin our audit. The overall net effect of the adjustments was to increase the net assets of the District by approximately \$7,868. Management has corrected these misstatements in the basic financial statements and in the District's financial accounting records. Please see the entries attached at the end of this report.

#### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves the application of an accounting principal to the District's basic financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require us the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

#### **Other Audit Findings or Issues**

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the District's auditor. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

#### **Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit**

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit processes and testwork.

Board of Directors Palmdale Water District Page 4

#### **Disagreements with Management**

For the purpose of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction that could be significant to the basic financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit of the District.

#### **Management Representations**

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the Management Representational Letter to the Auditor dated March 31, 2014.

#### Conclusion

We appreciate the cooperation extended us by Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager, Michael A. Williams, Finance Manager, Robert Egan, Financial Consultant, and Dennis Hoffmeyer, Senior Accountant, in the performance of our audit testwork.

We will be pleased to respond to any question you have about the foregoing. We appreciate the opportunity to continue to be of service to the District.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified, parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this letter, which is a matter of public record.

Clark 7 Jell: Company CPA's - An Accountancy CORPORTION

**Charles Z. Fedak and Company, CPA's – An Accountancy Corporation** Cypress, California March 31, 2014

	21001100	Entries						
December 31, 2013								
Description		Debit	Credit					
ntries JE# 1								
2013A Bonds - Discount	\$	127,947.30						
2013A Bonds - Premium			127,947.30					
		127,947.30	127,947.30					
ntries JE# 2								
Asset Rpl Fund-Work in Process		7,867.74						
Interest Long Term Debt			7,867.74					
		7,867.74	7,867.74					
ntries JE# 3								
Deferred Charge Amort. Expense		71,354.00						
Interest Long Term Debt			71,354.00					
	\$	71,354.00	71,354.00					
	Schedule of Audit Adjustin December 31, Description htries JE# 1 unt 2013A Bonds - Discount 2013A Bonds - Premium 2013A Bonds - Premium htries JE# 2 Asset Rpl Fund-Work in Process Interest Long Term Debt htries JE# 3 mortization Deferred Charge Amort. Expense	December 31, 2013         Description         Description         antries JE # 1         2013A Bonds - Discount       \$         2013A Bonds - Premium       \$         2013A Bonds - Premium       \$         ntries JE # 2       \$         Asset Rpl Fund-Work in Process       \$         Interest Long Term Debt       \$         ntries JE # 3       \$         mortization       \$         Deferred Charge Amort. Expense       \$         Interest Long Term Debt       \$	Schedule of Audit Adjusting Journal Entries         December 31, 2013         Description       Debit         Optical Sector of Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Optical Sector of Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Optical Sector of Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Optical Sector of Colspan="2">Optical Sector of Colspan="2">Opt					

## PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

## BOARD MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** April 2, 2014

**TO:** FINANCE COMMITEE

April 7, 2014

**Committee Meeting** 

**FROM:** Mr. Bob Egan, Financial Advisor

RE: AGENDA ITEM NO. 4.3 – DISCUSSION AND OVERVIEW OF CASH FLOW STATEMENT AND CURRENT CASH BALANCES AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2014

Attached is the Investment Funds Report and current cash balance as of February 28, 2014. The reports will be reviewed in detail at the Finance Committee meeting.

#### PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT INVESTMENT FUNDS REPORT

					February 28,	2014		
					i ebi uai y 20,	2014	February-14	January-14
	DESCR							
CASH								
0-0103	Citizens/US Ba	۱k	- Checking				715,576.80	458,642.37
0-0104	<b>Citizens-Merch</b>	an	t				152,187.40	139,762.21
						Bank cash	867,764.20	598,404.58
0-0119	PETTY CASH						300.00	300.00
0-0120	CASH ON HANI	נ					3,400.00	3,400.00
	TOTAL CASH						871.464.20	602,104.58
INVESTM		1					0/1,404.20	002,104.30
INVESTIN	LNTS							
0-0110	UBS ACCOUNT	SS	S 11469 GG					
	UBS RMA Gove						6,511,405.54	6,219,958.02
	<b>UBS Bank USA</b>	De	ep acct				250,000.00	250,000.00
			-				6,761,405.54	6,469,958.02
0-1110	UBS ACCOUNT	S	6 11475 GG					
	UBS Bank USA			-			250,000.00	250,000.00
	UBS RMA Gove	rnı	ment Portfolio				210,301.68	200,400.97
							460,301.68	450,400.97
0.0445							44 740 00	11 710 00
0-0115	LAIF						11,712.80	11,712.80
0-0111	UBS ACCOUNT		S 11/22 CC					
0-0111	UBS Bank USA						250,000.00	250,000.00
	UBS RMA Gove		•				77,575.92	77,565.15
	UBS RIVIA GOVE	r –					,	,
	US GOVERNME		crued interest				10,398.85	7,243.11
	ISSUE	:NI	SECORITIES:	EXPIR			MARKET	MARKET
	DATE		ISSUER	DATE	RATE	PAR	VALUE	VALUE
	DATE		IOOOEIX	DATE	INATE	TAN	TALUL	TALUE
			FNMA	10/26/15	1.625	500,000	510,725.00	511,220.00
						,		,
			FNMA	06/28/17	1.125	500,000	500,350.00	500,840.00
			FNMA	07/17/17	1.2	500,000	499,105.00	498,510.00
			FHLB	12/28/17	0.95	500,000	493,420.00	493,005.00
-								
						2,000,000	2,003,600.00	2,003,575.00
			CD'S			,,	,,	
		1	Bank of India	05/07/14	0.50	240,000	240,019.20	240,028.80
		2	Bank of Baroda	11/12/14	0.45	240,000	239,860.80	239,796.00
		3	GE Capital Bank	05/01/15	0.60	64,000	63,938.56	63,921.92
		4	Ally Bank	05/06/15	0.60	240,000	239,757.60	239,697.60
		5 6	Sallie Mae Bank	11/06/15	0.85	240,000	240,175.20	239,978.40
		6 7	Goldman Sachs Bk CIT Bank	11/07/16 11/06/17	1.00 1.60	240,000 240,000	239,200.80 240,127.20	238,982.40 239,872.80
	+	/ 8	BMW Bank	11/15/18	2.00	240,000	239,779.20	239,402.40
			E Buint			1,744,000	1,742,859	1,741,680
						, ,	, ,	,,
	TOTAL MANAG	ED	ACCOUNT				4,084,433.33	4,080,063.58
	TOTAL INVEST	MĒ	NTS	Į			11,317,853.35	11,012,135.37
			2 2 4 0 4 6	Data Stabil	tion Frond		490.005.00	490,000,00
	UBS ACCOUNT	55	5 24010	Rate Stabiliza	ation Fund		480,025.80	480,006.00
	GRAND TOTAL		ASH AND INVESTM	ENTS			12.669.343.35	12,094,245.95
	SIGND TOTAL					-	12,003,343.33	12,034,243.93
		Sı	ummary:					
			necking	871,464		Incr (Decr)	575,097.40	
			вѕ мм	7,221,707		7		
			AIF	11,713		1		
			3S Investment	4,084,433				
		Ra	ate Stab fund	480,026		BNY Mellon		
			Total	12,669,343		Construction	7,352,177.22	7,488,642.96

04/02/14	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2014</u>
	<u>January</u>	<b>February</b>	March	<u>April</u>	May	June	July	August	September	<u>October</u>	November	December
Water Sales	1,649,955	1,630,351	1,693,000	1,859,000	2,005,000	2,163,000	2,302,000	2,457,000	2,505,000	2,288,000	2,076,000	1,766,000
	1,649,955	1,630,351	1,693,000	1,859,000	2,005,000	2,163,000	2,302,000	2,457,000	2,505,000	2,288,000	2,076,000	1,766,000
	40.000.004	40.004.045	10 000 040	40 705 004	40.050.404	40.004.000	40.070.400	40.005.540	40.004.000	40.000.000	0.004.000	0 740 000
Beginning Balance	12,223,261	12,094,245	12,669,343	10,765,291	12,256,164	12,601,963	12,672,438	12,285,546	12,294,693	10,369,336	9,394,393	9,712,969
Water Receipts	1,955,377	1,805,677	1,693,000	1,859,000	2,005,000	2,163,000	2,302,000	2,457,000	2,505,000	2,288,000	2,076,000	1,766,000
Other												
Total Operating Revenue	1,955,377	1,805,677	1,693,000	1,859,000	2,005,000	2,163,000	2,302,000	2,457,000	2,505,000	2,288,000	2,076,000	1,766,000
Operating Expenses:												
Total Operating Expenses excl GAC	2,060,493	1,411,384	1,830,000	1,722,800	1,952,000	1,976,400	2,171,600	2,171,600	2,196,000	2,196,000	1,830,000	1,708,000
Non-Operating Revenue Expensess:												
Assessments, net	636,921	291,389	2,500	2,036,100	634,000	6,300	311,000	145,000			237,000	2,647,000
Special Avek CIF Payment												
Interest	3,782	3,457	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083	2,083
Mkt adj	10,554	1,203										
Grant Re-imbursement												
Capital Improvement Fees		2,538	90,000	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667	6,667
DWR Refund/( payment )												
Other /Palmdale Redevel Agncy	10,079	52,154	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833	55,833
Total Non-Operating Revenues	661,336	350,742	150,417	2,100,683	698,583	70,883	375,583	209,583	64,583	64,583	301,583	2,711,583
Capital Expenditures	(106,595)	(13,862)	(62,000)	(105,000)	(55,000)	(53,000)	(82,000)	(100,000)	(50,000)	(10,000)		(18,000)
GÁC					(216,776)		(216,829)	(216,829)		(315,518)		(43,583)
SWP Capitalized	(578,181)	(118,142)	(142,503)	(118,142)	(118,141)	(118,140)	(578,178)	(118,140)	(146,976)	(118,140)		(118,139)
Prepaid Insurance (paid) refunded			(66,000)					(35,000)		(165,000)		
Bond Payments Interest			(1,126,124)						(1,118,296)			
Principal			(504,974)						(967,800)			
System Work for AVEK												
Butte payments				(507,000)						(507,000)		
Capital leases	(460)	(37,933)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)	(15,868)
Legal adjudication fees												
Total Cash Ending Balance	12,094,245	12,669,343	10,765,291	12,256,164	12,601,963	12,672,438	12,285,546	12,294,693	10,369,336	9,394,393	9,712,969	12,286,962
											Budget	
											diff	1,530,962

## PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 1, 2014	April 7, 2014
TO:	Finance Committee	<b>Committee Meeting</b>
FROM:	Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFO	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM 4.4 – DISCUSSION AND O STATEMENTS, REVENUE AND EXPENSE AND REPORTS FOR FEBRUARY 28, 2014	

#### **Discussion:**

Presented here are Balance Sheet and Profit/Loss Statement for the period ending February 28, 2014. Also included are Year-To-Year Comparisons and Month-To-Month Comparisons for both revenue and expense. Finally, I have provided individual departmental budget reports for the month of February, 2014.

This is the second month of the District's Budget Year 2014. The target percentage is 16.6%. Revenues ideally are at or above, and expenditures ideally are below.

#### **Balance Sheet:**

- Page 1 is our balance sheet on February 28, 2014.
- The significant change is the recognition of 2013A Bond-Cost of Issuance as an expense. The change results from implementation of new accounting pronouncements, specifically Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 65.

#### **Profit/Loss Statement:**

- Page 3 is our profit/loss statement on February 28, 2014.
- Operating revenue is at 13.4% of budget. The percentages are usually lower than target this time of year.
- Cash operating expense is at 15.4% of budget. This is below the target 16.6%.
- There are a few departments over the target 16.6%, and the reasons were covered last month. No changes in status this month.

#### Year-To-Year Comparison P&L:

- Page 7 is our comparison of February, 2013 to February, 2014.
- Total operating revenue is up \$32K, or 2%.
- Operating expenditures are up by \$114K, or 9%.
- Page 8 is a graphic presentation of the water consumption comparison. Units billed in acre feet were up by 99, or 10.8%. Total revenue per unit sold is down \$0.32, or 7.89%, and total revenue per connection is up \$1.81, or 3%.

#### **Revenue Analysis Year-To-Date:**

- Page 9 is our comparison of revenue, year-to-date.
- Operating revenue through February, 2014 is about even compared to 2013; it's up about 1%.
- Retail water sales are up by \$63K from last year. This is reflective of the additional 99 af sold over last year.
- Revenue for February, 2014 is at 13.4% of budget; last year we were at 14.2% of budget, but our revenue budget number is higher this year by \$1.3MM.

#### **Expense Analysis Year-To-Date:**

- Page 11 is our comparison of expense, year-to-date.
- Cash Operating Expenses through February, 2014 are up by \$1.2MM, or 32%, compared to 2013 due to early budget year departmental expenses and the Wood settlement payment.
- Total Expenses are up \$1MM, or 23.5%, for the same reasons.

#### **Departments:**

• Pages 14 through 22 are detailed budgets of each department. As stated earlier, a few departments are over the target percentage, but they have been discussed and there are no further status changes.

#### **Non-Cash Definitions:**

**Depreciation:** This is the spreading of the total expense of a capital asset over the expected life of that asset.

**OPEB Accrual Expense:** Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB) is the recognized annual required contribution to the benefit. The amount is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB 45. The amount represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year.

**Bad Debt:** The uncollectible accounts receivable that has been written off.

**Service Cost Construction:** The value of material, parts & supplies from inventory used to construct, repair and maintain our asset infrastructure.

**Capitalized Construction:** The value of our labor force used to construct our asset infrastructure.

### Palmdale Water District Balance Sheet Report For the Two Months Ending 2/28/2014

	February 2014	January 2014
ASSETS		
<b>Current Assets:</b> Cash and Cash Equivalents Investments 2013A Bonds - Project Funds	<pre>\$ 871,464 11,310,502 7,352,177 \$ 19.534.144</pre>	\$ 602,105 11,012,135 7,488,643
Deschalter	\$ 19,534,144	\$ 19,102,883
Receivables: Accounts Receivables - Water Sales Accounts Receivables - Miscellaneous Allowance for Uncollected Accounts	\$ 1,562,123 43,980 (226,399) \$ 1,379,704	\$ 1,625,172 57,460 (257,023) \$ 1,425,609
Interest Receivable Assessments Receivables Meters, Materials and Supplies Prepaid Expenses <b>Total Current Assets</b>	\$ - 4,263,271 881,871 226,161 <b>\$ 26,285,151</b>	\$ - 4,554,660 751,076 298,920 <b>\$ 26,133,147</b>
Long-Term Assets:		
Property, Plant, and Equipment, net Participation Rights in State Water Project, net Bond Issuance Cost, Net 2013A Bonds - Cost of Issuance 2013A Bonds - Insurance & Surity Bond	\$ 115,101,814 39,104,758	\$ 115,342,829 39,175,676 246,822 1,159,832
2013A Bonds - Insurance & Sunty Bond	236,550 <b>\$ 154,443,122</b>	237,217 <b>\$ 156,162,375</b>
Restricted Cash: Rate Stabilization Fund Total Long-Term Assets & Restricted Cash Total Assets	480,026 <b>\$ 154,923,148</b> <b>\$ 181,208,299</b>	480,006 <b>\$ 156,642,381</b> <b>\$ 182,775,529</b>
LIABILITIES AND DISTRICT EQUITY		
Current Liabilities: Current Interest Installment of Long-term Debt Current Principal Installment of Long-term Debt Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses Deferred Assessments Total Current Liabilities	<pre>\$ 938,436 1,642,972 5,624,722 2,571,690 \$ 10,777,819</pre>	\$ 593,355 1,942,205 4,862,718 2,863,079 <b>\$ 10,261,357</b>
Long-Term Debt: Pension-Related Debt OPEB Liability 2013A Water Revenue Bonds 2012 - Certificates of Participation 2011 - Capital Lease Payable Total Long-Term Liabilities Total Liabilities District Equity	<ul> <li>\$ 1,084,487</li> <li>7,778,254</li> <li>43,953,979</li> <li>10,008,559</li> <li>367,020</li> <li>\$ 63,192,300</li> <li>\$ 73,970,119</li> </ul>	<pre>\$ 1,141,041 7,888,789 43,671,230 9,980,816 415,642 \$ 63,097,518 \$ 73,358,875</pre>
Revenue from Operations Retained Earnings Total Liabilities and District Equity	\$ (1,433,990) 108,672,169 <b>\$ 181,208,299</b>	\$ (698,219) 110,114,873 <b>\$ 182,775,529</b>
### BALANCE SHEET AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2014



### Palmdale Water District Consolidated Profit and Loss Statement For the Two Months Ending 2/28/2014

	3	Thru January		February	Ye	ear-to-Date	Adjustments	Adjusted Budget	% of Budget
Onerating Devenues									
Operating Revenue: Wholesale Water	¢		¢	2 007	¢	2 0 2 4		¢ 005 000	4.050/
Water Sales	\$	23	\$	3,007	Ф	3,031		\$ 225,000	1.35%
Meter Fees		478,202		486,786		964,988		9,053,000	10.66%
		926,190		924,427		1,850,617		11,255,000	16.44%
Water Quality Fees Elevation Fees		84,806		88,395		173,200		1,638,000	10.57%
Other		24,357		25,046		49,403		525,000	9.41%
Total Water Sales	\$	136,378 1,649,955	\$	102,165 1,629,827	\$	238,543 3,279,782	\$-	1,700,000 <b>\$ 24,396,000</b>	14.03% 13.44%
Cash Operating Expenses:									
Directors	\$	3,671	\$	12,720	\$	16,391		\$ 114,500	14.32%
Administration	Ψ	771,227	Ψ	179,544	Ψ	950,771		3,490,000	27.24%
Engineering		117,353		68,190		185,543		1,079,800	17.18%
Facilities		247,869		219,145		467,014		3,408,500	13.70%
Operations		414,857		377,222		792,080		5,453,500	14.52%
Finance		314,749		226,515		541,264		2,968,750	18.23%
Water Conservation		12,394		7,886		20,279		270,000	7.51%
Human Resources		12,777		16,102		28,878		215,300	13.41%
Information Technology		81,063		68,033		149,096		828,600	17.99%
Water Purchases		351,518		33,624		385,142		2,400,000	16.05%
Water Purchases-Prior Year OAP		551,510				505,142		250,000	0.00%
Water Recovery		(8,888)				(8,888)		(100,000)	8.89%
Capitalized Expenditures		26,624		167,665		194,289		2,143,500	9.06%
GAC Filter Media Replacement		20,024		107,005		134,203		1,638,000	0.00%
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	2,345,214	\$	1,376,646	\$	3,721,859	\$ -	\$24,160,450	15.40%
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:									
Depreciation	\$	553,924	\$	553,478	\$	1,107,401		\$ 7,350,000	15.07%
OPEB Accrual Expense	Ŷ	166,875	Ψ	166,875	Ψ	333,750		2,000,000	16.69%
Bad Debts		7,207		61		7,268		100,000	7.27%
Service Costs Construction		4,510		475		4,985		125,000	3.99%
Capitalized Construction		(88,053)		(80,941)		(168,994)		(1,000,000)	16.90%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	644,463	\$	639,947	\$	1,284,410	\$ -	\$ 8,575,000	14.98%
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)		(1,339,721)				(1,726,488)		\$ (8,339,450)	20.70%
Non Operating Revenues									
Non-Operating Revenues: Assessments (Debt Service)	¢	400 700	•	404 005	•	070.044		<b>*</b> 4 400 000	45 0000
	\$	480,736	\$	191,605	\$	672,341		\$ 4,400,000	15.28%
Assessments (1%)		156,185		99,784		255,970		1,800,000	14.22%
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		-		-		-		100,000	0.00%
Interest		14,335		4,654		18,989		25,000	75.96%
Capital Improvement Fees		-		2,538		2,538		150,000	1.69%
State Grants		-		-		-		485,000	0.00%
Other Total Non-Operating Revenues	-	10,079	•	52,154	*	62,234	¢	185,000	33.64%
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	661,335	Þ	350,736	\$	1,012,071	\$ -	\$ 7,145,000	14.16%
Non-Operating Expenses:									
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	194,845	\$	196,650	\$	391,495		\$ 2,111,000	18.55%
Amortization of SWP		159,510		159,510		319,020		1,679,000	19.00%
Water Conservation Programs		3,388		5,671		9,059		143,000	6.33%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	357,743	\$	361,830	\$	719,574	\$-		18.30%
Net Earnings	\$	(1,036,130)				(1,433,990)		\$ (5,127,450)	27.97%
	<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			







### Palmdale Water District Profit and Loss Statement Year-To-Year Comparison - February

		2013		2014			%	Cons	umptio	on Comparis	son	
	F	ebruary	F	ebruary		Change	Change			2013	2	2014
							<u>_</u>	Units Billed	-	399,998		43,219
Operating Revenue:												
Wholesale Water	\$	3,680	\$	3,007	\$	(673)	-18.29%	Active		26,194		26,413
Water Sales		436,819		486,786		49,967	11.44%	Vacant		1,148		943
Meter Fees		923,181		924,427		1,246	0.14%					
Water Quality Fees		80,000		88,395		8,395	10.49%					
Elevation Fees		23,886		25,046		1,161	4.86%	Rev/unit	\$	3.99	\$	3.68
Other		129,383	_	102,165		(27,218)	-21.04%	Rev/con	\$	56.03	\$	57.84
Total Water Sales	\$	1,596,949	\$	1,629,827	\$	32,878	2.06%	Unit/con		15.27		16.78
Cash Operating Expenses:												
Directors	\$	7,055	\$	12,720	\$	5,665	80.29%					
Administration		137,764		179,544		41,780	30.33%					
Engineering		90,777		68,190		(22,587)	-24.88%					
Facilities		253,372		219,145		(34,226)	-13.51%					
Operations		282,868		377,222		94,354	33.36%					
Finance		190,945		226,515		35,570	18.63%					
Water Conservation		17,151		7,886		(9,265)	-54.02%					
Human Resources		8,265		16,102		7,837	94.83%					
Information Technology		50,157		68,033		17,876	35.64%					
Water Purchases		43,481		33,624		(9,857)	-22.67%					
Water Purchases-Prior Year OAP		-				(0,001)	22.01 /0					
Water Recovery		-		-		-						
Capitalized Expenditures		180,263		167,665		(12,598)	-6.99%					
GAC Filter Media Replacement						(12,000)	0.0070					*
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	1,262,097	\$	1,376,646	\$	114,549	9.08%					
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:												
Depreciation	\$	590,620	\$	553,478	\$	(37,143)	-6.29%					
OPEB Accrual Expense	Ψ	165,223	Ψ	166,875	Ψ	1,652	1.00%					
Bad Debts		270		61		(209)	-77.39%					
Service Costs Construction		4,742		475		(4,267)	-89.98%					
Capitalized Construction		(59,325)										
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$	701,530	\$	(80,941) 639,947	¢	(21,616) (61,583)	36.44% -8.78%					
Total Non-Oash Operating Expenses	Ψ	701,550	Ψ	035,547	φ	(01,505)	-0.7070					
Net Operating Profit/(Loss)	\$	(366,678)	\$	(386,767)	\$	(20,088)	5.48%					
Non-Operating Revenues:												
Assessments (Debt Service)	\$	355,857	\$	191,605	\$	(164,252)	-46.16%					
Assessments (1%)		144,143		99,784	Ŧ	(44,358)	-30.77%					
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery		-		-		(,						
Interest		3,098		4,654		1,556	50.23%					
Capital Improvement Fees		44,176		2,538		(41,638)	-94.25%					
State Grants				2,000		(11,000)	01.2070					
Other		54,653		52,154		(2,499)	-4.57%					
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	601,928	\$	350,736	\$	(251,191)	-41.73%					
		A.).										
Non-Operating Expenses:	•	400 100	~	100 000	•	10 10 1						
Interest on Long-Term Debt	\$	183,466	\$	196,650	\$	13,184	7.19%					
Amortization of SWP		144,745		159,510		14,765	10.20%					
Water Conservation Programs		9,888		5,671	1.1	(4,218)	-42.65%					
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$	338,100	\$	361,830	\$	23,731	7.02%					
Net Earnings	\$	(102,851)	\$	(397,861)	\$	(295,010)	286.83%					

### YEAR-TO-YEAR COMPARISON February '13 -To - February '14



	2013	2014	Change	
Units Billed (AF)	918	1,017	99	10.81%
Active Connections	26,194	26,413	219	0.84%
Non-Active	1,148	943	-205	-17.86%
Total Revenue per Unit	\$3.99	\$3.68	-\$0.32	-7.89%
Total Revenue per Connection	\$56.03	\$57.84	\$1.81	3.23%
Units Billed per Connection	15.27	16.78	1.51	9.89%

F	Pa	Palmdale Water Dist Revenue Analysis	/ater An:	r District alysis									
	or the	Two Mor	nths 2014	For the 1 wo Months Ending 2/28/2014 2014	8/201	4				2013 to	2013 to 2014 Comparison	rison	
		Thru		Fahrijan	Veal	Vaar-to-Data	Adjusted	% of Budget		Thru	Cobring	Voar to Data	%
<b>Operating Revenue:</b>		(		( mm inco i		10 000	בממכי	Lauger		dalidal y	I CUI UAI À	וכמו יוט-טמוכ	VIAIIGE
Wholesale Water	θ	23	€ <del>9</del>	3,007	θ	3,031	\$ 225,000	1.35%	θ	23	(673)	(1,594)	-34.46%
Mater Cares		10,40,40		400, 00		904,900	a,upo,uuu	0.00.01		(11,024)	49,907	38,944	4.21%
Meter rees Mater Auglity Fees		920, 19U	- <i>"</i>	924,427 88 205	-	1,850,61/	11,255,000	16.44%		9,537	1,246	10,783	0.59%
Valet duality Lees		100'+0	<b>.</b>	00,000			1,030,000	% /0.01		4,041	0,393	10,930	0./4% r 170/
Elevation rees Other		136,420		40,040 100 165		49,403 238 543	525,000	9.41%		1,392	1,161	2,553	5.45%
Total Water Sales	ŝ	1,649,955	\$	1,629,827	e S	3,279,782	\$24,396,000	13.44%	<del>6</del> 9	(2,090) 380 \$	32,878	\$ 32,315	-10.34%
Non-Operating Revenues:													
Assessments (Debt Service)	<del>ເ</del> γ ε	480,736	<del>6</del> Со 1	191,605	θ	672,341	\$ 4,400,000	15.28%	θ	(95,334) \$	$\sim$	\$ (259,587)	-27.85%
Assessments (1%)	Ð	150,185	~	99,784		255,970	1,800,000	14.22%		(77,156)	(44,358)	(121,515)	-32.19%
DVVN FIXED CHARGE RECOVERY Interest		14,335		4 654		- 18 989	25,000	75 96%		- 19 651	1 556	- 100 10	.055 00%
Capital Improvement Fees		-		2,538		2.538	150,000	1.69%			(41.638)	(41.638)	-94 25%
State Grants				1			485,000	0.00%		I	-	-	
Other .		10,079		52,154		62,234	185,000	33.64%		(5,725)	(2,499)	(8,224)	-11.67%
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	661,335	\$	350,736	\$ 1,	1,012,071	\$ 7,145,000	14.16%	φ	(158,564) \$	(251,191)	\$ (409,756)	-28.82%
Total Revenue	\$	2,311,290	\$	1,980,563	\$ 4	4,2 <mark>9</mark> 1,853	\$31,541,000	13.61%	<del>6</del> 9	(158,184) \$	(218,313)	\$ (377,441)	-8.08%
			2013										
		Thru		Cober on the			Adjusted	% of					
Onerating Revenue.		January		repruary	rear	rear-to-uate	Budget	Budget					
Wholesale Water	ф	944	\$	3,680	θ	4,624	\$ 175,000	2.64%					
Water Sales		489,226		436,819		926,044	8,198,000	11.30%					
Meter Fees		916,653		923,181	Ļ,	1,839,834	11,232,000	16.38%					
Water Quality Fees		82,265		80,000		162,264	1,638,000	9.91%					
Elevation Fees		22,964	_	23,886		46,850	550,000	8.52%					
- Other Total Water Sales	e.	138,46/ 1 649 575	¥	1 596 949	4	7 2 2 7 7 A 5 7	1,250,000	21.43%					
	•												
Non-Operating Revenues: Assessments (Debt Service)	<del>ഗ</del>	576.070	69	355 857	¢.	931 927	\$ 4300.000	2167%					
Assessments (1%)	\$	233,342		144,143				20.11%					
DWR Fixed Charge Recovery				1		ı	100,000						
Interest		(5,316)	0	3,098		(2,218)	25,000	-8.87%					
Capital Improvement Fees		•		44,176		44,176	150,000	29.45%					
State Grants			÷	- LO - L		- 0 - 1	485,000	0.00%					
Other	ŧ	15,804		54,653				46.97%					
Total Non-Operating Revenues	\$	819,900	\$	601,928	\$ 7	1,421,827	\$ 7,087,484	20.06%					
Total Revenue	\$	2,469,474	<del>()</del>	2,198,876	\$ 4,	4,669,294	\$ 29,955,484	15.59%					

Prepared 3/31/2014 5:08 PM

Page 9

### **REVENUE COMPARISON YEAR-TO-DATE**

### February '13-To-February '14



Palmdale Water District Operating Expense Analysis For the Two Months Ending 2/28/2014

### 2013 to 2014 Comparison

For th	he Two N	Months F 2014	For the Two Months Ending 2/28/2014 2014	28/20	14				-			200	
	Thru	Ľ				Adjusted	% of		Thru				%
	Janı	anuary	February	Уеа	Year-to-Date	Budget	Budget		January	February		Year-to-Date	Change
Cash Operating Expenses:													
Directors	ទ	3,671	\$ 12,720	φ	16,391	\$ 114,500	14.32%	\$	(5,740)	4) 6)	5,665	\$ (75)	-0.46%
Administration	11	771,227	179,544		950,771	3,490,000	27.24%		593,718	41		635,	201.57%
Engineering	1	117,353	68,190		185,543	1,079,800	17.18%		20,907	(22	(22,587)	(1.679)	-0.90%
Facilities	24	247,869	219,145		467,014	3,408,500			29,250	(34	(34,226)	(4,976)	-1.05%
Operations	41	414,857	377,222		792,080	5,453,500			54,557	94	,354	148,911	23.15%
Finance	31	314,749	226,515		541,264	2,968,750			106,543	35	35,570	142,114	35.60%
Water Conservation	-	12,394	7,886		20,279	270,000			(5, 143)	5)	(9,265)	(14,409)	-41.54%
Human Resources	-	12,777	16,102		28,878	215,300			2,143	2	7,837	9,980	52.81%
Information Technology	ω	81,063	68,033		149,096	828,600			81,063	17	17,876	53,465	55.91%
Water Purchases	36	351,518	33,624		385,142	2,400,000	16.05%		194,795	6)	(9,857)	184,938	92.37%
Water Purchases-Prior Year OAP		1	1		1	250,000	0.00%		1			I	
Water Recovery		(8,888)	1		(8,888)	(100,000)	) 8.89%		57,937		ſ	57,937	-86.70%
Capitalized Expenditures		26,624	167,665		194,289	2,143,500	9.06%		(3,165)	(12	(12,598)	(15,763)	-7.50%
GAC Filter Media Replacement		1			1	1,638,000			1	•	• •	` ı	
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$ 2,34	345,214	\$ 1,376,646	\$	3,721,859	\$ 24,160,450	15.40%	<del>69</del>	\$ 1,126,866	\$ 114	114,549	\$ 1,195,941	32.13%
Non-Cash Operating Expenses: Depreciation	\$ 55	553,924	\$ 553,478	\$	1,107,401	\$ 7,350,000	15.07%	\$	(38,149)	\$ (37	(37,143)	\$ (75,291)	-6.37%
OPEB Accrual Expense	16	166,875	166,875		333,750	2,000,000			1,652		1,652	3,305	1.00%
Bad Debts		7,207	61		7,268	100,000			2,641		(209)	2,433	50.30%
Service Costs Construction		4,510	475		4,985	125,000			(25,612)	4	(4,267)	(29,879)	-85.70%
Capitalized Construction	8)	(88,053)	(80,941		(168,994)	(1,000,000)		ļ	(24,532)	(21	(21,616)	(46,148)	37.57%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	\$ 64	644,463	\$ 639,947	\$	1,284,410	\$ 8,575,000	14.98%	ŝ	(83,998)	\$ (61	(61,583)	\$ (145,581)	-11.33%
Non-Operating Expenses: Interest on Lono-Term Debt	\$	94.845	\$ 196.650	ю	391.495	\$ 2.111.000	18.55%	69	6.436	s 13	13,184	\$ 19.620	5.28%
Amortization of SWP	-				319,020		~	•	17,381				11.21%
Water Conservation Programs		3,388	5,671		9,059	143,000			(5,302)	(4	(4,218)	(9,520)	-1.41%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	\$ 35	357,743	\$ 361,830	Ś	719,574	\$ 3,933,000	18.30%	ŝ	18,515	\$ 23	23,731	\$ 42,246	6.24%
Total Expenses	\$ 3,34	347,420	\$ 2,378,424	\$	5,725,843	\$ 36,668,450	15.62%	φ	1,061,383	\$ 76	76,697	\$ 1,092,606	23.58%

Prepared 3/31/2014 5:44 PM

### Palmdale Water District Operating Expense Analysis

For the Two Months Ending 2/28/2014 2013

		20	2013						
		Thru					Adjusted	% of	of
		January	ш	February	Ye	Year-to-Date	Budget	Budget	get
Cash Operating Expenses:									
Directors	θ	9,411	θ	7,055	в	16,466	\$ 117,500		14.01%
Administration		177,509		137,764		315,273	2,602,000		12.12%
Engineering		96,446		90,777		187,222	1,215,750		15.40%
Facilities		218,619		253,372		471,990	3,298,500		14.31%
Operations		360,301		282,868		643,169	4,944,250		13.01%
Finance		208,205		190,945		399,151	2,789,000	•	14.31%
Water Conservation		17,537		17,151		34,688	239,750		14.47%
Human Resources		10,634		8,265		18,898	209,600		9.02%
Information Technology		45,474		50,157		95,631	728,000		13.14%
Water Purchases		156,723		43,481		200,204	2,600,000		7.70%
Water Purchases-Prior Year OAP		ı				ſ			
Water Recovery		(66,825)		1		(66,825)	(100,000)		66.83%
Capitalized Expenditures		29,789		180,263		210,052	836,500		25.11%
GAC Filter Media Replacement				•		ĭ	1,638,000		0.00%
Total Cash Operating Expenses	\$	\$ 1,263,822	\$1	\$ 1,262,097	Ś	2,525,919	\$ 21,118,850	Ĩ.	11.96%
Non-Cash Operating Expenses:									
Depreciation	θ	592,072	ф	590,620	θ	1,182,692	\$ 7,250,000		16.31%
OPEB Accrual Expense		165,223		165,223		330,445	2,000,000		16.52%
Bad Debts		4,566		270		4,836	100,000		4.84%
Service Costs Construction		30,122		4,742		34,864	125,000		27.89%
Capitalized Construction		(63,521)		(59,325)		(122,847)	(1,000,000)	_	12.28%
Total Non-Cash Operating Expenses	ŝ	728,461	÷	701,530	<del>60</del>	1,429,991	\$ 8,475,000		16.87%
Non-Operating Expenses:									
Interest on Long-Term Debt	ф	188,409	ф	183,466	ф	371,876	\$ 2,111,000		17.62%
Amortization of SWP Water Concernation Programs		142,129 8 600		144,745 0 888		286,874 19 579	1,679,000		17.09%
Total Non-Operating Expenses	¢9	339.228	69	338.100	69	677.328	\$ 3.940.000		17 19%
	•	211000	•		•	2-26			2/21

17.19% 13.82%

\$ 2,331,511 \$2,301,727 \$ 4,633,238 \$33,533,850

Total Expenses

2013 to 2014 Comparison

### EXPENSE COMPARISON YEAR-TO-DATE

### February '13-To-February '14



### Palmdale Water District 2014 Directors Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

		YTD	-	RIGINAL				JUSTED	
	A	CTUAL	E	BUDGET	AD	JUSTMENTS			PERCENT
		2014		2014		2014	RE	MAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:									
1-01-4000-000 Directors Pay	\$	8,250	\$	4 <mark>5,000</mark>	\$	-	\$	36,750	18.33%
Employee Benefits									
1-01-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		627		5,500				4,873	11.40%
1-01-4010-000 Health Insurance		4,357		54,000				49,643	8.07%
Subtotal (Benefits)		4,984		59,500		-		49,643	8.38%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	13,234	\$	104,500	\$	-	\$	86,393	12.66%
OPERATING EXPENSES:									
		2 1 5 7		10 000				6 942	21 570/
1-01-4050-000 Directors Travel, Seminars & Meetings		3,157		10,000				6,843	31.57%
Subtotal Operating Expenses		3,157		10,000		-		6,843	31.57%
Total O & M Expenses	\$	16,391	\$	114,500	\$	-	\$	93,236	14.32%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Administration Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

		YTD ACTUAL		RIGINAL BUDGET	ADJUSTMENTS	E	DJUSTED BUDGET	PERCENT
		2014		2014	2014	R	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:								
1-02-4000-000 Salaries	\$	102,575	\$	656,000		\$	553,425	15.64%
1-02-4000-100 Overtime		2,125		7,500			5,375	28.33%
1-02-4000-200 On-Call		7,624		60,000			52,376	12.71%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	112,325	\$	723,500		\$	611,175	15.53%
Employee Benefits								
1-02-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		9,363		41,500			32,137	22.56%
1-02-4010-000 Health Insurance		16,036		96,000			79,964	16.70%
1-02-4015-000 PERS		21,536		132,500			110,964	16.25%
1-02-4020-000 Worker's Compensation				125,000			125,000	0.00%
1-02-4025-000 Vacation Benefit Expense		36,454		35,000			(1,454)	104.15%
1-02-4030-000 Life Insurance		1,322		7,500			6,178	17.62%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	84,710	\$	437,500	\$ -	\$	352,790	19.36%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	197,035	\$	1,161,000	\$-	\$	963,965	16.97%
OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-02-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$	1,578	\$	8,000		\$	6,422	19.72%
1-02-4050-100 General Manager Travel	Ψ	1,019	φ	5,000		φ	3,981	20.37%
1-02-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars		425		3,000			2,575	20.37% 14.17%
1-02-4060-100 General Manager Conferences & Seminars		345		4,500			4,155	7.67%
1-02-4070-000 Employee Expense		7,233		40,000			32,767	18.08%
1-02-4080-000 Other Operating		408		20,000			19,592	2.04%
1-02-4110-000 Consultants		6,252		200,000				3.13%
1-02-4125-000 Insurance		0,202					193,748	0.00%
1-02-4130-000 Bank Charges		- 21,173		300,000 130,000			300,000 108,827	16.29%
1-02-4135-000 Groundwater Adjudication								
1-02-4135-000 Groundwater Adjudication - Woods Class		77,457		400,000			322,543 50	19.36%
1-02-4140-000 Legal Services		590,450 14,256		590,500 200,000				99.99% 7.13%
1-02-4150-000 Accounting Services		9,370		25,000			185,744 15,630	37.48%
1-02-4155-000 Contracted Services		3,657		29,000			25,343	12.61%
1-02-4165-000 Memberships/Subscriptions		5,825		210,000			204,175	2.77%
1-02-4175-000 Permits		0,020		15,000			15,000	0.00%
1-02-4180-000 Postage		2,364		30,000			27,636	7.88%
1-02-4190-100 Public Relations - Publications		150		40,000			39,850	0.38%
1-02-4190-900 Public Relations - Other		100		1,000			1,000	0.00%
1-02-4200-000 Advertising		26		5,000			4,974	0.52%
1-02-4205-000 Office Supplies		4,772		18,000			13,228	26.51%
1-02-4215-200 Natural Gas - Office Building		1,079		5,000			3,921	20.51%
1-02-4220-200 Electricity - Office Building		5,898		50,000			44,102	11.80%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	753,737	\$	2,329,000	\$-	\$	1,575,263	32.36%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	950,771	¢	3,490,000	\$ -	¢	2,539,229	27.24%
i otar Dopartmontal Expenses	φ	330,771	φ	0,400,000	Ψ -	Ψ	2,000,229	21.24/0

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Engineering Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

		YTD		RIGINAL			15/15	DJUSTED	
	<u> </u>	CTUAL	E	BUDGET	ADJ	USTMENTS		BUDGET	PERCENT
		2014		2014		2014	RI	EMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:									
1-03-4000-000 Salaries	\$	89,314	\$	668,500			\$	579,186	13.36%
1-03-4000-100 Overtime		2,036		6,500				4,464	31.32%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	91,350	\$	675,000			\$	583,650	13.53%
Employee Benefits									
1-03-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		8,915		51,500				42,585	17.31%
1-03-4010-000 Health Insurance		20,939		134,800				113,861	15.53%
1-03-4015-000 PERS		23,347		133,500				110,153	17.49%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	53,201	\$	319,800	\$	-	\$	266,599	16.64%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	144,551	\$	994,800	\$	-	\$	850,249	14.53%
OPERATING EXPENSES:									
1-03-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$	74	\$	3,000			\$	2,926	2.46%
1-03-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars		-		2,500				2,500	0.00%
1-03-4155-000 Contracted Services		40,000		61,500				21,500	65.04%
1-03-4165-000 Memberships/Subscriptions		215		2,000				1,786	10.73%
1-03-4250-000 General Materials & Supplies		286		2,500				2,214	11.42%
1-03-8100-100 Computer Software - Maint. & Support		419		13,500				13,082	3.10%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	40,992	\$	85,000	\$	-	\$	44,008	48.23%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	185,543	\$	1,079,800	\$	-	\$	894,257	17.18%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Facilities Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

	YTD	ORIGINAL		ADJUSTED	
	 2014	BUDGET 2014	ADJUSTMENTS 2014	BUDGET	USED
	 2014	2014	2014	REMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:					
1-04-4000-000 Salaries	\$ 193,859	\$ 1,450,000		\$ 1,256,141	13.37%
1-04-4000-100 Overtime	 9,432	36,500		27,068	25.84%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$ 203,291	\$ 1,486,500		\$ 1,283,209	13.68%
Employee Benefits	10.000	444.000		04.000	47 700/
1-04-4005-000 Payroll Taxes	19,680	111,000		91,320	17.73%
1-04-4010-000 Health Insurance 1-04-4015-000 PERS	50,036	374,500		324,464	13.36%
	 45,341	278,000	•	232,659	16.31%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$ 115,057	\$ 763,500	\$ -	\$ 648,443	15.07%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$ 318,349	\$ 2,250,000	\$-	\$ 1,904,584	14.15%
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
1-04-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$ -	\$ 2,500		2,500	0.00%
1-04-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars	-	3,000		3,000	0.00%
1-04-4155-000 Contracted Services	19,974	71,500		51,526	27.94%
1-04-4175-000 Permits-Dams	-	50,000		50,000	0.00%
1-04-4215-200 Natural Gas - Buildings	1,142	4,500		3,358	25.37%
1-04-4220-200 Electricity - Buildings	2,748	30,000		27,252	9.16%
1-04-4225-000 Maint. & Repair - Vehicles	2,580	45,000		42,420	5.73%
1-04-4230-100 Maint. & Rep. Office Building	325	18,000		17,675	
1-04-4235-110 Maint. & Rep. Equipment	967	7,500		6,533	12.90%
1-04-4235-400 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Wells	13,419	135,000		121,581	9.94%
1-04-4235-405 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Boosters	1,772	65,000		63,228	2.73%
1-04-4235-410 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Shop Bldgs	4,460	10,000		5,540	44.60%
1-04-4235-415 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Facilities	833	15,000		14,167	5.55%
1-04-4235-420 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Water Lines	44,141	275,000		230,859	16.05%
1-04-4235-425 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Littlerock Dam	-	15,000		15,000	0.00%
1-04-4235-430 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Palmdale Dam	-	15,000		15,000	0.00%
1-04-4235-435 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Palmdale Canal	-	3,000		3,000	0.00%
1-04-4235-455 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Heavy Equipment	4,828	35,000		30,172	13.79%
1-04-4235-460 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Storage Reservoirs	-	5,000		5,000	0.00%
1-04-6000-000 Waste Disposal	2,290	40,000		37,710	5.73%
1-04-6100-100 Fuel and Lube - Vehicle	23,744	130,000		106,256	18.26%
1-04-6100-200 Fuel and Lube - Machinery	4,870	43,000		38,130	11.33%
1-04-6200-000 Uniforms	3,498	21,000		17,502	16.66%
1-04-6300-100 Supplies - Misc.	6,001	47,500		41,499	12.63%
1-04-6300-800 Supplies - Construction Materials	6,629	45,000		38,371	14.73%
1-04-6400-000 Tools	2,380	12,000		9,620	19.83%
1-04-7000-100 Leases -Equipment	 2,066	15,000		12,934	13.77%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$ 148,666	\$ 1,158,500	\$-	\$ 1,009,834	12.83%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$ 467,014	\$ 3,408,500	\$-	\$ 2,914,418	13.70%

.

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Operation Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

	 YTD ACTUAL	ORIGINAL BUDGET	ADJUSTMENTS		PERCENT
	 2014	2014	2014	REMAINING	USED
Personnel Budget:					
1-05-4000-000 Salaries 1-05-4000-100 Overtime	\$ 259,120 15,888	\$ 1,734,000 70,000		\$ 1,474,880 54,11	
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$ 275,008	\$ 1,804,000		\$ 1,528,992	2 15.24%
Employee Benefits					
1-05-4005-000 Payroll Taxes	26,022	131,000		104,97	
1-05-4010-000 Health Insurance	58,779	343,000		284,22	
1-05-4015-000 PERS	 59,117	324,000		264,883	
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$ 143,917	\$ 798,000	\$ -	\$ 654,083	3 18.03%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$ 418,925	\$ 2,602,000	\$-	\$ 2,128,96	3 16.10%
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
1-05-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$ 1,566			\$ 6,43	
1-05-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars	-	9,500		9,50	
1-05-4120-100 Training - Lab Equipment	-	4,500		4,50	
1-05-4120-200 Training - SCADA Network	-	9,000		9,00	
1-05-4155-000 Contracted Services	13,910	109,250		95,34	
1-05-4175-000 Permits	-	45,000		45,00	
1-05-4215-100 Natural Gas - Wells & Boosters	22,083	236,000		213,91	
1-05-4215-200 Natural Gas - WTP	575	3,000		2,42	
1-05-4220-100 Electricity - Wells & Boosters	227,634	1,515,000		1,287,36	
1-05-4220-200 Electricity - WTP	20,546	125,000		104,45	
1-05-4230-110 Maint. & Rep Office Equipment	-	500		50	
1-05-4235-110 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Equipment	2,490	22,500		20,01	
1-05-4235-410 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Shop Bldgs	637	6,000		5,36	3 10.61%
1-05-4235-415 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Facilities	5,622	45,000		39,37	8 12.49%
1-05-4235-445 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Telemetry	-	2,250		2,25	0.00%
1-05-4235-450 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Hypo Generator	12,508	30,000		17,49	2 41.69%
1-05-4235-500 Maint. & Rep. Operations - Wind Turbine	-	6,000		6,00	0.00%
1-05-4236-000 Palmdale Lake Management	412	15,000		14,58	8 2.75%
1-05-4270-300 Telecommunication - Other	498	2,750		2,25	2 18.12%
1-05-4300-300 Testing - Edison	-	9,000		9,00	0.00%
1-05-6000-000 Waste Disposal	586	14,000		13,41	4 4.19%
1-05-6200-000 Uniforms	2,298	12,000		9,70	2 19.15%
1-05-6300-100 Supplies - Misc.	10,009	15,000		4,99	
1-05-6300-200 Supplies - Hypo Generator	1,660	6,750		5,09	
1-05-6300-300 Supplies - Electrical	-	3,500		3,50	
1-05-6300-400 Supplies - Telemetry	-	7,500		7,50	
1-05-6300-600 Supplies - Lab	12,860	35,000		22,14	
1-05-6300-700 Outside Lab Work	5,405	60,000		54,59	
1-05-6400-000 Tools	2,409	6,500		4,09	
1-05-6500-000 Chemicals	28,485	485,000		456,51	
1-05-7000-100 Leases -Equipment	961	3,000		2,03	
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$ 373,155	\$ 2,851,500			
Total Departmental Expenses	\$ 792,080	\$ 5,453,500	\$ -	\$ 4,607,30	8 14.52%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Finance Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

		 YTD ACTUAL 2014		DRIGINAL BUDGET 2014	ADJU	JSTMEN 2014	ITS	E	DJUSTED BUDGET EMAINING	PERCENT USED
Personnel Budget	 									
1-06-4000-000 1-06-4000-100 Subto		\$ 239,636 4,921 244,557		1,645,000 19,000 1,664,000	\$		-		1,405,364 <u>14,079</u> 1,419,443	14.57% 25.90% 14.70%
1-06-4015-000 Subto	Payroll Taxes Health Insurance	\$ 23,320 56,521 56,645 136,485 381,043	\$	123,000 317,000 331,000 771,000 2,435,000	\$		-	\$	99,680 260,479 274,355 634,515 2,053,957	7.36% 17.08% 7.35% 17.70% 15.65%
1-06-4155-100 1-06-4165-000 1-06-4230-110 1-06-4235-440 1-06-4235-470 1-06-4250-000 1-06-4260-000 1-06-4270-100 1-06-4270-200 1-06-4270-300 1-06-4300-200 1-06-7000-100	Staff Travel Staff Conferences & Seminars Contracted Services Contracted Services - Infosend Memberships/Subscriptions Maintenance & Repair - Office Equipment	\$ - 69 2,691 31,291 220 - 2,852 108,761 1,815 3,007 2,222 2,435 - 4,260 <u>598</u> 160,222	\$	250 1,000 21,000 205,000 10,000 225,000 6,000 10,000 12,000 17,000 500 21,500 3,000	\$			\$	250 931 18,309 173,709 280 1,000 7,149 116,239 4,185 6,993 9,778 14,565 500 17,240 2,402 373,528	0.00% 6.90% 12.82% 15.26% 44.00% 0.00% 28.52% 48.34% 30.25% 30.07% 18.52% 14.32% 0.00% 19.81% 19.94% 30.02%
	Departmental Expenses	\$ 541,264	100	2,968,750	\$		7-7	\$	2,427,486	18.23%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Water Conservation Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

	A	YTD CTUAL	RIGINAL UDGET	ADJUSTMENTS		USTED DGET	PERCENT
		2014	 2014	2014	REM	AINING	USED
Personnel Budget:							
1-07-4000-000 Salaries	\$	11,566	\$ 168,500		\$ 15	56,934	6.86%
1-07-4000-100 Overtime		384	1,000			616	38.39%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	11,950	\$ 169,500		\$ 15	57,550	7.05%
Employee Benefits							
1-07-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		1,379	13,000		1	1,621	10.61%
1-07-4010-000 Health Insurance		3,053	31,500		2	28,447	9.69%
1-07-4015-000 PERS		3,748	34,000		3	30,252	11.02%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	8,179	\$ 78,500	\$ -	\$ 7	70,321	10.42%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	20,129	\$ 248,000	\$-	\$ 22	27,255	8.12%
OPERATING EXPENSES:							
1-07-4050-000 Staff Travel	\$	-	\$ 1,000		\$	1,000	0.00%
1-07-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars		-	1,000			1,000	0.00%
1-07-4190-300 Public Relations - Landscape Workshop/Training		-	1,000			1,000	0.00%
1-07-4190-400 Public Relations - Contests		-	1,000			1,000	0.00%
1-07-4190-500 Public Relations - Education Programs		-	5,000			5,000	0.00%
1-07-4190-700 Public Relations -General Media		150	3,000			2,850	5.00%
1-07-4190-900 Public Relations - Other		-	5,000			5,000	0.00%
1-07-6300-100 Supplies - Misc.		-	 5,000			5,000	0.00%
Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	150	\$ 22,000	\$ -	\$ 2	21,850	0.68%
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	20,279	\$ 270,000	\$-	\$ 24	49,105	7.51%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Human Resources Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

		A	YTD CTUAL 2014	-	RIGINAL BUDGET 2014	ADJ	USTMENTS 2014	B	DJUSTED BUDGET MAINING	PERCENT
Personnel Budget	:									
1-08-4000-000	Salaries	\$	14,118	\$	97,000			\$	82,882	14.56%
Employee Benefit	S									
1-08-4005-000	Payroll Taxes		1,360		7,500				6,140	18.13%
			1,545		19,200				17,655	8.05%
1-08-4015-000	PERS		1,111		6,500				5,389	17.09%
Subto	otal (Benefits)	\$	4,015	\$	33,200	\$	-	\$	29,185	12.09%
1-08-4015-000 PERS Subtotal (Benefits) Total Personnel Expenses OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-08-4050-000 Staff Travel 1-08-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars 1-08-4090-000 Temporary Staffing 1-08-4095-000 Employee Recruitment		\$	18,134	\$	130,200	\$	-	\$	112,066	13.93%
OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-08-4050-000 Staff Travel		\$	146	\$	500			\$	354	29.27%
	Staff Conferences & Seminars	*	-	•	500			+	500	0.00%
			5,648		-				(5,648)	
			430		3,000				2,570	14.34%
1-08-4100-000	Employee Retention		5		1,500				1,495	0.34%
1-08-4105-000	Employee Relations		2,387		3,500				1,113	68.19%
1-08-4110-000	Consultants		-		1,000				1,000	0.00%
1-08-4120-100	Training-Safety Consultants		29		38,000				37,971	0.08%
1-08-4121-000	Safety Program		50		1,000				950	5.00%
1-08-4165-000	Membership/Subscriptions		18		1,600				1,582	1.13%
1-08-4165-100	HR/Safety Publications		-		1,000				1,000	0.00%
1-08-6300-500	Supplies - Safety		2,031		33,500				31,469	6.06%
Subte	otal Operating Expenses	\$	10,744	\$	85,100	\$	-	\$	74,356	12.63%
Total	Departmental Expenses	\$	28,878	\$	215,300	\$	-	\$	186,422	13.41%

### Palmdale Water District 2014 Information Technology Budget For the Two Months Ending Friday, February 28, 2014

	A	YTD CTUAL 2014		RIGINAL BUDGET 2014		ISTMENTS 2014	E	DJUSTED BUDGET EMAINING	PERCENT USED
Personnel Budget:									
1-09-4000-000 Salaries	\$	33,319	\$	226,000			\$	192,681	14.74%
1-09-4000-100 Overtime		-		2,500				2,500	0.00%
Subtotal (Salaries)	\$	33,319	\$	228,500			\$	195,181	14.58%
Employee Benefits									
1-09-4005-000 Payroll Taxes		3,198		16,000				12,802	19.99%
1-09-4010-000 Health Insurance		6,400		38,400				32,000	16.67%
1-09-4015-000 PERS		8,047		45,200				37,153	17.80%
Subtotal (Benefits)	\$	17,645	\$	99,600	\$	-	\$	81,955	17.72%
Total Personnel Expenses	\$	50,964	\$	328,100	\$		\$	274,636	15.53%
OPERATING EXPENSES: 1-09-4050-000 Staff Travel 1-09-4060-000 Staff Conferences & Seminars 1-09-4155-000 Contracted Services 1-09-4165-000 Memberships/Subscriptions 1-09-4270-000 Telecommunications 1-09-8000-100 Computer Equipment - Computers 1-09-8000-200 Computer Equipment - Laptops 1-09-8000-200 Computer Equipment - Monitors 1-09-8000-300 Computer Equipment - Printers 1-09-8000-500 Computer Equipment - Printers 1-09-8000-500 Computer Equipment - Toner Cartridges 1-09-8000-550 Computer Equipment - Telephony 1-09-8000-600 Computer Equipment - Other 1-09-8100-100 Computer Software - Maint. and Support 1-09-8100-140 Computer Software - Starnik 1-09-8100-150 Computer Software - Dynamics GP Support 1-09-8100-200 Computer Software - Software and Upgrades Subtotal Operating Expenses	\$	- 12,628 13,922 - 10,139 5,938 - - 82 - 7,981 4,020 17,000 26,309 112 98,132	\$	3,000 15,000 500 55,500 25,000 5,000 2,000 15,000 3,000 2,500 35,000 70,000 95,000 30,000 15,000	\$		\$	3,000 2,372 115,078 500 45,361 19,062 5,000 2,000 15,000 2,918 27,019 65,980 78,000 3,691 14,888 399,868	0.00% 84.19% 10.79% 0.00% 18.27% 23.75% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 2.75% 22.80% 5.74% 17.89% 87.70% 0.74% 19.61%
	¢		¢	-	¢		¢		
Total Departmental Expenses	\$	149,096	\$	828,600	\$	-	\$	674,504	17.99%

### PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 2, 2014	April 7, 2014
TO:	FINANCE COMMITTEE	<b>Committee Meeting</b>
FROM:	Mr. Matthew R. Knudson, Assistant General Manager	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4.5 – DISCUSSION AN COMMITTED CONTRACTS ISSUED – UPDATED AP	

### **Discussion:**

Attached for your information are the updated spreadsheets that summarize the contractually committed contracts and projected payout schedule for the various budgeted District studies, projects, and equipment items. The spreadsheets are broken into the following three categories based on funding sources.

### • <u>Water Revenue Bonds – Series 2013A:</u>

The seven projects listed on the attached summary are being funded from the Water Revenue Bonds – Series 2013A, which totals \$8,500,000 in water supply and infrastructure replacement projects. To date, there is a total of \$4,841,055 contractually committed and \$1,420,169 paid out for consulting and construction activity related to these projects.

### • <u>Studies, Projects, and Equipment:</u>

The projects listed on the attached "Studies, Projects, and Equipment" spreadsheet show the studies, asset replacement, and equipment contracts that are in place and the actual/projected payments made on each of these items.

### • <u>Water Quality Fund:</u>

Staff is working on finalizing a proposal to present to the Board for consideration that will be a long-term contract for the supply and installation of the Granular Activated Carbon (GAC). Once a commitment is made by the District, staff will update the spreadsheet to reflect said commitment and payment schedule.

### **PWD WATER REVENUE BONDS - SERIES 2013A**

Contractual Commitment Our Uncommitted Bond \$

Contractual Commitment

Payout to Date



### **Project Commitment and Payout Summary**

Project	Work Order No.	Description	CEQA	Allocated Bond \$	Contractual Commitment	Payout to Date	Uncommitted Bond \$
Spec. 1204	603-12	Ave. Q - Q-3, Division and Sumac	4/28/13	\$725,000	\$765,085	\$765,085	(\$40,085)
Spec. 1201	606-11	20th, Puerta, Sweetbriar, and 22nd St. E.	5/8/13	\$1,450,000	\$1,387,042	\$402,598	\$62,958
Spec. 1205	605-12	Frontier, 31st St. E., etc. between Ave. Q and Q-4	5/8/13	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,200,000
Spec. 1207	607-12	10th St. E. between Ave. P and Palmdale Blvd.	6/16/13	\$1,400,000	\$23,510	\$18,626	\$1,376,490
LRDSR	501-04	Littlerock Sediment Removal (EIR/EIS/Permits)	TBD	\$975,000	\$515,925	\$96,141	\$459,075
LCGRRP	400-12	Littlerock Recharge and Recovery (Feasibility)	TBD	\$1,500,000	\$899,493	\$137,719	\$600,507
UAR	TBD	Upper Amargosa Recharge (Project Capacity)	11/20/12	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000	\$0	\$0
Totals:				\$8,500,000	\$4,841,055	\$1,420,169	\$3,658,945

### **Project Payout Detail**

Date	Project	Description	Invoice No.	Requisition No.	Payr	ment Amount
Jul 8, 2013	WRB	Issuance Costs	N/A	2	\$	24,815.84
Jul 9, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #1	1	3	\$	98,552.53
Jul 17, 2013	Spec. 1207	JT Eng Design Progress Payment	5187	4	\$	9,108.00
Aug 5, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #2	2	5	\$	145,175.44
Sep 4, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #3-4	3 and 4	6	\$	167,790.43
Sep 30, 2013	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-01	7	\$	18,499.60
Sep 30, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #5	5	8	\$	46,862.08
Oct 24, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #6	6	9	\$	51,052.05
Oct 24, 2013	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-02	10	\$	8,410.32
Nov 7, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #7	7	11	\$	87,960.50
Dec 4, 2013	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #8	8	12	\$	70,650.08
Dec 4, 2013	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-03	13	\$	11,054.97
Jan 2, 2014	LCGRRP	Kennedy/Jenks - Progress Payment	78236	14	\$	24,066.25
Jan 2, 2014	Spec. 1201	BV Construction - Progress Payment #1	1	14	\$	29,925.00
Jan 2, 2014	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Progress Payment #9	9	14	\$	58,787.84
Jan 2, 2014	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-04	14	\$	36,178.95
Jan 2, 2014	Spec. 1207	JT Eng Design Progress Payment	5200	14	\$	9,518.00
Jan 21, 2014	Spec. 1201	BV Construction - Progress Payment #2 & #3	2&3	15	\$	114,095.00
Feb 24, 2014	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-05	16	\$	4,917.47
Feb 24, 2014	Spec. 1201	BV Construction - Progress Payment #4 & #5	4 & 5	16	\$	131,743.15
Mar 3, 2014	Spec. 1204	BV Construction - Retention Payment	10	17	\$	38,254.26
Mar 3, 2014	LCGRRP	Kennedy/Jenks - Progress Payment	79010 & 80391	17	\$	113,652.66
Mar 31, 2014	Spec. 1201	BV Construction - Progress Payment #6	6	18	\$	126,834.50
Mar 31, 2014	LRDSR	Aspen - EIR/EIS Progress Payment	1116.002-06 and 07	18	\$	17,080.04

STUDIES, PROJECTS, AND EQUIPMENT CONTRACTS AND **PAYOUT SCHEDULE - APRIL, 2014** 

Work Order No.	Description	Contractor/Consultant/ Supplier	Contractual Commitment	Payout to Date
601-14	Well No. 14A Rehabilitation	Best Drilling & Pump	\$ 79,790	0
PL01	Water System Master Plan Update	НММ	\$ 196,043	\$
RCP03	Annual Tank Maintenance Program	Superior Tank Solutions	\$ 319,100 \$	0
403-14	Dredging @ Lake Outlet Structure / Mechanical Repairs	Cushman Contracting	\$ 300,000	\$ 235,289
400-14	Security Improvement Project	Exante 360	\$ 58,730	\$ 19,729
WEB	Website Development	Tripepi Smith	\$ 20,000	\$
PRO24	WIFI Installation and Testing	AKINS IT	\$ 25,000 \$	\$
PRO23	docSTAR Smart Automation Project	docSTAR	\$ 25,000 \$	\$

# **Project Commitment and Payout Summary**

## Project Payout Detail (Actual/Projected)

									0
Dec									
								-	\$ 0
Nov									-
z									69
									0
Oct									
									63
pt		5,043							5,043
Sept		\$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 16,043							<b>\$ 235,289 \$</b> 107,500 <b>\$</b> 483,601 <b>\$</b> 61,501 <b>\$</b> 35,000 <b>\$</b> 30,000 <b>\$</b> 16,043 <b>\$</b>
		\$ 00							\$ 00
Aug		30,0							30,0
		69							63
>		000				5,000			000
July		30							35
		\$ 0			E	\$ 0	0	0	1 \$
June		30,00			9,001	5,000 \$	12,50	5,000	\$1,50
Ŀ		69					69		69 69
	200	000	100	111	15,000 \$ 15,000 \$	5,000 \$ 5,000 \$	12,500 \$ 12,500	7,500 \$	501
May	29,	30,	319,100	\$ 64,711	15,	5,			483,
	\$	\$	69	69	\$	67	69	69	69
Ē	50,000 \$ 29,790	0,00(			5,00(	5,000		7,500 \$	7,500
April	\$	3			\$ 1				10
	69	63		39	69	69		63	<b>39</b> &
March				\$ 235,289					35,2(
Σ				\$					\$
D					,220				,220
Feb					6,509 \$ 13,220				6,509 \$ 13,220
					\$				6 <del>)</del>
Jan					6,50				6,50
<b>D</b>					\$				\$
o	_		-					~	
Work Order No.	601-14	PL01	RCP03	403-14	400-14	WEB	PRO24	PRO23	Totals:
Ord	90	L.	Ř	4(	4	-	ā	ā	Ĕ

### APPENDIX M

### BID PROCUREMENT AND CHANGE ORDER POLICY

### I. Work Costing More Than \$25,000

a. Except as otherwise provided in this statement of policy, all contracts for any improvement, job, construction project or unit of work (herein referred to as work), and all acquisitions of material or equipment, estimated to cost or to have a value when completed in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), shall be let to the lowest responsible bidder in the manner hereinafter provided.

b. The Board shall first determine whether the contract shall be let or the acquisition made, as a single unit for the whole of the work or acquisition, or whether it shall be divided into severable convenient parts.

c. The Contract documents shall be prepared utilizing the District's standard forms, with such modification as may be appropriate for the particular work or unit of work, or the acquisition of materials or equipment. In the case of work to be performed for the District, the documents to be prepared shall ordinarily include the Notice Inviting Bids, Instructions to Bidders, the Proposal for submission by the bidder, the Information Required of Bidder, setting forth the equipment and material source and other required information, Contractor's Licensing Statement, List of Subcontractors, Bid Security Form, Agreement, Faithful Performance Bond, Payment Bond, Non-Collusion Affidavit, Notice to Proceed, General Provisions, Special Provisions, and Plans and Specifications.

d. Unless otherwise required by the provisions of the Public Contract Code, the District may advertise in the F. W. Dodge Green Sheet, the Construction Market Data and

similar publications, inviting sealed proposals for furnishing labor for or materials or supplies for use or incorporation in, the proposed work or unit of work, or for providing materials or equipment. To the extent applicable to a specific work or acquisition, the notice calling for bids shall contain the information specified in Section 20564 of the Public Contract Code. In the event that the construction of works is to be paid for with the proceeds of the sale of bonds or a limited assessment, the District shall give said notice by publication once a week for three (3) successive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation published in the District as specified in Section 20563 of the Public Contracts Code.

e. All bids shall be presented under sealed cover on forms furnished by the District, and, in the case of a bid to perform work for the District, it shall be accompanied by one of the following forms of bidder's security: (1) cash, (2) a cashier's check made payable to the District, (3) a certified check made payable to the District, or (4) a bidder's bond executed by an admitted surety insurer made payable to the District.

f. At the time and place appointed and set forth in the Notice Inviting Bids, the bids shall be opened in public.

g. The District shall assign a five (5) percent contract bid reduction to a bidder which is a "Local Contractor or Vendor," as defined in (n)(1).

h. The Board may reject any and all proposals or bids should it deem it to be for the public good, or may award the contract for the work or unit of work, or materials or equipment, to the lowest responsible bidder at the prices named or specified in the bid or proposal subject however to Paragraph i.

i. Once all bids have been opened, the bids of those bidders which are "Local Contractors or Vendors" shall be reduced by five percent (5%) for purposes of determining the lowest responsible bidder. If the bid of a Local Contractor or Vendor, after applying the contract

similar publications, inviting sealed proposals for furnishing labor for or materials or supplies for use or incorporation in, the proposed work or unit of work, or for providing materials or equipment. To the extent applicable to a specific work or acquisition, the notice calling for bids shall contain the information specified in Section 20564 of the Public Contract Code. In the event that the construction of works is to be paid for with the proceeds of the sale of bonds or a limited assessment, the District shall give said notice by publication once a week for three (3) successive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation published in the District as specified in Section 20563 of the Public Contracts Code.

e. All bids shall be presented under sealed cover on forms furnished by the District, and, in the case of a bid to perform work for the District, it shall be accompanied by one of the following forms of bidder's security: (1) cash, (2) a cashier's check made payable to the District, (3) a certified check made payable to the District, or (4) a bidder's bond executed by an admitted surety insurer made payable to the District.

f. At the time and place appointed and set forth in the Notice Inviting Bids, the bids shall be opened in public.

(

g. The District shall assign a five (5) percent contract bid reduction to a bidder which is a "Local Contractor or Vendor," as defined in (n)(1).

h. The Board may reject any and all proposals or bids should it deem it to be for the public good, or may award the contract for the work or unit of work, or materials or equipment, to the lowest responsible bidder at the prices named or specified in the bid or proposal subject however to Paragraph i.

i. Once all bids have been opened, the bids of those bidders which are "Local Contractors or Vendors" shall be reduced by five percent (5%) for purposes of determining the lowest responsible bidder. If the bid of a Local Contractor or Vendor, after applying the contract

bid reduction provided for in Paragraph g, is then the lowest responsible bid, that Local Contractor or Vendor shall be awarded the contract at the amount of its bid without regard to any contract bid reduction, subject to the remaining provisions of this policy.

j. The District or its agents may refuse to award a contract under Section i to a Local Contractor or Vendor if it makes a determination that the products purchased or work provided by a bidder cannot be provided within a timely manner for the performance of the contract or a determination the Local Contractor or Vendor cannot meet specified quality performance standards or experience requirements.

k. If any federal or state statute or regulation precludes the granting of federal or state assistance or reduces the amount of that assistance for a particular public works project because of a preference awarded according to the terms of this policy, this policy shall not apply insofar as its application would preclude or reduce federal or state assistance for that work.

I. In the case of work to be performed for the District, the District shall require the successful bidder or bidders to file with the Board good and sufficient bonds, to be approved by the Board, conditioned upon the faithful performance of the contract and upon payment of all claims for labor and materials in connection therewith.

m. In the case of work to be performed from the District, the District shall require the successful bidder or bidders to carry public liability and property damage insurance, workers' compensation insurance, and other insurance, in the amounts and under the terms stipulated in the Contract documents.

n. The following terms shall have the following meanings:

 "Local Contractor or Vendor" means a contractor or vendor whose principal place of business as reflected in official records is located in the area shown on the Local Contractor and Vendor Boundary Map attached hereto. Those claiming to be Local Contractors and Vendors shall submit proof of their principal place of business with their bid.

2) "Lowest Responsible Bidder" shall mean a person who submits the lowest monetary bid, taking into account the contract bid reduction provided for in paragraph g, and which responds to the terms upon which bids were requested, and who has the capacity, integrity and ability to perform the particular requirements of the contract. Factors which may be considered in determining the "lowest responsible bidder" include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

a) The contractor's prior record of performance on other public works projects, if any, including timely completion of performance, quality of work, and completion of projects within project budget or bid amount submitted.

b) The contractor's involvement in any ongoing litigation or contract disputes with the awarding authority which could impair satisfactory performance on the contract to be awarded.

c) The contractor's history of noncompliance with occupational safety and health requirements, labor statutes and regulations, and other local, state, and federal laws.

### II. Work or Acquisitions Costing More Than \$3,000, But Not More than \$25,000

(

All contracts for any work or unit of work, and all acquisitions of materials or equipment, estimated to cost or to have a value when completed in excess of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), but not more than Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), shall be reviewed by a Committee of the Board, and shall be submitted for formal competitive bids in accordance with this statement of policy only if the Committee shall so recommend and the Board shall concur by

a majority vote. In the event no formal competitive bids are solicited, the Board may also give local contractors and vendors a preference.

### III. Work or Acquisitions Costing Less Than \$3,000

All contracts for any work or unit of work, and all acquisitions of materials or equipment, estimated to cost or to have a value when completed that is less than Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), may be authorized by the District's General Manager without compliance with any formal competitive bidding procedure or prior Board approval, and in any such case he may authorize the work or unit of work or acquire the materials or equipment, by informal bidding or quotations or by purchase on the open market without advertising. The District's General Manager may give local contractors and vendors a preference.

### IV. Change Order Policy

All change orders occurring during the performance of a contract shall be reported to the Board. Change order amounts which are Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000) or less or which are ten percent (10%) or less of the original contract amount up to a maximum amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) may be authorized by the District's General Manager; however, change order amounts greater than Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000) and greater than ten percent (10%) of the original contract amount up to a maximum change order amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) shall be approved by the Bid Committee of the Board. The Board shall by a majority vote approve all change order amounts in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000). In the case of contracts with unit prices, if the number of units of significant bid items increases by twenty percent (20%) or more, Board approval must be obtained.

### V. Exceptions to Statement of Policy

The policy specified in this statement shall not apply in the following cases or circumstances:

- (1) A contract for the acquisition or disposal of any real property.
- (2) A contract for the leasing of any personal property or the acquisition of personal property other than materials and equipment for use in construction activities.
- (3) A contract for the purchase of water or water rights.
- (4) A contract for the repair of District equipment.
- (5) A contract for legal, engineering and other professional services.
- (6) A contract for the performance of work or acquisition of materials or equipment deemed by the Board to be of urgent necessity for the preservation of life, health or property, or in order to continue to provided water to the District's existing customers, and such action is authorized by a two-thirds vote of the District's Board.
- (7) The repair, alteration, addition, or the making of improvements, by force account.
- (8) Work related to and in furtherance of the purposes of the

District, or materials or equipment acquired for such purposes, where such work is to be performed or such materials or equipment are to be acquired, for the account of other persons or entities, an example of such work or acquisition being the construction of a water transmission line or the installation of meters or other facilities for a developer and done at the developer's expense.

- (9) A contract for the performance of work or acquisition of materials in instances where work and materials are regularly and periodically required and work and materials are repairs or replacements of prior works or materials relating to the following:
  - (a) Asphalt and concrete patching;
  - (b) Janitorial supplies;

- (c) Office supplies;
- (d) Aggregate (sand, base and similar materials);
- (e) Cold mix asphalt;
- (f) Data mailers;
- (g) Water meters.

### VI. Scope of Statement of Policy

This statement of policy establishes the manner of calling for bids and letting contracts for the performance of work for the District or the acquisition of materials or equipment. However, notwithstanding this statement, all contracts for work and all contracts for acquisition of materials and equipment, may be made or entered into upon such terms and conditions and in such manner as the Board may determine is in the best interest of the District.

BID PROCUREMENT POLICY APPROVED AND ADOPTED AT A REGULAR BOARD MEETING OF THE PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS HELD April 19, 1990

Revised 1-14-92 Revised 9-15-92 Revised 4-25-94 Revised 11-10-97



### PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT BOARD MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 1, 2014	April 7, 2014
TO:	FINANCE COMMITTEE	<b>Committee Meeting</b>
FROM:	Michael Williams, Finance Manager/CFO	
VIA:	Mr. Dennis D. LaMoreaux, General Manager	
RE:	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4.7 – DISCUSSION A INCOME ASSISSTANCE PROGRAM	AND REVIEW OF LOW

### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends an initial review of the draft rules to applicants of the rate assistance program attached.

### **Background:**

The Finance Committee has requested staff to research the possibility of implementing a low income rate assistance program. Taking from what Walnut Valley Water District has done, staff has prepared a draft rate assistance program targeted at seniors 62 and older as a starting point. The program can be modified after implementation depending on the success and participation rate.

Some key factors of the program:

- Program will cover up to 50% of monthly service charge for one year.
- Available to seniors age 62 or older only living in single family home only.
- Available to property owners and renters.
- Participants must be enrolled in Edison and/or Gas company CARE program.
- Participants must qualify by income levels set by Public Utilities Commission Alternative Rates for Energy.
- Participants must submit supporting documentation requested by staff.
- Participants must renew their eligibility annually.

The program would be funded by taking the revenue from the cell tower lease and the revenue from Fin and Feather rental, which totals approximately \$150,000 annually. Because we have closed the financial year of 2013 and recognized those revenues as other income under non-operating revenue, we can't use 2013's revenue for 2014. However, if the Board decides to approve the program, we can begin to set aside those revenues in 2014 and make them available for the program beginning in January, 2015.

### FINANCE COMMITTEE PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT

The above is the initial thought for implementation on a small and manageable scale. Based upon the amount of assistance needed by rate payers, the program can be expanded to allow more rate payers to qualify, or provide a higher assistance level to the group.

### **Budget:**

The impact on the District's Budget is a reduction in non-operating revenue of approximately \$150,000 annually.

### **Supporting Documents:**

- Draft rules to applicant
- Draft application

### RULES TO APPLICANTS FOR WATER RATE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

### APPLICANT

The Palmdale Water District Rate Assistance Program (PWDRAP) is available only to residential customers, age 62 or older, who receive water through a 1-inch or smaller water meter.

An applicant for the District's Rate Assistance Program must be either the owner living in the premises or the tenant of the residence and responsible for the payment of water service provided by the District. Tenants must have on file with the District an affidavit, in the form attached hereto as "exhibit ?", signed by the property owner, assuming responsibility for payment of services for said property.

### ELIGIBILITY FOR PROGRAM

Each applicant for the PWDRAP must establish eligibility for the program annually as stated below:

**CARE Program:** Applicant must qualify and be enrolled in Southern California Edison's or Southern California Gas' California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program and must provide a utility bill showing proof of such enrollment.

**Household Income:** Applicant's total gross household income cannot exceed predetermined income requirements established by the District, based upon the approved limits set by the California Public Utilities Commission for the CARE program. Applicant must provide verification of household income with application by any means deemed acceptable by the District.

**Income Requirements.** Maximum household income requirements are based upon number of persons living in residence in relation to maximum total "gross household income" from all sources.

**Income Qualifications.** Total gross household income is all revenues, from all household members, from whatever sources derived, including but not limited to:

- Wages
- Salaries and Other Employment Compensation
- Interest
- Dividends
- Spousal Support
- Public Assistance Payments
- Social Security and Other Pensions

- Rental Income
- Income from Self-employment
- All Employment-related Non-cash Income

**Income Taxes:** Applicant may not be claimed as a dependent on another person's federal or state income tax return.

**Property Taxes:** Applicant may be required to provide a copy of their annual property tax statement, or documentation reasonably requested by the District to evidence home ownership.

Additional Requirements: The following requirements also apply to each applicant:

- Must reapply each time they move.
- Must reapply annually.
- Must notify the District within 30 days if they become ineligible.

### METHOD OF APPLICATION FOR PROGRAM

**Application Procedure:** Applicant must obtain from the District an application, attached hereto as "exhibit ?", for the PWDRAP. Applicant must complete the application and submit the required documentation to the District. If approved, applicant will receive the assistance on their bill, as calculated under Sections "XXX", below, at a to-be-determined date. If the application is not approved, applicant will receive a letter from the District explaining the reason for the disapproval.

**Application Period:** Applications are accepted on a first come, first served basis, contingent upon the availability of funds. Participation in the PWDRAP is personal to the applicant and is not transferable with the property.

### **PROGRAM FUNDING**

The Board of Directors will approve on an annual basis, as part of the District's budget process, the annual funding for the PWDRAP. Approved funding, if any, will be a not-to-exceed amount established for a twelve (12) month period that may differ from the District's fiscal year. The annual funding approved will be prorated amongst eligible participants in an amount not-to-exceed fifty percent (50%) of the current monthly service charge.

### ASSISTANCE RATE

The District has established an assistance amount of up to fifty percent (50%) off of the monthly service charge for meters up to one (1) inch in size, for qualified households. This rate of assistance is subject to change on an annual basis as determined by the Board of Directors.

### **REDUCTION; TERMINATION**

The District, in its sole discretion, reserves the rights to reduce amounts available under the PWDRAP, and any assistance available under that program, in its entirety, upon at least thirty (30) days written notice to participants in the program.

### **APPLICATION FORM**

### Palmdale Water District Rate Assistance Program (PWDRAP)

The Palmdale Water District is pleased to offer a Rate Assistance Program to our customers, 62 years of age and older, who meet the eligibility requirements identified below. If your household qualifies for a discount on your energy bill under the electric or gas CARE programs, you may also qualify for rate assistance of up to fifty percent (50%) on the monthly service charge on your water bill.

• To apply for PWDRAP at your residence, please fill out this application and submit the required documentation to the District. If approved, the rate assistance will become effective within sixty (60) days after the date of approval. If your application is not approved, you will receive a letter from the District explaining the reason for that disapproval. Applicants may apply any time throughout the year; however, applications will be accepted on a first come, first served basis, contingent upon the availability of funds.

If you need assistance in completing the application, or would like more information about the program, call 661-947-4111, option 2, and speak to a Customer Service Representative, or visit our office at 2029 East Avenue Q, Palmdale, CA 93550, or on the web at <u>www.palmdalewater.org</u>.

(Effective June 1, 2013 Source: California PU	QUIREMENTS through May 31, 2014) C Alternative Rates for (CARE)
Number of persons living in residence	Maximum total "gross household income" from all sources
1 2	\$22,980 \$31,020
3	\$39,060
4 5	\$47,100 \$55,140
6	\$63,180 \$71,220
8 Each Additional Person	\$79,260 \$8,040

### What Counts as Income?

Total gross household income is all revenues, from all household members, from whatever sources derived, including but not limited to: wages, salaries and other employment-related compensation, interest, dividends, spousal and child support payments, public assistance payments, Social Security and pensions, rental income, income for self-employment, and all employment-related non-cash income.

### What are the Qualifications?

- Must complete and submit application. Applications will be accepted on a first come, first served basis contingent upon availability of funds.
- Must qualify for Southern California Edison's or Southern California Gas' CARE program.
- Total gross household income cannot exceed the amounts shown on the "Income Requirements" chart above.
- Must be a District residential customer and receive water through a 1-inch or smaller water meter.
- Must provide verification of household income as requested by means required by District.
- May not be claimed as a dependent on another person's federal or state income tax return.
- May be required to provide proof of ownership by means requested by District.
- Must reapply annually
- Must reapply each time you move.
- Must notify the District within thirty (30) days if you become ineligible.
- Assistance is nontransferable with property or applicant.

December	E TRANSF																
November																	
October																	
September											Surface -		a series of				
August																	
ylut										and a set of the							
June																	
Мау	Salar Salar										4						
April																	
March																	
February																	
January																	
ltem		Rate Study & 5-Year Rate Plan	2015 Budget	Set Assessment Rates	Audit	Monthly Committee Meetings	State Controllers Report	Government Compensation Report									

2014 Financial Project Calendar

### AGENDA ITEM NO. 5.1